
**Fifth Review Conference of the States Parties to the
Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling,
Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines
and on Their Destruction**

5 February 2025

Original: English

Siem Reap, 25-29 November 2024

Agenda item 15

Adoption of the Final document

Final document*

The Final document of the Fifth Review Conference of the States Parties to the Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on Their Destruction consists of the following:

Part I. Organization and Work of the Fifth Review Conference

- A. Introduction
- B. Organization of the Fifth Review Conference
- C. Participation in the Fifth Review Conference
- D. Work of the Fifth Review Conference
- E. Decisions and Recommendations
- F. Documentation
- G. Adoption of the final document and closure of the Fifth Review Conference

Annex - List of documents

Part II¹. Texts adopted by the Conference:

Siem Reap-Angkor Declaration

Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029

Review of the operation and status of the Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on Their Destruction: 2019-2024.

* The present document is being issued without formal editing.

¹ Part II is issued as document APLC/CONF/2024/15.Add.1.

Part I

Organization and work of the Fifth Review Conference

A. Introduction

1. The Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on Their Destruction states in Article 12, paragraphs 1 and 2, that “a Review Conference shall be convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations five years after the entry into force of this Convention” and that “further Review Conferences shall be convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations if so requested by one or more States Parties.”

2. At the First Review Conference (Nairobi, 29 November - 3 December 2004), the States Parties agreed to hold annually, until the Second Review Conference, a Meeting of the States Parties and informal intersessional meetings of the Standing Committees, and that a Second Review Conference will take place in the second half of 2009 (document APLC/CONF/2004/5, Part V, paragraph 2 (a-d)). At the Second Review Conference (Cartagena, 30 November - 4 December 2009), the States Parties decided to hold annually, until a Third Review Conference, a Meeting of the States Parties and informal intersessional meetings of the Standing Committees, and that a Third Review Conference will take place at the end of 2014 (document APLC/CONF/2009/9, paragraph 29 (i) and (ii)). The Third Review Conference (Maputo, 23 to 27 June 2014) agreed that, beginning in 2015, a Meeting of the States Parties will be convened each year at the end of November or beginning of December until the end of 2018, and that the Fourth Review Conference will be held at the end of 2019 (document APLC/CONF/2014/4, paragraph 31). At the Fourth Review Conference (Oslo, 26 to 29 November 2019), States Parties agreed to hold annually, until the Fifth Review Conference, a Meeting of the States Parties and Intersessional Meetings, and that a Fifth Review Conference will take place at the end of 2024 (document APLC/CONF/2019/5, part E, paragraph 34(i)).

3. At the Twentieth Meeting of the States Parties (Geneva, 21-25 November 2022), the States Parties agreed to hold the Convention’s Fifth Review Conference in Cambodia, the week of 25 to 29 November 2024 and adopted its cost estimates (APLC/MSP.20/2022/14). The Twenty-First Meeting of States Parties further agreed to elect Senior Minister and First Vice President of the Cambodian Mine Action and Victim Assistance Authority (CMAA), Dr. Ly Thuch, as the President of the Fifth Review Conference, and to hold the Fifth Review Conference in Siem Reap, Cambodia.

4. To prepare for the Fifth Review Conference, in accordance with the decisions of the Twenty-First Meeting of the States Parties, the First Preparatory Meeting was held on 20 June 2024 and presided by Dr. Ly Thuch, Senior Minister and First Vice-President of the CMAA.

5. The First Preparatory Meeting took note of the Concept note on Fifth Review Conference Substantive Documents, the Road to the Fifth Review Conference (APLC/CONF/2019/PM.1/6), the Matters to consider in developing the Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan (APLC/CONF/2024/PM.1/5), and the Reflections on the Convention’s Meeting Programme and Machinery (APLC/2024/PM.1/4), and expressed general satisfaction that their content would provide useful direction to the ongoing efforts to continue the development of the review of the general status and operation of the Convention, the Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan covering the five-year period following the Fifth Review Conference, and a political declaration. The Meeting considered and approved the Provisional agenda for the Fifth Review Conference, as contained in document APLC/CONF/2024/PM.1/2, as well as the Provisional programme of work, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/PM.1/3 and recommended them for adoption by the Fifth Review Conference. The Meeting also confirmed the Rules of Procedure, as contained in APLC/CONF/2009/3 and recommended them for adoption by the Fifth Review Conference.

6. The Second Preparatory Meeting was held on 18 September 2024. In the context of the exchange of views on documents to be adopted at the Fifth Review Conference, the Meeting took note of the Draft Review of the Operation and Status of the Convention, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/PM.2/5, the Draft Siem Reap–Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/PM.2/2, the Draft Siem Reap– Angkor Declaration on a Mine Free World, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/PM.2/3, and the Draft Recommendations on a Meeting Programme and Machinery 2025 - 2029, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/PM.2/4, and expressed general satisfaction that their content provide an excellent basis for the further development of the review of the general status and operation of the Convention, the Siem Reap – Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029, covering the five-year period following the Fifth Review Conference, the Siem Reap-Angkor Declaration on a Mine Free World declaration, and the future Convention’s meetings and machinery. The Meeting further took note of the status of preparation of the Implementation Support Unit Work Plan and Budget 2025-2029. The Meeting also took note of the appeal for renewing the political commitment to the Convention at a high-level at the Fifth Review Conference.

