PROGRAMME FOR THE MEETINGS OF THE STANDING COMMITTEES ¹

MAY 8-12, 2006

MONDAY 8 MAY

Opening of the week of Standing Committee meetings

10:00 Opening of the week of meetings

The week of meetings will be opened by Ms. Dijana Plestina of Croatia (Advisor to the President of the Sixth Meeting of the States Parties and Minister of Foreign Affairs of Croatia) and by Ambassador Stephan Nellen (Director of the Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining).

Note: In keeping with past practice, the programme for the week has been structured to include discussion items on numerous specific topics of interest to the States Parties. Therefore, participants are encouraged to participate actively in discussions on specific topics and to refrain from making statements of a general nature.

Standing Committee on the General Status and Operation of the Convention

10:15 Opening of the meeting by the Co-Chairs

The Co-Chairs (Belgium and Guatemala) have prepared a programme that covers four areas of work: (i) an overall assessment of the status of the pursuit of the Convention’s core aims; (ii) discussions on matters of cross-cutting interest to all Standing Committees; (iii) ongoing consideration of the implementation of various articles of the Convention which have traditionally been discussed by this Standing Committee; and, (iv) updates on the work of various implementation mechanisms.

Overview of the general status of implementation: Update on the pursuit of the aims of the Nairobi Action Plan and the priorities contained in the Zagreb Progress Report

The Presidency of the Sixth Meeting of the States Parties (6MSP) will review progress made to date in the pursuit of the 70 action points contained in the Nairobi Action Plan 2005-2009 and the various priorities recorded in the Zagreb Progress Report.

¹ Please note: (a) Participants are encouraged to participate actively in discussions on specific topics and to refrain from making statements of a general nature. (b) The principle of flexibility will be applied with respect to time allocations (e.g., if one Standing Committee meeting uses less than its allocated time the subsequent Standing Committee meeting could immediately commence). (c) States Parties providing updates on implementation are encouraged to provide only new information.
Overview of the general status of universalization

The Nairobi Action Plan notes that during the period 2005 to 2009, universal adherence to the Convention “will remain an important object of cooperation among States Parties.” Given progress made in 2005 in the application of the Nairobi Action Plan, at the 6MSP the following were identified in the Zagreb Progress Report as priorities in the period leading to the Seventh Meeting of the States Parties (7MSP):

“All States Parties should direct specific efforts towards encouraging progress by those States not parties which have indicated that they could ratify or accede to the Convention in the near-term (….)”

“In keeping with Action #3 of the Nairobi Action Plan, all States Parties and those that share their aims should continue and increase universalization efforts that place a priority on those States not parties that produce, use, transfer and maintain large stockpiles of anti-personnel mines, including those developing new kinds of anti-personnel mines. In addition, efforts should continue to bring into the Convention those mine-affected States that have not yet ratified or acceded to the Convention.”

“In accordance with Action #7 of the Nairobi Action Plan, efforts should continue to promote universal observance of the Convention’s norms by condemning, and taking appropriate steps to end the use, stockpiling, production and transfer of anti-personnel mines by armed non-State actors.”

The Coordinator of the Universalization Contact Group (Canada) will provide an overview of steps taken since the 6MSP to promote universalization. As well, the Co-Chairs hope that relevant actors will take advantage of the following opportunities for participation in the discussion on universalization:

- Opportunity for States not parties which have taken steps towards ratification of, or accession to, the Convention to provide updates or make announcements regarding the date when they intend to join the Convention.
- Opportunity for States Parties and organizations that have contributed to universalization efforts to provide updates on their activities.

The mobilisation of resources to achieve the Convention’s aims

In the Nairobi Action Plan, the States Parties recognized that fulfilling their obligations during the period 2005-2009 and effectively pursuing the actions and strategies set out in the Nairobi Action Plan will require substantial political, financial and material commitments. To further advance efforts in accordance with the Nairobi Action Plan, the Zagreb Progress Report recorded seven priorities for work in this area in the period leading to the 7MSP.

With respect to these matters, the Coordinator of the Resource Mobilisation Contact Group (Norway) will provide an update on ongoing discussions on all facets related to mobilising and efficiently using resources to implement the Convention.

