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Executive Summary

Background:
In the last year of the Second World War the whole area of the peninsula of Skallingen on the Danish west coast was mined with anti-personnel and anti-tank mines. One minefield remains on the peninsula.

Humanitarian, social and economic implications:
The social and economic impact of the remaining minefield in Skallingen is insignificant. Although tourists can not walk to the south end of Skallingen, small boats from Esbjerg cannot land there, and hunters cannot hunt in the area concerned, none of this has any economic implication on the local community. Furthermore, no accidents associated with the mines on Skallingen have been reported since 1946.
The preparation and status of work conducted under national demining programs

The affected area has been fenced to ensure the effective exclusion of civilians and domesticated animals. New fencing was erected in 2005.

Prior to the end of 2005 Denmark has gone through a range of different processes to find the correct authority with competency to administer the task of fulfilling Article 5 obligations. The organizational structure of the project was established in late 2005. The ministry responsible for clearance activities at Skallingen is the Ministry of Transport. The project is organized under the Danish Coastal Authority (KDI) which has the authority to task and coordinate civil contractors and manage projects. Furthermore, in November 2005 the Danish Parliament concluded a national political agreement regarding Skallingen with a view to the fiscal bill for 2006.

Since 2006, Denmark has considerably reduced the affected areas:

- The affected area of the Skallingen Peninsula as of entry into force comprised a total of 186 hectares initially identified from German 1944 mine reports and marking established by the mine clearance teams in 1947.
- In 1946 large parts of the minefield were cleared but since there were major difficulties with the clearance and quality control of mainly dune and salt marsh areas, a part of the mined area was fenced and left uncleared.
- For operational purposes the suspected mined area at Skallingen was divided into three sub areas – 1, 2 and 3.
- Area 1 was cleared by British contractor European Land Solutions in 2006, releasing 19 hectares of beach and dunes, destroying a total of 14 anti-personnel mines, 21 anti-tank mines and 32 other items (fuses or UXO)
- Area 2 was cleared by Danish consortium Minegruppen between May 2007 and April 2008, releasing 47 hectares of sensitive and highly protected nature, destroying a total of 13 anti-personnel mines, 5 anti-tank mines, and 131 other items (detonators, explosives or UXO).

Clearance of Area 1 and Area 2 were carried out utilizing metal detection with various deep search instruments by point target excavation and / or sifting. All explosive items were destroyed as they were found or collected in a central demolition site at Skallingen.

Denmark has developed standards for clearance in Skallingen based on the International Mine Action Standards (IMAS). The mine clearance standards for the two first areas were adapted to the environment in which the clearance took place. Based on the experience from Area 1, the standards were altered slightly for Area 2. Prior to the future clearance of Area 3, the standards will be reviewed and reformulated so they address the situation in the new area of operation while remaining IMAS compliant. The mine clearance is subject to an extensive quality management system which assures that the work is conducted within the framework of IMAS, the project’s specific technical guidelines and in accordance with Danish legislation. This includes final quality control samples carried out of completed areas.

In order to ensure the quality of the process undertaken to clear mines in Skallingen the KDI outlined in the tender document the demand for quality management which was required from the contractor. KDI contracted quality auditors (Orbicon in Area 1 and Bureau Veritas in Area 2)
which, in co-operation with the KDI quality manager, made an organisational accreditation of the contractor based on its proposal and standard operating procedures. KDI and the external auditor monitored the mine clearance and checked the extensive amount of recorded data following from this type of mine clearance. Furthermore, the contractor’s equipment was accredited when proven in tests at Skallingen.

In terms of financial contributions to clear Area 1 and 2, the National Political Agreement in 2005 granted a total of 86 million DKK for the release of the dune and beach areas on Skallingen. During 2006 a further 32 million DKK were allocated.

The estimated total amount of remaining mined area needing to be addressed during the extension period covers approximately 120 hectares.

