Our conclusions with respect to this request are as follows:

- Finally, we noted that Chile was demonstrating a high level of national ownership by funding the implementation of its demining plan.
- Chile of the socio-economic benefits that will flow from demining could benefit both the Convention and Chile itself given the indication by a shorter time frame.
- We noted that completion of Article 5 implementation in a shorter period a certification technique which could lead to Chile fulfilling its obligations in a shorter time frame.
- In this context, we encouraged Chile to continue improving land release and to permit the safe return of land to the populations.
- We noted with satisfaction that Chile is employing the full range of methods perimeter varies depending on a number of circumstances.
- Where personnel or anti-tank explosions and that the size of this security perimeter which takes into consideration the radius of a possible security perimeter which includes a limited area indicated by Chile includes a

Some of our key observations with respect to this request are as follows:

- The analyzing group was grateful for its collaboration with Chile.
- Input provided by the ICRC and ICL.
- In addition, as with other requests, the analyzing group benefited from expert input.

In order to gain a better understanding of the request, 24 June 2011, the analyzing group met informally with representatives of Chile. As was the case with other requesting States Parties, during the week of 20 to 24 April 2011, I received a request submitted by Chile.

INTRODUCTION TO THE ANALYSIS OF CHILE'S REQUEST

TOMSP PRESIDENT
Parties, and at the Review Conferences. Progress at meetings of the Standing Committees, Meetings of the Parties, and the annual milestones of expected Chile provided updates relative to the annual milestones of expected progress.

In this regard, the analysing group further noted that both could benefit if the period, Chile and all States Parties in assessing progress during the extension of milestone, which Chile included in its request, will greatly assist both be achieved, which Chile included in its request, will greatly assist both.

Finally, we concluded that the provision of annual milestones of progress to

requested.

With implementation faster than that suggested by the amount of time with implementation faster than that suggested by the amount of time.

Suggested that Chile may find itself in a situation wherein it could proceed indicators that it has implemented enhanced progress to release land and indicators that it has implemented enhanced progress to release land.

We concluded that, while the plan presented is workable, the fact that Chile through clearance, technical survey and non-technical survey. Carried out by providing information to release national mine action standards and policies to include land release.

In this context, we concluded that it would be important for Chile to

Also in this context, we concluded that it would be important for Chile to

How such survey activities might affect its overall work plan.

We concluded that it would be useful if Chile could provided greater clarity.