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1. Who we are and what we do

Mission Statement

The GICHD works for the elimination of anti-personnel mines and for the reduction of the humanitarian impact of other landmines and explosive remnants of war. To this end, the GICHD will, in partnership with others, provide operational assistance, create and disseminate knowledge, improve quality management and standards, and support instruments of international law, all aimed at increasing the performance and professionalism of mine action.

(excerpt from the GICHD Strategy 2006-2008)
The GICHD is

- an intergovernmental organisation based on Swiss foundation law, financed by states

The GICHD

- works in the area of “Mine Action” according to UN definitions, including ERW clearance (except medical side of the problem)

- Links issues of landmines and other explosive remnants of war to emergency, reconstruction, peace building and development
… in short:

« The objective of the GICHD is to contribute in making the mine action industry safer, faster, cheaper and more effective »
Main products of the GICHD:

- Continued development of Information Management System IMSMA, and installation of new version 4 (upgrading and new installations)
- International Mine Action Standards (IMAS) – Support in developing national standards (NMAS)
- Support to the Ottawa Convention (ISU) – Article 5 implementation and extension requests
Current operational focus:

- Develop improved methodologies and publish handbooks with best practices for
  - Manual demining
  - Mechanical demining
  - Testing and Use of dogs and rats

- Undertake studies in all fields of mine action (except for the medical side of mine action)

- Undertake evaluations to generate lessons learned for future guidance;

- Increased focus on ERW

- Develop and implement risk management methodologies
Researching & establishing Mine Action as a crosscutting issue:

- Human Security – synergies with Small Arms and Light Weapons
- Peacekeeping – increasing cooperation with peacekeeping training centres and multilateral security organizations
- Peace-building – Mine Action as a Confidence building measures
- Development – Linking Mine Action with Development Project (LMAD)
2. Current situation and challenges

- The Ottawa Convention celebrates its 10 year anniversary in 2007
- Much has been accomplished, more remains to be done
- The Deadline for article 5 implementation is approaching fast
- International support and funding for Mine Action will decline
Tools to enable States to demine faster and reach their article 5 obligations on time:

- Mine Action has to become more efficient:
  - Risk Management

- Mine Action has to integrate into broader agendas:
  - Linking Mine Action to Development (LMAD)
3.a) Linking Mine Action & Development (LMAD)
Program Life Cycle
Mine Action for Development

- Mine Action for Internal Security (MAIS)
- Mine Action for Reconstruction (MAR)
- Mine Action for Development (MAD)
- Humanitarian Mine Action (HMA)

Scales:
- Conflict
- Priority Reconstruction
- Stabilisation
- Assisted Development
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LMAD: Why is this an issue?

- Landmine contamination negatively impacts on post-conflict reconstruction and development
- Mine action has operated in stand-alone manner
- No systematic coordination or consultation between mine action and development sectors
LMAD: What are the benefits?

- Better alignment of mine action with development priorities
- Greater coordination between mine action and development organisations
- Interventions which are more responsive to needs
- Greater sustainability for mine action funding
GICHD’s LMAD Project
2007-2008

Key strands of work:

- Research
- OECD’s Development Assistance Committee (DAC)
- Outreach to development sector
- Strengthening capacity of mine-affected states
- LMAD Contact Group
3.b) Risk Management – The Problem

- General assessments and impact surveys have led to large areas of "suspect" land, but in reality much less is in fact mined
- Anti-vehicle mines and ERW are also likely to be present
- Good procedures are in place for full clearance, but not land release
Suspect hazardous area? ------------ Minefield?
Shall we... or not...
Risk Management – Definition

A systematic process to

- identify/quantify risk (**survey**), and
- mitigate risk (**clearance/technical survey**), to
- tolerable levels (**end user acceptance**)
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Risk vs. resources

Mitigated Hazards

Residual Hazards

"Acceptable" Mitigative Threshold
Risk Management – The work of the GICHD

- Development of Risk Management methodology in cooperation with national partners (Sudan, Lao PDR, Cambodia), NGOs (NPA) and the UN (UNMAS)
- Testing of achieved results (Lao PDR)
- Implement and disseminate the method with our partners
Risk Management – answers to 5 common objections

1. Does not contradict the AP mine ban treaty, but is rather an instrument to meet treaty obligations and can speed up its implementation.

2. The treaty and IMAS may confuse and prevent the development of a concept if not clarified. (mine free, impact free, 100% clearance, all known mines etc)

3. Does not imply reduced tolerability towards mine clearance (reduced quality)

4. Liability in case of accidents - part operator, part host country

5. Will likely reduce risk to wider population groups compared with traditional approaches
Conclusions

- LMAD and Risk Management can be tools to fulfill obligations under the Ottawa Convention
- Break the isolation in which Mine Action operates
- Take a broader, more inclusive approach towards Mine Action
Questions?

More information on
www.gichd.org