---

2 Nairobi Action Plan, paragraph 2.
3 Zagreb Progress Report, paragraph 18(i).
4 Zagreb Progress Report, paragraph 18(ii).
5 Zagreb Progress Report, paragraph 18(iii).
6 Nairobi Action Plan, Actions #13, #14, #23, #25, #26, #27, #36, #43, #44, #45, #46, #47 and #50.
7 Zagreb Progress Report, paragraph 95(i) to paragraph 95(vii).
Opportunity for States Parties and others to provide updates on the application of actions in the Nairobi Action Plan that concern all facets of resource mobilisation as well as on work undertaken in the context of relevant priorities recorded in the Zagreb Progress Report.

Transparency and the exchange of information

The Nairobi Action Plan urges the States Parties that have not yet done so to fulfill their obligation to provide initial transparency reports under Article 7 without further delay and calls upon all State Parties to fulfill their obligations to annually update Article 7 transparency reports and maximise reporting as a tool to assist in implementation. To advance efforts in accordance with the Nairobi Action Plan, the Zagreb Progress Report recorded seven priorities for work in this area in the period leading to the 7MSP.

The Coordinator of the Article 7 Contact Group (Belgium) will provide an overview of efforts related to transparency reporting, including by providing an overview of the status of reporting under Article 7 of the Convention.

Opportunity for States Parties and others to provide updates on the application of actions in the Nairobi Action Plan that concern transparency and the exchange of information as well as on work undertaken in the context of relevant priorities recorded in the Zagreb Progress Report.

Article 3: Updates on plans for and use of mines retained for the development of and training in mine detection, mine clearance, or mine destruction techniques (time permitting)

The Nairobi Action Plan notes that “transparency and the open exchange of information have been essential pillars on which the Convention’s practices, procedures and tradition of partnership have been built,” and, that “the States Parties recognize that transparency and effective information exchange will be equally crucial to fulfilling their obligations during the period 2005-2009.” To this end, the States Parties agreed to a number of actions, including that “all States Parties will (...) in situations where States Parties have retained mines in accordance with Article 3, provide information on the plans requiring the retention of mines for the development of and training in mine detection, mine clearance, or mine destruction techniques and report on the actual use of retained mines and the results of such use.”

To assist States Parties in applying Action #54 of the Nairobi Action Plan, the States Parties at the 6MSP agreed to amend the Article 7 reporting format, thus creating a means for States Parties to share information in addition to what is minimally required on mines retained in accordance with Article 3. In addition, the Co-Chairs have distributed a series of questions to relevant States Parties to assist them in acting in accordance with Action #54. (Document 2.1.)

Opportunity for those States Parties that have reported mines retained for the purposes permitted in Article 3 to share information on their plans requiring the retention of mines in accordance with Article 3, the actual use of these mines and the results of this use. (See Document 2.1.)

---

8 Nairobi Action Plan, Actions #51 and #52.
9 Zagreb Progress Report, paragraph 114(i) to paragraph 114(v).
10 Nairobi Action Plan, paragraph 7.
11 Nairobi Action Plan, Action #54.
Opportunity for those States Parties that have reported that they have not retained mines for the purposes permitted in Article 3 to share their experiences.

13:00 Meeting adjourns until Friday 12 May at 11:30

Standing Committee on
Victim Assistance and Socio-Economic Reintegration – REVISED

15:00 Opening of the meeting by the Co-Chairs and overview of the status of implementation

The Co-Chairs’ primary aim is to support progress in the fulfilment of the commitment that all States Parties made in the Nairobi Action Plan 2005-2009 to enhance our efforts in regard to the care, rehabilitation and reintegration of landmine survivors. This is particularly important for the 24 States Parties that have indicated that they hold the responsibility to provide for the well-being of significant numbers – hundreds or thousands, if not tens-of-thousands – of landmine survivors.

- Welcome by Co-Chairs
- Update on the Co-Chairs’ strategy including the update on status of questionnaire to 24 State Parties

15:30 Updates from relevant States Parties on the status of implementation

As the ultimate responsibility of meeting the needs of landmine survivors within a particular State rests with that State, no external actor can define for it what can or should be achieved by when and how in meeting the needs of these survivors. In 2005, many of the 24 relevant States Parties made significant advances toward defining these matters. Annex V of the Zagreb Progress Report records this progress by containing an overview of the efforts of these States Parties in establishing victim assistance objectives to be achieved in time for the Second Review Conference.