7. As a complement to the formal preparations for the Siem Reap Review Conference, informal thematic discussions were held on 1 March 2024, 27 May and on 7 November 2024 to inform and provide impetus towards the development of outcome documents of the Fifth Review Conference, including the Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan, the Siem Reap – Angkor Declaration on a Mine Free World , and the Review of the Status and Implementation of the Convention.

8. The formal proceedings of the Fifth Review Conference were preceded, on 25 November 2024, by an opening ceremony which featured Samdech Moha Borvor Thipadei Hun Manet, Prime Minister of Cambodia, Armida Alisjahbana, United Nations Under-Secretary-General and Executive Secretary of the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP), who conveyed a message from United Nations Secretary-General António Guterres, Wrood Taleb Eqtyoosh representing the International Campaign to Ban Landmines (ICBL) who conveyed a message on behalf of the landmine survivors, Gilles Carbonnier, Vice-President of the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC), His Royal Highness Prince Mired Raad Zeid Al-Hussein, Convention Special Envoy, and Ms Tamar Gabelnick, Director of the International Campaign to Ban Landmines – Cluster Munition Coalition.

B. Organization of the Fifth Review Conference

9. The Fifth Review Conference was opened on 25 November 2024 by Dr. Ly Thuch, Senior Minister and First Vice President of the Cambodian Mine Action and Victim Assistance Authority (CMAA), President of the Fifth Review Conference.

10. At its second plenary meeting on 25 November 2024, the Fifth Review Conference adopted its agenda, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/1, and its programme of work, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/10. On the same occasion, the Fifth Review Conference approved the participation in its work as observers of organizations that have been granted observer status by the Coordinating Committee under rule 1.4 of the Rules of Procedure.

11. Also at its second plenary meeting, Algeria, Colombia, Japan, Norway, Slovenia, South Africa, Sweden and Zambia were elected as Vice-Presidents of the Fifth Review Conference.

12. The Fifth Review Conference unanimously confirmed the nomination of Ly Panharith, Secretary General of the Cambodian Mine Action and Victim Assistance Authority, as Secretary-General of the Conference. The Conference also took note of the appointment, by the United Nations Secretary-General, of C. Mélanie Régimbal, Chief of Service of the Conference on Disarmament and Conference Support Branch of the United Nations Office for Disarmament Affairs, as Executive Secretary of the Conference, and of the appointment by the President, of Juan Carlos Ruan, Director of the Implementation Support Unit, as the President’s Executive Coordinator.

C. Participation in the Fifth Review Conference

13. The following States Parties participated in the Conference: Algeria, Angola, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bangladesh, Belarus, Belgium, Benin, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Botswana, Brazil, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cambodia, Cameroon, Canada, Chad, Chile, Colombia, Côte d'Ivoire, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Denmark, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Finland, France, Gambia, Germany, Ghana, Greece, Guinea-Bissau, Holy See, Hungary, Indonesia, Iraq, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Jordan, Lesotho (Kingdom of the), Malaysia, Mali, Mauritania, Mexico, Mozambique, Namibia, Netherlands (Kingdom of the), New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman (Sultanate of), Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Senegal, Serbia, Slovenia, Somalia, South Africa, South Sudan, Spain, Sri Lanka, State of Palestine, Sudan, Sweden, Switzerland, Tajikistan, Thailand, Timor-Leste, Togo, Türkiye, Uganda, Ukraine, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Vanuatu, Yemen, Zambia and Zimbabwe.

14. The following States participated in the Conference as observers, in accordance with Article 12, paragraph 3, of the Convention and Rule 1, paragraph 1, of the rules of procedure of the Conference: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Lao (People's Democratic Republic of), Lebanon, Morocco, Qatar, Singapore, Tonga, United Arab Emirates, United States of America, and Viet Nam.

15. The following international organizations and institutions, regional organizations, entities and non-governmental organizations attended the Conference as observers in accordance with Article 12, paragraph 3, of the Convention and rule 1, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the rules of procedure: ASEAN Regional Mine Action Center (ARMAC), European Union (EU), Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining (GICHD), International Campaign to Ban Landmines (ICBL), International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC), International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC), Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU), Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA), Organization of American States (OAS), Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE), United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA), United Nations Cambodia, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research (UNIDIR), United Nations Mine Action Service (UNMAS), United Nations Office for Disarmament Affairs (UNODA), United Nations Office for Project Services (UNOPS).

16. The following other organizations attended the Conference as observers in accordance with Article 12, paragraph 3, of the Convention and rule 1, paragraph 4, of the rules of procedure: APOPO, Conflict and Environment Observatory (CEOBS), the HALO Trust, International Trust Fund Enhancing Human Security (ITF), James Madison University (JMU), Mines Advisory Group (MAG), Norwegian People's Aid (NPA), Small Arms Survey, Swiss Foundation for Mine Action (FSD).

17. A list of all delegations to the Fifth Review Conference is contained in document APLC/CONF/2024/INF.1.

D. Work of the Fifth Review Conference

18. The Fifth Review Conference held nine plenary meetings from 25 to 29 November 2024. From its third to its eighth plenary meetings, the Conference reviewed the operation and status of the Convention, reviewing progress made and challenges that remain in the pursuit of the Convention's goals and in the application of the Oslo Action Plan 2019-2024.