Impeding circumstances:

The circumstances that impede Denmark from complying with its Article 5 obligations within 10 years of entry into force are as follows:

- The release of Area 3 will be based on the further investigations of the area, the condition of the mines and the environmental influence in the area.
- The time schedule is further dependent on the procedure for achieving acceptance from the environmental authorities. One of the key issues is whether Area 3 will need an EIA (Environmental Impact Assessment). A separate permission from the environmental authorities might be needed for the technical survey.
- The mined area is part of the internationally famous Waddensee-area, which is designated as a specially protected nature and wildlife area under both the RAMSAR Convention, and the European Union’s Birds and Habitats Directives. Therefore the area has the strongest international and national legal protection against activities which may disturb or deteriorate the high natural qualities.
- Base on an impact analysis, the environmental authorities in Denmark approved the mine clearance project Area 1 and 2, provided that a number of restrictions and recommendations were followed. This concerned reestablishment of dunes, precautions to prevent sand loss from the beach, measures to keep dune and beach sand separated, restrictions on the use of access roads, etc. The most serious measure of the operational planning consisted of a ban on vehicles and use of explosives in the southern part of the area of operations, from 1 April to 1 August.
- Environmental restrictions similar to those imposed on the southern part of Area 2 will be quite troublesome on Area 3. Potential mine clearance will have to stop from April to August every year to avoid disturbance of colonies of protected rare breeding birds. These months are the most productive mine clearance months due to the weather conditions and because a large part of the area is under water in the remaining part of the year. So besides reducing the working year to 8 months, the effective use of the resources will be significantly reduced. One to two months yearly stand-down due to weather conditions can be expected in addition to the four restricted months. Yearly demobilisation and remobilisation will furthermore increase the project cost and might impede the personnel continuity. Furthermore, it may be impossible to demine the salt meadows without deteriorating them strongly, and this is probably not compatible with the rules of the Habitats Directive and RAMSAR Convention.
• The existing information of Area 3 is not sufficient to confirm the extent to which the area contains mines. This is due to the fact that the mines were laid in a random distribution. After the war, partial but undocumented clearance took place. Furthermore, the area is located in a very dynamic environment with fluctuations in ground levels over time due to the movement of the dunes. A significant part of this area is a marsh habitat which is extremely sensitive and only limited admission to the area is allowed. Numerous international preservations such as the declaration of RAMSAR, EU bird and habitat directive and Natura 2000 restrictions cover the area.

• The Danish Government has recently given permission to investigate Area 3 and to describe a possible release procedure for the area taking into account all the technical and environmental aspects to ensure a decision that enables Denmark to fulfil its obligations in accordance with the Ottawa Convention.

The duration of the proposed extension:

Due to the complications in addressing the pending Area, Denmark is requesting a 22 month extension of its deadline. By requesting an extension of the deadline until 1 January 2011, Denmark assures to complete the technical survey, EIA and public consultations and to develop a release plan for the last mine infected area in Denmark and furthermore to proceed with the tendering process in order to undertake all necessary surveys and other preparations (e.g., in this case, revision of national standards). Thereby Denmark will be in a position to develop a plan in order to complete the destruction of all antipersonnel mines in mined areas. On this basis, Denmark will then submit an additional request medio 2010 in order to being considered at the 11th Meeting of the State Parties. This extension request will include a final time schedule and a complete release plan for completing the destruction of all antipersonnel mines in mined areas based on facts and data from the technical survey etc. in order to fulfil Denmark’s obligations in Article 5 of the Ottawa Convention.

Reasons for the proposed extension:

It follows from the political agreement that timing and method for the release of Area 3 would be decided once areas 1 and 2 have been released, based on the experience from the release of areas 1 and 2 and on further investigations of the remaining area, including the condition of the mines and the environmental influence on the area.

The Danish Government has recently given the permission to conduct technical survey in Area 3 in order to map the extent of the problem and to establish release procedures for the area taking into account all the technical and environmental aspects, thus ensuring a decision that enables Denmark to fulfil its obligations in accordance with the Convention.

Further analysis of Area 3 is ongoing and is expected to be concluded by the end of this year. It is necessary to exercise the utmost cautiousness when planning the further process in order to find environmentally sound solutions since there is a serious risk of causing irreparable damage to the very sensitive nature at South Skallingen. Furthermore, a survey shall provide basis for the reduction of areas that do not contain mines or other explosive hazards. Therefore, it should be underlined, that the survey regarding Area 3 is an ongoing process that needs to take into consideration the significantly different landscape and the very sensitive nature of Area 3 compared to areas 1 and 2. While this research is in progress a final assessment to determine the exact
duration of work cannot be made. The main obstacle is the potential consideration of objections, which could follow from the obligatory conduct of a public consultation exercise of relevant interested parties, all of which are important and necessary elements in the Danish political process.

Denmark is committed to providing a full status report from the findings of the preliminary survey to the States Parties within a year after the preliminary survey is concluded. This proposal is to ensure that all relevant information is made available to the States Parties at the earliest possible date.