The Zagreb Progress Report additionally states that “while objectives may have been established by many of the 24 States Parties that have reported the responsibility for significant numbers of survivors, it is essential that these States Parties proceed with the more complex task of developing comprehensive national plans to guide the fulfilment of these objectives, ensuring that these plans integrate mine victim assistance into broader health care and social service systems, rehabilitation programmes and legislative and policy frameworks.”

- Presentation of the new outcomes on plans of action & setting of SMART objectives
- Opportunity for updates from other States Parties and from States not parties.

18:00 Meeting adjourns until Tuesday 9 May at 10:00
TUESDAY 9 MAY

Standing Committee on
Victim Assistance and Socio-Economic Reintegration – REVISED

10:00 Meeting reconvenes – Presentation by a landmine survivor followed by comments & questions

10:30 Cooperation and Assistance

➢ Opportunity for updates by donors in a position to provide assistance for care, rehabilitation and reintegration of landmine survivors.

11:00 Inter-ministerial coordination

The inter-ministerial process of establishing objectives and developing national plans involves a series of steps which should be taken to ensure success. A brief presentation will be made on these steps, highlighting good examples provided by various States Parties and foreshadowing ongoing efforts on the part of the Co-Chairs in this area.

➢ Good practices in inter ministerial coordination - Presentation by Ms. Sheree Bailey (ISU, GICHD) followed by discussion.

12:00 Promotion of quality of, and access to medical first aid for mine victims

The Nairobi Action Plan indicates that States Parties, particularly those with the greatest numbers of mine victims, will do their utmost to “establish and enhance health care services to respond to immediate and ongoing medical needs of mine victims.” With particular regard to the quality of and access to emergency medical care, the Co-Chairs intend to highlight the magnitude of the challenges and stimulate discussion on ways to overcome these challenges.

➢ Expert presentations: Pre-hospital care (IFRC)
Hospital care (ICRC)
Physical rehabilitation (tbc.)

13:00 Break for lunch

15:00 Focus on Pre-hospital care – First responders training

➢ Presentation by Tromsø (TMC) – ‘20 years of experience with pre-hospital trauma system in war zones’ (training methodology)

➢ Empirical experience from the field (Iraq) tbc

➢ Followed by open discussion & questions

17:00 Update on best practices on Social and Economic Reintegration of all victims

After a correct treatment of the medical and health needs of mine victims, their effective social and economic re-integration in society or communities is the next necessary steps to ensure the fulfilment of the rights of the survivors and their families. Mine victims and, more generally, persons with disabilities, are too often still considered as a burden to society: their
productive potential is usually not taken into account nor are their dignity and rights respected. Disabled people normally pertain to the poorest and most disadvantaged category of people in poor countries. The Co-Chair will provide update with respect on some ongoing initiatives.

17:30 Closing remarks by the Co-chairs
18:00 Meeting ends

Reception hosted by the Director of the GICHD

Location: Attique Restaurant, WMO Building.

WEDNESDAY 10 MAY

Standing Committee on
Mine Clearance, Mine Risk Education and Mine Action Technologies

10:00 Opening of the meeting by the Co-Chairs

The Co-Chairs’ (Jordan and Slovenia) aim is to pursue work in three areas: (i) providing an opportunity for clear updates from the 45 States Parties that are still in the process of fulfilling Article 5 obligations; (ii) providing an opportunity for updates from those in a position to provide assistance; and, (iii) enhancing understanding of what it means to implement Article 5, in part by drawing upon the experience of those whom have reported completion and in part by holding a discussion on the basis of a discussion paper that the Co-Chairs and Co-Rapporteurs have provided.

An overview of the status of implementation of Article 5

➢ Co-Chairs’ presentation on progress in implementing Article 5.

Updates from relevant States Parties on the status of implementation

The Zagreb Progress Report recorded as a priority that “in keeping with action #19 of the Nairobi Action Plan, each State Party which has reported areas containing anti-personnel mines but which has not yet established a plan to clear these areas by its deadline should establish such a plan as soon as possible and share information on its plan and progress in implementing it, using mechanisms such as Article 7 reporting and the Intersessional Work Programme, and all States Parties shall ensure that their plans, and the implementation of them, are consistent with Article 5 obligations.”