19. The following States Parties submitted request for extensions in accordance with Article 5(4) of the Convention, Afghanistan, Chad, Cyprus, Eritrea, Guinea-Bissau, Niger, Peru, and Serbia, the executive summaries of which are contained in documents APLC/CONF/2024/WP.20, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.5, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.3, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.24, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.4, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.1, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.2, and APLC/CONF/2024/WP.6 respectively. In addition, Colombia, in its capacity as the Chair of the Committee on Article 5 Implementation presented the

Committee's analysis of the requests, as contained in documents APLC/CONF/2024/WP.5, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.14, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.15, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.18, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.17, and APLC/CONF/2024/WP.16 respectively, as well as observations on one request, as contained in APLC/CONF/2019/WP.21.

20. Also, in the context of the consideration of the operation and status of the Convention, the Conference discussed progress made and challenges that remain in the implementation of the Convention and considered, in particular, document APLC/CONF/2024/12 titled "Status of implementation of the Convention by States Parties with outstanding obligations". Updates were provided by States Parties concerned, as well as by other interested States and organizations.

21. In the context of its consideration of the operation and status of the Convention, the Conference considered the Draft Siem Reap-Angkor Declaration on a Mine-Free World 2024 - Renewed Commitment for a Safer Future, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/WP.22, the Draft Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/WP.23, and the draft review of the operation and status of the Convention, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/4 to APLC/CONF/2024/7.

22. Also in the context of its consideration of the operation and status of the Convention, recalling the "Directive from the States Parties to the Implementation Support Unit (ISU)", which instructed the ISU to propose and present a work plan and budget for the activities of the ISU for the following year to the Coordinating Committee for endorsement, and subsequently to each Meeting of the States Parties for approval, the Conference considered the "Implementation Support Unit 2025 Work Plan and Budget" presented by the Director of the ISU and endorsed by the Coordinating Committee, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/9 and in APLC/CONF/2024/8.

23. Also in the context of its consideration of the operation and status of the Convention, in recalling the "Directive from the States Parties to the ISU", which tasked the ISU to report in written form as well as orally on the activities, functioning and finances of the ISU to each Meeting of the States Parties, and to submit an audited annual financial report for the previous year and a preliminary annual financial report for the present year to the Coordinating Committee, and subsequently to the Meeting of the States Parties, the Conference considered "Activities, functioning, and finances of the Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention Implementation Support Unit. Interim Report (1st January 2024 - 31st October 2024)" presented by the Director of the ISU, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/11.

24. Also in the context of its consideration of the operation and status of the Convention, the Conference considered the "Proposals regarding the Convention's Meeting Programme and Machinery 2025 - 2029", submitted by the President, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/3.

25. Also at its seventh plenary meeting, in the context of its consideration of the 2025-2029 five-year work plan and budget of the Implementation Support Unit and recalling the decision on "Strengthening financial governance and transparency within the ISU" adopted by the Fourteenth Meeting of the States Parties, as well as the "Implementation Support Unit Four-Year Work Plan 2016 Midterm Review" approved by the Sixteenth Meeting of the States Parties, the Conference considered the "Implementation Support Unit Work Plan and Budget 2025-2029", submitted by the President, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/8.

26. Also in the context of its consideration of the general status and operation of the Convention and recalling the Fourteenth Meeting of States Parties' decision on "Strengthening financial governance and transparency within the ISU", the Conference noted that any surplus funding following the closure of accounts should be allotted to the financial security buffer to ensure that it remains at the level equivalent to one year of expenditures related to core support as provided for in the ISU yearly budget.

27. Also in the context of its consideration of the operation and status of the Convention and recalling the Fourteenth Meeting of the States Parties' relevant decisions on "Strengthening financial governance and transparency within the ISU", the Meeting commended the Cambodian presidency for organising, on 1 March 2024, the ninth pledging conference for the implementation of the Convention.

28. Also in the context of the consideration of the general status and operation of the Convention, the Meeting considered the report of the Sponsorship Programme Coordinator, Australia, on the Sponsorship Programme. The Conference noted the appeal of the Sponsorship Programme Coordinator to all States Parties to consider supporting the Programme to ensure the broadest possible participation in the Twenty-Second Meeting of the States Parties. The Conference noted that continuing to give mine-affected States Parties a strong voice in the future of direction of the Convention through the Sponsorship Programme is essential. The Conference further noted that the Sponsorship Programme also enables participation from States not party to the Convention as part of efforts related to the universalization of the Convention.

E. Decisions and Recommendations

29. The Conference reaffirmed the determination of the States Parties to put an end to the suffering and casualties caused by anti-personnel mines and their aspiration to meet the goals of the Convention to the fullest extent possible by 2029 and adopted the Siem Reap-Angkor Declaration on a Mine-Free World, which is contained in part II of this report.

30. The Conference reaffirmed the determination of the States Parties to the Convention to put an end to the suffering and casualties caused by anti-personnel mines, including mines of an improvised nature, and their commitment to strengthen their efforts in order to achieve their common goal of a mine-free world, and the full and equal inclusion of mine-victims. With the aim of supporting enhanced implementation and universalization of the Convention, the Conference adopted the Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029 as contained in Part II of this report. In keeping with the Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029 and the Siem Reap-Angkor Declaration, the Conference condemned the use of anti-personnel mines by any actor.