Furthermore, the Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining (GICHD) has been invited to attend the preliminary survey at Area 3 and to participate in an advisory role in the planning process regarding the release of the remaining mine infected area at Skallingen. This will add the essential knowledge of the GICHD to the work in progress.

The above mentioned elements create a timeline that – with regard to the Danish extension request - is listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 March 2009</td>
<td>Original deadline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2008 to June 2010</td>
<td>Technical survey of the area. Environmental Impact Assessment, including a public consultation exercise of relevant interested parties and drawing up a task description and definition of release criterias.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2010</td>
<td>New request submitted on this basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November / December 2010</td>
<td>New request considered at the 11th Meeting of the States Parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 January 2011</td>
<td>Deadline of first extension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For the moment unknown but before 2019.</td>
<td>Deadline of the second extension that will secure Denmark’s fulfilment of its obligations in accordance with the Ottawa Convention by completing the destruction of all antipersonnel mines on Danish territory. Final release of Area 3 at Skallingen.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Financial and technical means available:

The necessary mine clearance in this area will be conducted by a civilian contractor. A contractor will be identified in a tender process similar to the tender process of Area 1 and 2. At the present stage it is not possible to detail personnel or equipment resources needed for Area 3. The Danish Coastal Authority will provide the task description and release criteria in a tender and contractors must in their proposals account for their ability to conduct the job, including the necessary personnel and equipment. The time schedule is furthermore dependent on the procedure for achieving acceptance from the environmental authorities. One of the key issues is whether Area 3 will need an EIA (Environmental Impact Assessment). A separate permission from the environmental authorities might be needed for the technical survey.
The Danish Government will cover the costs of clearance operations. At the moment 2.4 million DKK are allocated for the technical survey of Area 3. However, the allocation of funds awaits the outline of a time schedule and a release plan which will be based on the further investigation of the remaining area, the conditions of the mines and the environmental factors in the area.

Environmental implications:

The salt meadows still show signs and scars of the mine clearance carried out in the 1940s. These salt meadows are among the finest salt meadows of the Waddensee today, and it does not seem possible to carry out mine clearance without more or less destroying them. Thus environmentalists are urging a solution that would see the fulfilment of the obligations under the Convention having the minimum impact on the very unique environment at the southern part of Skallingen due to the area’s status as a nature reserve.

Additionally, since a new fence was erected in 2005, domestic animals have not grazed in the area, while cows and sheep always grazed in the area until 2005. The lack of grazing is changing the vegetation in a detrimental way, because the salt meadows and dunes area is experiencing overgrowth of high and lush plants unsuitable for the rare birds, which are the reason for international designation of the area as a nature protection area.

Form A: The duration of the proposed extension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of entry into force</th>
<th>1 March 1999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date ten years after entry into force</td>
<td>1 March 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed end date of extension period</td>
<td>1 January 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Form B: A detailed explanation of the reasons for the proposed extension

Table B.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of area</th>
<th>Means used to identify and record this area as an area in which AP-mines were known to be emplaced.</th>
<th>Date area identified as an area in which AP-mines were known to be emplaced.</th>
<th>Location of Suspect Hazard Area</th>
<th>Total area in which AP-mines were/are known to be emplaced.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Skallingen          | German mine reports from 1944 and marking established by the mine clearance teams in 1947.       | Since the mines were placed in 1944.                                      | UTM32, WGS84 453100, 615000   | The Area 1 mined area which has been released is approximately 19 hectares.  
The Area 2 mined area which has been released is                         |
The Area 3 suspected mined area is approximately 120 hectares but boundaries and size of the actually mined area need to be further defined in order to employ release resources only on hazard mine areas.