To maximize the opportunity presented by the Intersessional Work Programme in 2006, the Co-Chairs have developed a questionnaire (Document 2.3) as a means for the 45 States Parties which have indicated that they are in the process of fulfilling Article 5 mine clearance obligations to structure presentations to the Standing Committee on 10-11 May. The Co-Chairs hope that if the 45 relevant States Parties provide information as is suggested, the States Parties as a whole – by the time of the 7MSP – will have a high degree of clarity with respect to steps that are being taken to meet expectations in the implementation of Article 5.
Opportunity for updates by those States Parties\(^\text{12}\) which have indicated that they are in the process of fulfilling obligations under Article 5 of the Convention (See Document 2.3.)

Note: Given that many States Parties have already provided comprehensive background presentations on the situations in their countries, the Co-Chairs kindly request that only new information be provided to the Standing Committee.

Opportunity for comments and questions in response to the presentations made by those States Parties which have indicated that they are in the process of fulfilling Article 5 obligations.

13:00 Break for lunch

15:00 Updates from relevant States Parties on the status of implementation (continued)

Lessons from the States Parties that have indicated that they have completed implementation of Article 5

In 2005, two additional States Parties – Guatemala and Suriname – indicated that they had completed what was required of them under Article 5 of the Convention. In doing so, these States Parties helped contribute to a growing body of information on the implementation of Article 5. The purpose of this agenda item is to draw upon the experiences of relevant States Parties in better understanding what completion means to them and what it took to arrive at that point.

Presentation by the Implementation Support Unit of the GICHD on information compiled from the States Parties that have reported fulfilment of Article 5 obligations.

Sharing of experiences by States Parties that have recently indicated that they have completed implementation of Article 5.

Enhancing understanding of the implementation of Article 5

At the 6MSP, many States Parties engaged in discussion on the topic of ensuring success in the implementation of Article 5. Part of this discussion related to the need to identify means to assist the States Parties that are in the process of fulfilling Article 5 obligations in: (a) demystifying what it means to have completely fulfilled Article 5 obligations; (b) if necessary, developing requests for extensions in accordance with the criteria in Article 5, paragraph 4, which would meet the States Parties’ obvious high expectations of these requests; and (c) the development of plans for ensuring completion – as defined by Article 5 – “as soon as possible.”

\(^{12}\) Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Argentina, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Burundi, Cambodia, Chad, Chile, Colombia, the Congo, Croatia, Cyprus, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Denmark, Ecuador, Eritrea, Ethiopia, France, Greece, Guinea Bissau, Jordan, Malawi, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Niger, Peru, Rwanda, Senegal, Serbia and Montenegro, Sudan, Swaziland, Tajikistan, Thailand, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Tunisia, Turkey, Uganda, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Venezuela, Yemen, Zambia, and Zimbabwe.
It is the Co-Chairs’ hope that the Standing Committee will engage in more in-depth discussion on this matter, with the paper (See Document 2.4) which has been distributed by the Co-Chairs and Co-Rapporteurs serving as a basis for this discussion.

- Opportunity for States Parties and others to discuss the ideas contained in the paper distributed by the Co-Chairs and Co-Rapporteurs as well as any related matter concerning enhancing understanding of the implementation of Article 5. (See Document 2.4.)

18:00 Meeting adjourns until Thursday 11 May at 10:00

THURSDAY 11 MAY

Standing Committee on
Mine Clearance, Mine Risk Education and Mine Action Technologies

10:00 Meeting reconvenes

Cooperation and assistance in clearing mined areas

At the First Review Conference, the States Parties concluded that “the challenge for both traditional and non-traditional States Parties in a position to do so will be to ensure a renewed commitment to assist others during the period 2005-2009, through means such as dedicated funds to assist in the implementation of the Convention and by mainstreaming support to mine action through broader humanitarian, development, peace-building and peace support programmes.”

To promote an assessment of progress in overcoming this challenge, the Co-Chairs have distributed a questionnaire (Document 2.5) to mine action contributors and have encouraged them to share responses to this questionnaire at the Standing Committee meeting.

- Opportunity for updates by States Parties and others in a position to provide assistance for clearing mined areas. (See Document 2.5.)

An overview of progress made and challenges that remain in the field of mine risk education

The Zagreb Progress Report recorded that “given the advances made in the field of mine risk education, a priority should be placed on applying the relevant International Mine Action Standards in keeping with Action #21 of the Nairobi Action Plan, particularly by ensuring that all clearance operations have a community liaison component as stipulated by these standards.” Coordinators of an informal working group on mine risk education will provide an update on efforts in this area.