31. The Conference took stock of the current status of implementation of the Convention, the progress made since the Fourth Review Conference (Oslo, 2019) in meeting the purpose, as well as the remaining challenges to reach a mine - free world, and adopted the "Review of the operation and status of the Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on Their Destruction: 2019-2024", which is contained in part II of this report.

32. In the context of considering the general status and operation of the Convention, the Conference took note of the activities by the President and the informal Universalization Coordination Group (UCG), established by the President of the Twentieth Meeting of States Parties, to promote the universalization of the Convention and its norms. The President further thanked Belgium for its support to the Presidency as co-coordinator of the UCG in the lead up to the Fifth Review Conference.

33. In the context of its consideration of the operation and status of the Convention, the Conference took note of the status of universalization of the Convention and called upon all States that have not yet done so to accede to or ratify the Convention as soon as possible. The Conference further took note that no additional ratification or accession to the Convention have taken place since 2017. In this context, the Conference further welcomed the update from the Kingdom of Tonga on its efforts to accede to the Convention. The Conference welcomed the keynote address by His Royal Highness Prince Mired Raad Zeid Al-Hussein of Jordan, in his capacity as Special Envoy on Universalization of the Convention.

34. Also in the context of considering the general status and operation of the Convention, the Conference expressed particular concern about the continued growth in the number of casualties caused by anti-personnel mines, and the importance of working to address the needs and rights of mine victims in all parts of the world. In this regard, the Conference welcomed updates from States Parties and States not party on their victim assistance efforts.

35. Also in the context of its consideration of the operation and status of the Convention, the Conference took note of the update on the progress provided by Greece and Ukraine on their obligations under Article 4 and on their implementation of the Oslo Action Plan. The

Conference appealed to the States Parties which are in non-compliance with their Article 4 obligations to intensify efforts for the completion of their stockpile destruction obligations.

36. Also in the context of considering the general status and operation of the Convention, the Conference welcomed the updated work plans provided by Cambodia, Croatia, Ecuador, South Sudan, Thailand, Ukraine and Yemen in accordance with the decisions of the States Parties on their requests for extension and contained in APLC/CONF/2024/WP.7, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.8, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.9, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.10, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.11, APLC/CONF/2024/WP.12 and APLC/CONF/2024/WP.13 respectively.

37. Also in the context of considering the general status and operation of the Convention, and taking into account the analysis and observations presented by the Committee on Article 5 Implementation of the requests submitted under Article 5 of the Convention, the Conference took the following decisions:

Decision on the request submitted by Afghanistan for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention

(i) The Conference assessed the request submitted by Afghanistan for an extension of its deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in mined areas in accordance with Article 5.1, agreeing unanimously to grant the request for an extension until 1 March 2030.

(ii) In granting the request, the Conference noted the socioeconomic impact of anti-personnel mines in Afghanistan and the importance of continued support by all stakeholders, including local and international actors to achieve the humanitarian aims of the Convention.

(iii) The Conference further noted that progress in the implementation of Article 5 during the extension period has the potential of making a significant contribution to improving human safety and socioeconomic conditions in Afghanistan.

(iv) In granting the request, the Conference noted that consideration of Afghanistan's request by the Conference should not be construed as tacit or implicit recognition of any entity but seeks to address the interest of the States Parties in achieving the humanitarian aims of the Convention and the importance of delivering essential humanitarian support to mine affected communities.

(v) In granting the request the Conference noted that, should Afghanistan not be in position complete implementation of Article 5 by 1 March 2030, Afghanistan would have to submit a request for extension by no later than 31 March 2029 for consideration by the Sixth Review Conference.

Decision on the request submitted by Chad for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention

(i) The Conference assessed the request submitted by Chad for an extension of its deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in mined areas in accordance with article 5.1, agreeing unanimously to grant the request for an extension until 1 January 2030.

(ii) The Conference noted that while Chad had made significant efforts to comply with the commitments it had made to clarify the true remaining extent of its challenge, the Conference noted that the full extent of the challenge faced by Chad is still unknown. The Conference noted the importance of Chad carrying out survey efforts, as soon as possible, to acquire a clear understanding of the extent of the challenge and project with greater certainty the amount of time that will be required to complete Article 5 implementation. Furthermore, in granting the request, the Conference noted that Chad faced challenges in implementation due to access and security issues. The Conference noted the importance of Chad continuing to report changes on the security situation and how these changes positively or negatively affect implementation.

(iii) In granting the request, the Conference noted that, information provided on progress made could be communicated with greater clarity and in an unequivocal manner by reporting in a manner consistent with International Mine Action Standards (IMAS), by providing information disaggregated by area cancelled through non-technical survey, reduced through technical survey, and cleared. Likewise, the Conference noted the importance of Chad reporting on its remaining challenge in a manner consistent with IMAS including by providing reporting in a disaggregated manner by suspected hazardous areas and confirmed hazardous areas. The Conference further noted that greater clarity could be provided by reporting on the type of contamination addressed, in particular to confirm if the areas in question are contaminated by anti-personnel mines or other explosive remnants of war.

