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WHY?

- Roadmap for better reporting
- Raise awareness
- Improve quality of submitted information
- Difference in quality between Art 7 – ISC statements – Art 5 extension requests
- Amended IMAS

WHAT?

Art 7 :

"Each State Party shall report to the Secretary-General ... on:
• c) To the extent possible, the location of all mined areas that contain, or are suspected to contain, anti-personnel mines under its jurisdiction or control, to include as much detail as possible regarding the type and quantity of each type of anti-personnel mine in each mined area and when they were emplaced."
(Form C)
• f) The status of programs for the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Articles 4 and 5, including details of the methods which will be used in destruction, the location of all destruction sites and the applicable safety and environmental standards to be observed." (Form F)
HOW TO REPORT BETTER

• « areas »:
  • “the surface included within a set of lines”
  • Expresses quantity
  • « Place » vs « area »

• « all » areas
  • List(ing)
  • Benchmark for measuring progress
HOW TO REPORT BETTER

• "programs" (Form F)

• it has been agreed that States Parties will provide "information on the areas already released, disaggregated by release through clearance, technical survey and nontechnical survey".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area addressed by activity (square metres)</th>
<th>Devices found and destroyed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mine clearance</td>
<td>Battle area clearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Equatoria</td>
<td>2,902,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Equatoria</td>
<td>66,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonglei</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakes</td>
<td>14,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Bahr El Ghazal</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unity</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Nile</td>
<td>491,521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Bahr El Ghazal</td>
<td>5,414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Equatoria</td>
<td>124,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>3,604,531</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMENDED IMAS & ART 5 REPORTING

- « operational » reporting vs « political » reporting
- Complimentary
- Amended IMAS offer opportunities for reporting on Art 5 implementation

AMENDED IMAS & ART 5 REPORTING

- (A)IMAS :
  - Consistent and unambiguous terminology :
    - Suspected Hazard Areas and Confirmed HA
    - Alignment with Convention obligations
  - Better classification of SHA and CHA
  - Allows better monitoring of progress
  - Databases are source for Art 7 reporting
CONCLUSIONS

• Reporting as a tool for monitoring progress
  • Clear benchmark
  • Detailed information on programs
  • Information on areas released

• Amended IMAS