- Overview provided by Handicap International, on behalf of the ICBL, and/or UNICEF on efforts undertaken in the field of mine risk education.

---

14 Zagreb Progress Report, paragraph 55(vi).
An overview of progress made and challenges that remain in the field of mine action technologies

The Zagreb Progress Report recorded as a priority that “in accordance with actions #26 and #27 of the Nairobi Action Plan, States Parties should ensure an adequate supply and most efficient use of existing technologies to further integrate available technologies into clearance operations.” The coordinator of an informal mine action technologies experts’ group will provide an update on efforts in this area.

- Overview provided by Professor Marc Acheroy of Belgium on the status of efforts undertaken in the field of mine action technologies.

Note: While there may be discussion that follows the overview presentation made by the Coordinator of the informal technology experts’ group, it is the Co-Chairs’ intention that the Coordinator’s presentation would be the only pre-prepared presentation on the matter of mine action technologies. Hence, relevant actors who wish to have their information and points of view incorporated into the overview presentation should contact the Coordinator, Professor Marc Acheroy of Belgium (marc.acheroy@rma.ac.be).

Closing remarks by the Co-Chairs

13:00 Meeting ends

Standing Committee on Stockpile Destruction

15:00 Opening of the meeting by the Co-Chairs

A total of 136 States Parties either never held anti-personnel mines or no longer hold them and together the States Parties have destroyed almost 38 million mines. However, 14 States Parties are in the process of destroying their stockpiled anti-personnel mines and some may need assistance in destroying large stockpiles. In addition, some States Parties have not yet provided an Article 7 transparency report to confirm that no stockpiles are in their possession. It remains in the interest of the health of the Convention that we place a high priority on meeting our deadlines for stockpile destruction. During the 11-12 May meeting, the Co-Chairs (Japan and the United Republic of Tanzania) hope that many States Parties and others will share information on these matters.

An overview of the status of stockpile destruction

- Co-Chairs’ presentation on progress in implementing Article 4.
- Views of the ICBL on the state of implementation.

15 Zagreb Progress Report, paragraph 55(vii).
Updates from relevant States Parties on the status of implementation

The *Nairobi Action Plan* states that the State Parties that have not yet completed their anti-personnel stockpile destruction programmes will: establish the type, quantity and, if possible, lot numbers of all stockpiled anti-personnel mines owned or possessed, and report this information as required by Article 7; establish appropriate national and local capacities to meet their Article 4 obligations; strive to complete their destruction programmes if possible in advance of their four-year deadlines; and, make their problems, plans, progress and priorities for assistance known in a timely manner.\(^{16}\)

It is the Co-Chairs hope that relevant States Parties will use the opportunity presented by the Standing Committee meeting, as is suggested in the *Nairobi Action Plan*, to share information on their “problems, plans, progress and priorities for assistance.”

- **Opportunity for an update by the State Party (Algeria) which has recently completed its destruction programme.**
- **Opportunity for updates by those States Parties\(^{17}\) which are in the process of fulfilling obligations under Article 4 of the Convention. (See Document 2.6.)**
- **Opportunity for comments and questions in response to the presentations made by those States Parties which are in the process of fulfilling Article 4 obligations.**

Updates from relevant States Parties on the status of transparency reporting

The *Zagreb Progress Report* recorded that a priority for the period leading to the September 2006 Seventh Meeting of the States Parties is that “efforts should be taken to ensure that those States Parties that have not reported their stockpile status in their Article 7 transparency reports do so in a timely manner.” The Co-Chairs hope, therefore, that relevant States Parties will provide updates on the status of the preparation of their initial transparency reports, particularly with respect to reporting on the existence or absence of stockpiled anti-personnel mines under their control or jurisdiction.

- **Opportunity for updates by States Parties that have not yet confirmed their stockpile status in an Article 7 transparency report.**

The destruction of previously unknown stockpiles

The *Nairobi Action Plan* states, in Action #15, that “all States Parties will, when previously unknown stockpiles are discovered after stockpile destruction deadlines have passed, report such discoveries in accordance with their obligations under Article 7, take advantage of other informal means to share such information and destroy these mines as a matter of urgency.” It is the Co-Chairs’ hope that relevant States Parties will provide updates on this matter as it concerns weapons caches that include anti-personnel mines which have been discovered in, or otherwise have come under the control of, a State Party since the completion of its stockpile destruction programme.