(iv) In granting the request, the Conference noted that Chad reported contamination by anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature. The Conference noted the importance of Chad reporting on its efforts to apply all provisions and obligations under the Convention to anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature, including during survey and clearance in the fulfilment of Article 5, as well as the importance of Chad disaggregating by type of mines when reporting in fulfilment of Article 7 obligations.

(v) Recalling that the request contains a work plan for the period of the extension request, and that the plan will be affected by changes to the security situation as well as the results from survey activities, the Conference noted that the Convention would benefit from Chad submitting to the States Parties an updated detailed work plan by 30 April 2025 and by 30 April 2027, for the remaining period covered by the extension. In granting the request, the Conference noted that this work plan should contain an updated list of all areas known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines using terminology consistent with IMAS, annual projections of which areas and the amount of area that would be addressed during the remaining period covered by the request and by which organization, matched to a revised detailed budget as well as a detailed, costed, and multi-year work plan for context-specific mine risk education and reduction in affected communities, that is sensitive to gender, age, disability and take the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities into account;

(vi) The Conference further noted that the Convention would benefit from Chad reporting annually by 30 April to the States Parties on the following:

- (a) Progress made relative to the commitments contained in Chad's work plan with progress in survey and clearance presented in a manner consistent with IMAS and progress in accordance with the land release methodology employed (i.e., cancelled through non-technical survey, reduced through technical survey, or cleared through clearance);
- (b) The impact of survey and clearance outcomes and how additional clarity obtained may change Chad's assessment of the remaining implementation challenge and timeframe for implementation, including adjusted annual milestones with information on the number of areas and amount of mined area to be addressed annually and how priorities have been established;
- (c) The remaining challenge in a manner consistent with IMAS, by disaggregating by 'suspect hazardous areas', and 'confirmed hazardous areas' and their relative size including by disaggregating between the type of contamination to ensure increased clarity on the remaining challenges;
- (d) Progress on efforts to apply all provisions and obligations of the Convention to anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature, and to disaggregate information by type of mines;
- (e) Updated information on the full range of practical methods used to release land, including the use of mechanical assets.;
- (f) Efforts to ensure consideration for the different needs and perspectives of women, girls, boys and men and the diverse needs and experiences

of people in affected communities as well as climate and environmental considerations in the implementation of the Convention;

- (g) Progress in implementation of mine risk education and reduction activities in affected communities, including information on the methodologies used, the challenges faced, and the results achieved, with information disaggregated by gender and age;
- (h) the humanitarian, social, economic, and environmental implications of contamination including information on victims disaggregated by gender and age;
- (i) Updates regarding resource mobilization efforts and external financing received, and resources made available by the government of Chad to support implementation efforts;
- (j) Updates on changes in the security situation and how these changes positively or negatively affect implementation;
- (k) Updates regarding the changes in the structure of Chad's mine action programme; and

(vii) In granting the request the Conference noted the importance of Chad, in addition to reporting to the States Parties as noted above, keeping the States Parties regularly apprised of other pertinent developments regarding the implementation of Article 5 during the period covered by the request and other commitments made in the request at Intersessional Meetings, Meeting of the States Parties and Sixth Review Conference as well as through its annual Article 7 reports using the Guide for Reporting.

Decision on the request submitted by Cyprus for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention

(i) The Conference assessed the request submitted by Cyprus for an extension of its deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in mined areas in accordance with Article 5.1, agreeing unanimously to grant the request for an extension until 1 July 2028.

(ii) In granting the request, the Conference noted that Cyprus has expressed that the sole circumstance which impedes its ability to destroy all anti-personnel mines in mined areas that it has reported to be under its jurisdiction or control is that it does not have effective control over the remaining areas in question. The Conference further noted the importance of Cyprus providing information on changes to the status of the control of mined areas as Cyprus has indicated that matters related to control affect the implementation of Article 5 during extension periods.]

Decision on the request submitted by Eritrea for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention

(i) The Conference assessed the request submitted by Eritrea for an extension of its deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in mined areas in accordance with Article 5.1, agreeing unanimously to grant the request for an extended deadline until 31 December 2027.

(ii) In granting the request, the Conference noted that the fact that Eritrea had not provided updated information on progress in implementation of its Article 5 obligations since its last extension request was granted by the Fourth Review Conference in 2024 is a matter of concern. The Conference also noted that Eritrea has not complied with the decision of the Twenty-First Meeting on Eritrea's request for extension.

(iii) In granting the request, the Conference noted that Eritrea had not acted in accordance with the agreed "process for the preparation, submission and consideration of requests for extensions to Article 5 deadlines", which was established at the Seventh Meeting of the States Parties, preventing the Committee on Article 5 Implementation from carrying

out its mandate to “prepare and submit to the States Parties in advance of Meetings of the States Parties or Review Conferences an analysis of each request for an Article 5 extended deadline”.