Location of Skallingen Peninsula

Table B.2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Area</th>
<th>Total area in which the State Party destroyed or ensured the destruction of all AP-mines contained within.</th>
<th>Means used to destroy or ensure destruction of all emplaced mines and to assure quality.</th>
<th>Number of AP-mines destroyed.</th>
<th>Number of other explosive ordnance destroyed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Skallingen   | Area 1: 19 hectares are released.  
Area 2: 47 hectares are released. | Metal detection with various deep search instruments.  
Clearance by point target excavation and/or sifting.  
All explosive items are destroyed as they are found or collected and destroyed in a central demolition site at Skallingen.  
The mine clearance is subject to an extensive Quality Management system which assures that the work is conducted within the framework of IMAS, the project specific technical guidelines and in accordance with the Danish legislation.  
The Danish Coastal Authority (KDI) outlines in the tender documents the demand for quality management which is required from the contractor.  
KDI has contracted quality auditors (Orbicon in Area 1 and Bureau Veritas in Area 2) which in co-operation with the KDI Quality Manager makes an organisational accreditation of the contractor based on his proposal and Standard Operating Procedures.  
The contractor’s equipment is accredited when it has proven its capabilities in tests at | Area 1: 14 AP-mines  
Area 2: 13 AP-mines. | Area 1: 21 AT-mines and 32 other items (fuses or UXO)  
Area 2: 5 AT-mines and 131 other items (detonators, explosives or UXO) |
Local Skallingen standards based on the International Mine Action Standards were developed for the project. The mine clearance standards for the two first Areas were adapted to the environment in which the clearance should take place. Based on the experience from Area 1, the standards were altered slightly for Area 2. Prior to a future Area 3, the standards will be reviewed and formulated so they address the situation in the new area of operation. IMAS compliance is implicit in developing the local standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspect area prior to project:</th>
<th>2,950,000 m²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area reduction during initial survey:</td>
<td>1,090,000 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 1 released 2006:</td>
<td>185,000 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 2 released 2007-08:</td>
<td>475,000 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total released area 2006-2008:</td>
<td>1,750,000 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 3 suspect area:</td>
<td>1,200,000 m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of area</th>
<th>Area in which AP-mines are still known to be emplaced which has been perimeter marked, monitored and protected by fencing or other means, to ensure the effective exclusion of civilians.</th>
<th>Area in which AP-mines are still known to be emplaced which has not been perimeter marked, monitored and protected by fencing or other means, to ensure the effective exclusion of civilians.</th>
<th>Area in which Denmark must still destroy or ensure the destruction of all AP-mines contained within.</th>
<th>Estimated date for destroying or ensuring the destruction of all AP-mines contained within this area.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skallingen</td>
<td>Approximately 120 hectares are suspected of</td>
<td>All hazard areas or suspected hazard areas are</td>
<td>Approximately 120 hectares are suspected of</td>
<td>The timeframe outlined in Form A indicates that</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
containing mines. This entire area is fenced to the land side. fenced to the land side. There is no fence to the sea side as the rough sea does not allow this. being mined. The size of the area is not yet defined and area reduction and area cancellation process will be employed in this Area in order to deploy resources on hazard mine areas.

release of Area 3 can be completed by November 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of area</th>
<th>Estimated size of the area in which AP-mines are suspected to be emplaced.</th>
<th>Basis for the suspicion that the area may contain AP-mines.</th>
<th>Area in which AP-mines are suspected to be emplaced which has been perimeter marked, monitored and protected by fencing or other means, to ensure the effective exclusion of civilians.</th>
<th>Estimated area in which AP-mines are suspected to be emplaced which has not been perimeter marked, monitored and protected by fencing or other means, to ensure the effective exclusion of civilians.</th>
<th>Estimated date for determining whether mined areas indeed exist in the area.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skallingende</td>
<td>120 hectares</td>
<td>The area was mined in 1944. Mine clearance took place in the area from 1945, but the area was not released when the clearance ended in 1947.</td>
<td>120 hectares</td>
<td>No suspect hazard areas are left without fence.</td>
<td>The existence of mines in some parts of the area is confirmed by finds in the past. The condition of remaining mines needs to be investigated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table B.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of planning and mine action structure.</th>
<th>Date of establishment</th>
<th>Number of staff</th>
<th>Ministry or National Authority Responsible</th>
<th>Mandate or responsibility of the organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A project organization under the Danish Coastal Authority which can task and co-ordinate civil contractors and conduct project management as a client.</td>
<td>The project organization was established in late 2005.</td>
<td>4 persons: A project manager, a quality manager, a GIS manager and an administrator. In addition the project can make use of various experts in coast technical matters from the Coastal Authority and hire external consultants when needed.</td>
<td>Ministry of Transport</td>
<td>The organisation is responsible of the mine action activities at Skallingen.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.6.1.
The National Political Agreement in 2005 granted a total of 86 million DKK for the release of the dune and beach areas on Skallingen. During 2006 a further 32 million DKK were allocated.

Area 1 and 2 are fully financed.

B.6.2.
2,4 million DKK are allocated for the technical survey of Area 3. Denmark will fulfil its obligations in accordance with the Ottawa convention. However, the allocation of funds awaits the outline of a time schedule and a release plan which will be based on the further investigations e.g. of the remaining area, the condition of the mines and the environmental influence in the area. All fiscal resources for the release will be funded by the Danish State.