- **Opportunity for updates by States Parties on anti-personnel mines discovered after the completion of destruction programmes.**

\(^{16}\) *Nairobi Action Plan*, Actions #9, #10, #11 and #12.

\(^{17}\) Afghanistan, Angola, Belarus, Burundi, Cyprus, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ethiopia, Greece, Guyana, Latvia, Serbia and Montenegro, Sudan, Turkey and Ukraine.
18:00  Meeting adjourns until 10:00 on Friday 12 May.

FRIDAY 12 MAY

Standing Committee on Stockpile Destruction

10:00  Meeting reconvenes

Cooperation and assistance in the destruction of stockpiled anti-personnel mines

The Nairobi Action Plan states that States Parties in a position to do so will: “act upon their obligations under Article 6 (5) to promptly assist States Parties with clearly demonstrated needs for external support for stockpile destruction, responding to priorities for assistance as articulated by those States Parties in need,” and, “support the investigation and further development of technical solutions to overcome the particular challenges associated with destroying PFM mines.” To assess the status of the application of this portion of the Nairobi Action Plan, it is the Co-Chairs’ hope that those States Parties and others in a position to provide assistance for the destruction of stockpiled anti-personnel mines will provide updates.

➢ Opportunity for updates by States Parties and others in a position to provide assistance for stockpile destruction. (See Document 2.7.)

Matters of a thematic nature related to stockpile destruction

The States Parties have highlighted challenges in a number of thematic areas concerning the fulfillment of Article 4 obligations. For instance:

At the June 2005 meeting of the Standing Committee, the Co-Chairs recognized technical issues associated with the destruction of artillery delivered anti-personnel mines (ADAM) which contain or may contain depleted uranium and that “steps should be taken to enhance understanding and identify difficulties associated with the destruction of ADAM.”

Additionally, it was highlighted that the issue of multifunction fuses warrants further consideration to clarify the nature and scope of the problem associated with their destruction.

In addition, a priority that was noted in Zagreb for the period leading to the 7MSP was that “efforts should be made to raise awareness of the need to establish and implement stockpile destruction of mines belonging to armed non-State actors that have made a commitment to ban the use, stockpiling, production and transfer of anti-personnel mines.”

The Co-Chairs intend to provide an opportunity for an exchange of views on such thematic matters.

➢ Opportunity for an exchange of views on thematic matters concerning the destruction of stockpiled anti-personnel mines.

---

18 Nairobi Action Plan, Actions #13 and #14.
19 Zagreb Progress Report, paragraph 28.
20 Zagreb Progress Report, paragraph 29.
21 Zagreb Progress Report, paragraph 30(v).
Closing remarks by the Co-Chairs

11:30 Meeting ends

Standing Committee on the General Status and Operation of the Convention

11:30 Meeting reconvenes

Updates by Contact Group Coordinators

The Nairobi Action Plan indicates that “all States Parties will (...) continue to utilize informal mechanisms such as the Contact Groups, which have emerged to meet specific needs.”

During the week of meetings of the Standing Committees, the Contact Groups undoubtedly will be extremely active and may be in a position to provide updates on their work.

- Opportunity for updates to be provided by Contact Group Coordinators.

Article 3: Plans for and use of mines retained for the development of and training in mine detection, mine clearance, or mine destruction techniques (continued)

The Nairobi Action Plan notes that “transparency and the open exchange of information have been essential pillars on which the Convention’s practices, procedures and tradition of partnership have been built,” and, that “the States Parties recognize that transparency and effective information exchange will be equally crucial to fulfilling their obligations during the period 2005-2009.”

To this end, the States Parties agreed to a number of actions, including that “all States Parties will (...) in situations where States Parties have retained mines in accordance with the exceptions in Article 3, provide information on the plans requiring the retention of mines for the development of and training in mine detection, mine clearance, or mine destruction techniques and report on the actual use of retained mines and the results of such use.”

To assist States Parties in applying Action #54 of the Nairobi Action Plan, the States Parties at the 6MSP agreed to amend the Article 7 reporting format, thus creating a means for States Parties to share information in addition to what is minimally required on mines retained in accordance with Article 3. In addition, the Co-Chairs have distributed a series of questions to relevant States Parties to assist them in acting in accordance with Action #54. (Document 2.1.)