(iv) In granting the request, the Conference welcomed Eritrea’s efforts to conduct and finalize a preliminary assessment involving key policy and operational stakeholders on the restructuring and transitioning of the Eritrean Demining Authority. The Conference noted that the preliminary assessment will enable Eritrea to prepare and submit a detailed work plan by 31 March 2027 and welcomed Eritrea providing updated information to the States Parties in this regard by the Twenty-Second Meeting of the States Parties. To this end, Eritrea will continue engaging with the President of the Convention, Chair of the Committee on Article 5 Implementation, and the Implementation Support Unit (ISU);

(v) In granting the request, the Conference welcomed Eritrea’s commitment to adhere to the process established by the States Parties and submit a request by 31 March 2027 for consideration by the Twenty-Fourth Meeting of the States Parties. The Conference further noted that the submission of Eritrea’s request by 31 March 2027 will permit a cooperative dialogue with the Committee on Article 5 Implementation to take place, as envisaged by the extension request process;

(vi) In granting the request, the Conference also noted that Eritrea would benefit from seeking assistance from the Convention’s Implementation Support Unit (ISU) in the preparation of its request, as required, including by inviting the ISU for an in-country visit, in order to ensure that Eritrea benefits from support in the development of its extension request in line with lessons learned and decisions of the States Parties concerning requests for extension;

(vii) In granting the request, the Conference further noted the importance of Eritrea keeping the States Parties regularly apprised of implementation of Article 5 at Intersessional Meetings and Meetings of the States Parties as well as through its Article 7 reports, using the Guide for Reporting.

Decision on the request submitted by Guinea-Bissau for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention

(i) The Conference assessed the request submitted by Guinea-Bissau for an extension of its deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in mined areas in accordance with Article 5.1, agreeing unanimously to grant the request for an extension until 31 December 2027.

(ii) In granting the request, the Conference noted that, while Guinea-Bissau had not complied with the principle commitments it had made in its initial extension request, as recorded in the decisions of the Twentieth Meeting of the States Parties, to acquire a clear understanding of the extent of the challenge and project with greater certainty the amount of time that will be required to complete Article 5 implementation, it is positive that Guinea-Bissau has made commendable progress and has strengthened its capacity and has initiated survey, clearance and mine risk education activities.

(iii) In granting the request, the Conference noted that Guinea-Bissau is applying best practices and, in particular, the identified “value of States Parties requesting only the period of time necessary to gather and assess data on landmine contamination and other relevant information with a view to developing a meaningful forward-looking plan based on this information, and then submitting a second request containing plans based on a clearer understanding of the extent of the challenge and which project with greater certainty the amount of time that will be required to complete Article 5 implementation” with a view of submitting a subsequent extension request by 31 March 2027.

(iv) In granting the request, the Conference noted the importance of Guinea-Bissau reporting on its remaining challenge in a manner consistent with International Mine Action Standards (IMAS) including by reporting in a disaggregated manner by suspected hazardous areas and confirmed hazardous areas. The Conference further noted that greater clarity could be provided by reporting on the type of contamination addressed, in particular to confirm if

the areas in question are contaminated by anti-personnel mines or other explosive remnants of war. In granting the request, the Conference noted that, information provided on progress made could be communicated with greater clarity and in an unequivocal manner by reporting in a manner consistent with IMAS, by providing information disaggregated by area cancelled through non-technical survey, reduced through technical survey, and cleared.

(v) In granting the request, the Conference noted that Guinea-Bissau would be in a position to complete implementation as soon as possible with increased national and international cooperation and assistance. In this regard, the Conference noted the importance of Guinea-Bissau developing a resource mobilization plan.

(vi) In granting the request, the Conference, noting that the request did not contain a plan for mine risk education, noted that the Convention would benefit from Guinea-Bissau submitting to the States Parties by 30 April 2025, a detailed, costed, and multi-year work plan for context-specific mine risk education and reduction in affected communities, that is sensitive to gender, age, disability and takes the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities into account.

(vii) In granting the request the Conference noted that, given that Guinea-Bissau will undertake national non-technical survey to acquire a more detailed picture of its remaining challenges, the Convention would benefit from Guinea-Bissau submitting to the States Parties by 30 April 2026, an updated detailed work plan for the remainder of the extension period containing, amongst other: a) an updated list of all identified mined areas in accordance with IMAS (i.e. disaggregating by ‘suspected hazardous areas’ and ‘confirmed hazardous areas’ and their relative size, as well as by the type of contamination (e.g. anti-personnel mines, anti-tank mines, explosive remnants of war etc..)) b) projections of the areas where survey and clearance efforts will take place by which organisations and the methodologies to be employed c) plan for context-specific mine risk education and reduction in affected communities and methodologies to be employed d) how priorities have been established, and e) a detailed budget for implementation.