B.6.3.
Area 1 was conducted by the British contractor European Land Solutions. Area 2 was conducted by the Danish consortium Minegruppen, consisting of Per Aarsleff and Damacon.

B.6.4.
If necessary mine clearance at Area 3 will be conducted by a civil contractor. A contractor will be identified in a tender process similar to the tender processes of Area 1 and 2.

B.6.5. and B.7
At the present stage it is not possible to detail personnel- or equipment resources needed for Area 3.

The Danish Coastal Authority will provide the task description and release criteria in a tender and contractors must in their proposals account for their ability to conduct the job, including the necessary personnel and equipment.

B.8. Impeding circumstances for Area 3

Release of Area 3 will be based on the further investigations of the area, the condition of the mines and the environmental influence in the area.

The time schedule is furthermore dependent on the procedure for achieving acceptance from the Environmental Authorities. One of the key issues is whether Area 3 will need an EIA (Environmental Impact Assessment). A separate permission from the Environmental Authorities might be needed for the technical survey.

The mined area is part of the internationally famous Waddensee-area, which is designated as a specially protected nature and wildlife area under both the RAMSAR Convention, and the EU-Birds- and Habitats Directives. Therefore the area has the strongest international and national legal protection against activities which may disturb or deteriorate the high nature qualities.

Based on an impact analysis, the environmental authorities in Denmark approved the mine clearance project Area 1 and 2, provided that a number of restrictions and recommendations were followed. These concerned reestablishment of dunes, precautions to prevent sand loss from the beach, measures to keep dune- and beach sand separated, restrictions in use of access roads etc. The most serious measure of the operational planning consists of a ban on vehicles and use of explosives in the southern part of the area of operation, from 1st of April to 1st of August.

Environmental restrictions similar to those imposed on the southern part of Area 2 will be quite troublesome on Area 3. Potential mine clearance will have to stop from April to August every year to avoid disturbance of colonies of protected rare breeding birds. These months are the most productive mine clearance months due to the weather conditions and because a large part of the area is under water in the remaining part of the year. So besides reducing the working year to 8 months, the effective use of the resources will be significantly reduced. One to two months yearly standdown due to weather conditions can be expected in addition to the four restricted months. Yearly demobilisation and remobilisation will furthermore increase the project cost and might impede the personnel continuity. Furthermore, it may be impossible to demine the salt meadows without deteriorating them strongly, and this is probably not compatible with the rules of the Habitats Directive and RAMSAR Convention.

The Danish Government has recently given the permission to investigate Area 3 and to describe a possible release procedure for the area taking into account all the technical and environmental aspects to ensure a decision that enables Denmark to fulfil its obligations in accordance with the Ottawa Convention.

Form C: The Humanitarian, social, economic and environmental implications of the proposed extension

Table C.1. Humanitarian implications – victims
No victims have been recorded in the area since the post war clearance ended in 1947.

Table C.2. Humanitarian implications – refugees and IDPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Refugees</th>
<th>Internally displaced persons</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are no humanitarian implications of the minefield.

Table C.3. Social and economic implications.
The social and economic impact of the minefield is insignificant. Tourists can not walk to the south end of Skallingen and small boats from Esbjerg can not land there either. Hunters can not hunt in the area concerned. None of this has any economic implication on the local community.

Table C.4. Environmental implications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mined area</th>
<th>Implication</th>
<th>Supplementary information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The environmental impact of the minefield is mostly positive due to restricted human access, while mine clearance is anticipated to have large detrimental impacts on the very fragile and special nature of the area. Restrictions on access have minimized human disturbance to the area for about 50 years, resulting in rare and on a European scale threatened birds breeding in colonies in the mined area.

Since a new fence was erected in 2005, domestic animals have not grazed the area, while cows and sheep always grazed the area until 2005. The missing grazing is changing the vegetation in a detrimental way, because the salt meadows and dunes are overgrowing with high and lush plants unsuitable for the rare birds, which were the reason for international designation as a nature protection area.

The salt meadows still show signs and scars of the mine clearance carried out in the 1940’s. These salt meadows are among the finest salt meadows of the Waddensee today, and it does not seem possible to carry out mine clearance without more or less destroying them.

Thus are environmentalists urging to find a solution where the fulfilment of the obligations under the Convention would have the minimum impact on the very unique environment at the southern part of Skallingen due to the areas status as nature reserve and the probably ineffective condition of the remaining mines.