- Opportunity for those States Parties that have reported mines retained for the purposes permitted in Article 3 to share information on their plans requiring the retention of mines in accordance with Article 3, the actual use of these mines and the results of this use. (See Document 2.1.)

- Opportunity for those States Parties that have reported that they have not retained mines for the purposes permitted in Article 3 to share their experiences.

---

22 Nairobi Action Plan, Action #69.
24 Nairobi Action Plan, Action #54.
Article 9: The development and adoption of legislative, administrative and other measures

The Nairobi Action Plan notes that “primary responsibility for ensuring compliance with the Convention rests with each State Party.” Article 9 of the Convention accordingly requires each party to take “all appropriate legal, administrative and other measures, including the imposition of penal sanctions, to prevent and suppress prohibited activities by persons or on territory under its jurisdiction and control.” To this end, it was agreed, inter alia, that the States Parties which have not yet done so will “develop and adopt legislative, administrative and other measures in accordance with Article 9 as soon as possible to fulfil their obligations under this Article thereby contributing to full compliance with the Convention report annually on progress as required by Article 7.”

The Zagreb Progress Report notes that “while progress has been made by States Parties in fulfilling their Article 9 obligations, it remains a priority that the necessary appropriate legislative, administrative and other measures are taken by the 75 States Parties that have not yet done so.” The ICRC will provide an overview of its views on the state of implementation of this aspect of the Convention.

- Presentation by the ICRC on the status of implementation of Article 9.
- Opportunity for updates by those States Parties in the process of developing and adopting legislative, administrative and other measures in accordance with Article 9, and, if relevant, to make their needs known if assistance is required.

The practical implementation of the various other provisions of the Convention

The Nairobi Action Plan indicates that the States Parties will “exchange views and share their experiences in a cooperative and informal manner on the practical implementation of the various provisions of the Convention, including Articles 1, 2 and 3, to continue to promote effective and consistent application of these provisions.”

- Opportunity for updates on the practical implementation of other provisions of the Convention.

13:00 Break for lunch

15:00 The practical implementation of the various other provisions of the Convention (continued)

- Opportunity for updates on the practical implementation of other provisions of the Convention.

Preparations for the Seventh Meeting of the States Parties

In keeping with past practice, the Standing Committee on the General Status and Operation of the Convention will serve as a forum for views to be shared with respect to various procedural

26 Nairobi Action Plan, Action #59.
27 Zagreb Progress Report, paragraph 126(i).
28 Nairobi Action Plan, Action #55.
matters concerning the next Meeting of the States Parties. These matters include a draft agenda, a draft programme, draft rules of procedure and draft cost estimates for the Meeting of the States Parties. (See Document 2.8 and Document 2.9.)

This agenda item will also feature brief presentations by Australia on suggestions for the approach to be taken with respect to the 7MSP and by Switzerland and the United Nations Department for Disarmament Affairs on organizational matters.

- **Opportunity for discussion on preparations for the Seventh Meeting of the States Parties.** (See Document 2.8 and Document 2.9.)

**Matters pertaining to the general operations of the Convention**

In keeping with past practice, the Standing Committee will provide an opportunity for updates on various matters concerning the general operations of the Convention, including updates on the activities of the Coordinating Committee (6MSP Presidency), the Implementation Support Unit (Director of the GICHD and Manager of the Implementation Support Unit), and the Sponsorship Programme (United Kingdom).

With respect to the Implementation Support Unit and the Sponsorship Programme, the Co-Chairs wish to recall that in the *Nairobi Action Plan*, it was agreed that States Parties will “continue to provide on a voluntary basis, in accordance with their agreement with the GICHD, the necessary financial resources for the operation of the Implementation Support Unit”, and, “on a voluntary basis contribute to the Sponsorship Programme.”

- **Opportunity for comments on the work of various implementation mechanisms and to make announcements of support to the Implementation Support Unit Trust Fund and the Sponsorship Programme.**

**Closing remarks by the Co-Chairs**

**Closing of the week of Standing Committee meetings**

17:30 **Remarks by the Director of the Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining**

18:00 **Week of Standing Committee meetings ends**

---

29 *Nairobi Action Plan*, Actions #67 and #70.