(viii) In granting the request the Conference noted that Guinea-Bissau’s implementation is contingent upon the level and timeliness of national and international support, results of survey as well as the challenges faced by Guinea-Bissau. In this regard, the Conference noted that the States Parties would benefit from Guinea-Bissau reporting annually, by 30 April, to the States Parties on the following:

- (a) Progress made relative to the commitments contained in Guinea-Bissau’s work plan with progress in survey and clearance presented in a manner consistent with IMAS and progress in accordance with the land release methodology employed (i.e., cancelled through non-technical survey, reduced through technical survey, or cleared through clearance);
- (b) The impact of survey and clearance outcomes and how additional clarity obtained may change Guinea-Bissau’s assessment of the remaining implementation challenge and timeframe for implementation, including adjusted annual milestones with information on the number of areas and amount of mined area to be addressed annually and how priorities have been established;
- (c) Updates on Guinea-Bissau’s efforts to approve its NMAS including updates in accordance with the latest IMAS;
- (d) The remaining challenge in a manner consistent with IMAS, by disaggregating by ‘suspect hazardous areas’, and ‘confirmed hazardous areas’ and their relative size including by disaggregating between the type of contamination to ensure increased clarity on the remaining challenge;
- (e) Efforts to develop and implement a detailed, costed and multi-year plan for context-specific mine risk education and reduction in affected communities, including information on the methodologies used, the

challenges faced, and the results achieved, with information disaggregated by gender and age;

- (f) Efforts to establish and maintain a national information management system containing accurate and up-to-date data at the national level on the status of implementation;
- (g) Efforts to strengthen its national capacity to implement its obligations under the Convention and to address previously unknown mined areas, including newly mined areas discovered following completion;
- (h) Efforts to ensure consideration for the different needs and perspectives of women, girls, boys and men and the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities as well as climate and environmental considerations in the implementation of the Convention;
- (i) The humanitarian, social, economic, and environmental implications of the extension including information on victims disaggregated by gender and age;
- (j) Resource mobilization efforts, external financing received, and resource made available by the Government of Guinea-Bissau to support implementation efforts.

(ix) In granting the request, the Conference noted the importance, in addition to Guinea-Bissau reporting to the States Parties as noted above, of keeping the States Parties regularly apprised of other pertinent developments regarding its implementation of Article 5 during the period covered by the request and other commitments made in the request at Intersessional Meetings, Meetings of the States Parties as well as through Article 7 reports using the Guide for Reporting.

Decision on the request submitted by Niger for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention

(i) The Conference assessed the request submitted by Niger for an extension of its deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in mined areas in accordance with article 5.1, agreeing unanimously to grant the request for an extension until 31 December 2029.

(ii) In granting the request, the Conference noted that it was unfortunate that no clearance operations took place over the course of Niger's last extension period. The Conference noted, however, that progress has been made in other areas to support implementation including the development of National Mine Action Standards (NMAS), drafting of standard operating procedures, training and retraining of deminers, training and deployment of community liaison personnel, and demining equipment acquisition. The Conference further noted Niger's plan to initiate mine clearance operations in 2025.

(iii) In granting the request, the Conference noted that, information provided on its remaining challenge could be communicated with greater clarity and in an unequivocal manner by reporting in a manner consistent with International Mine Action Standards (IMAS) including by reporting in a disaggregated manner by suspected hazardous areas (SHA) and confirmed hazardous areas (CHA). The Conference further noted that greater clarity could be provided by reporting on the type of contamination addressed, to confirm if the areas in question are contaminated by anti-personnel mines or other explosive remnants of war.

(iv) In granting the request, the Conference noted that Niger reported being affected by anti-personnel mines of an improvised explosive devices. In this regard, the Conference recalled the importance of Niger applying all provisions and obligations under the Convention to all types of anti-personnel mines, including anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature, including during survey and clearance in fulfilment of Article 5, and of Niger providing information disaggregated by type of mines when reporting in fulfilment of Article 7 obligations.

(v) In granting the request, the Conference noted the challenges faced due to several factors including security. In this regard, the Conference noted that it would welcome Niger providing information on changes in the security situation and on how these changes positively or negatively affect implementation.

(vi) In granting the request, the Conference noted that Niger would be in a position to complete implementation as soon as possible with increased national and international cooperation and assistance. In this regard, the Conference noted the importance of Niger developing a resource mobilization plan.

(vii) In granting the request, the Conference noted that the work plan submitted by Niger does not contain annual milestones and requested that Niger submit updated detailed work plans containing annual milestones by 30 April 2025 and by 30 April 2027, covering the remaining period covered by the extension. The Conference noted that these work plans should contain an updated list of all areas known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines, using terminology consistent with IMAS, annual projections of which areas and the amount of area to be addressed during the remaining period covered by the request and by which organisation, matched to a revised detailed budget. The Conference further noted that the Convention would benefit from Niger reporting annually to the States Parties on the following:

- (a) Progress made relative to the survey and clearance commitments contained in Niger's work plan, including survey and clearance efforts in areas suspected to contain anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature in the Diffa, Tillabéry and Tahoua regions, providing information in a manner consistent with IMAS, and reporting on progress in accordance with the land release methodology employed (i.e. cancelled through non-technical survey, reduced through technical survey, or cleared through clearance), including the national standards employed;
- (b) The impact of survey and clearance outcomes and how additional clarity obtained may change Niger's assessment of the remaining implementation challenge and timeframe for implementation, including adjusted annual milestones with information on the number of areas and amount of mined area to be addressed annually and how priorities have been established;
- (c) The remaining challenge in a manner consistent with IMAS by providing information on the remaining challenges, disaggregating by 'suspected hazardous areas' and 'confirmed hazardous areas' and their relative size, as well as by the type of contamination;
- (d) Progress on efforts to apply all provisions and obligations of the Convention to anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature, and to disaggregate information by type of mines;
- (e) Updates on Niger's efforts to approve its NMAS including updates in accordance with the latest IMAS;
- (f) Progress on security related access restrictions and potential positive or negative impact regarding re-survey and clearance of mined areas;
- (g) Efforts to ensure consideration for the different needs and perspectives of women, girls, boys and men and the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities as well as climate and environmental considerations in the implementation of the Convention;
- (h) The humanitarian, social, economic, and environmental implications of contamination including information on victims disaggregated by gender and age;
- (i) Efforts to develop and implement a detailed, costed and multi-year plan for context-specific mine risk education and reduction in affected communities, including information on the methodologies used, the

challenges faced, and the results achieved, with information disaggregated by gender and age;

- (j) Progress on efforts to establish a sustainable national capacity to address previously unknown mined areas, including newly mined areas discovered following completion;
- (k) Resource mobilization efforts, external financing received, and resources made available by the government of Niger to support implementation efforts, including through efforts to facilitate operations of international demining organisations and/or indigenous capacities, and the results of these efforts.

(viii) In granting the request the Conference noted the importance, in addition to Niger reporting to the States Parties as noted above, of keeping the States Parties regularly apprised of other pertinent developments regarding its implementation of Article 5 during the period covered by the request and other commitments made in the request at intersessional meetings, Meeting of the States Parties and Review Conferences as well as through Article 7 reports using the Guide for Reporting.

Decision on the request submitted by Peru for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention

(i) The Conference assessed the request submitted by Peru for an extension of Peru's deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in mined areas in accordance with Article 5.1, agreeing to unanimously grant the request for extension until 31 December 2029.

(ii) In granting the request, the Conference noted that Peru has made steps to ensure that the objectives of the work plan of its previous extension request were achieved. In granting the request, the Conference noted that Peru has expressed that the main circumstance which impedes the ability of Peru to destroy all anti-personnel mines in mined areas that it has reported to be under its jurisdiction or control is due to insufficient funding.

(iii) In granting the request, the Conference noted the importance of Peru continuing to report on progress in implementation in a manner consistent with International Mine Action Standards (IMAS) to ensure a clear understanding of the progress made by Peru in the implementation of its obligations under Article 5 (i.e., cancelled through non-technical survey, reduced through technical survey, or cleared through clearance and disaggregated by the type of explosive ordnance identified and destroyed (e.g., anti-personnel mines, anti-tank mines)).

(iv) In granting the request, the Conference noted the importance of Peru continuing to report on the survey and clearance methodologies employed, including the standards applied. The Conference further noted the importance of Peru reporting on its effort to ensure that National Mine Action Standards are continuously reviewed to ensure their alignment with the latest IMAS. The Conference further noted the importance of Peru continuing to report on its efforts to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of survey and clearance, including by promoting the research, application, and sharing of innovative technological means to this effect.

(v) In granting the request, the Conference noted that Peru reported that one of the remaining mined areas (PV Gutiérrez) lies within the international political boundary of Peru; however, the demarcation of this area is still in process and is being addressed by the Permanent Peru-Ecuador Border Commission (COMPEFEP). The Conference welcomed Peru keeping the States Parties informed on progress in this regard.

(vi) In granting the request, the Conference noted the importance of Peru continuing to report on updates regarding the implementation of mine risk education and reduction efforts in affected communities, including information on the methodologies used, priorities for implementation, the challenges faced, and the results achieved, with information disaggregated by gender and age.

(vii) In granting the request, the Conference noted that Peru would be in a position to complete implementation as soon as possible with international cooperation and assistance. In this regard, the Conference noted the importance of Peru developing a resource mobilization plan.

(viii) The Conference, in recalling that the request is dependent on procurement of materials and personal protective equipment, and international funding, noted that the States Parties would benefit from Peru providing by 30 April 2027 an updated detailed, costed and multi-year work plan for the remaining extension period, containing information on progress made, the result of survey efforts, an updated list of all areas known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines using terminology consistent with the IMAS and disaggregated by the type of explosive ordnance, annual projections of which areas and the amount of area to be addressed during the requested extension period and by which organisation, matched to a detailed budget. The Conference further noted that the importance of the updated work plan containing detailed, cost, and multi-year plans for context-specific mine risk education and reduction in affected communities.

(ix) In granting the request, the Conference noted that the success of the plan is contingent upon the findings of survey efforts, stable funding, and challenges posed by the meteorological and geographical location of the remaining mined areas. In this regard, the Conference noted that the Convention would benefit from Peru reporting annually to the States Parties on the following:

- (a) Progress made relative to the commitments contained in Peru's work plan with progress in survey and clearance presented in a manner consistent with IMAS and progress in accordance with the land release methodology employed (i.e., cancelled through non-technical survey, reduced through technical survey, or cleared through clearance);
- (b) The impact of survey and clearance outcomes and how additional clarity obtained may change Peru's assessment of the remaining implementation challenge and timeframe for implementation, including adjusted annual milestones with information on the number of areas and amount of mined area to be addressed annually and how priorities have been established;
- (c) The remaining challenge in a manner consistent with IMAS, by disaggregating by 'suspect hazardous areas', and 'confirmed hazardous areas' and their relative size including by disaggregating between the type of contamination to ensure increased clarity on the remaining challenges;
- (d) The survey and clearance methodologies to be employed, including the standards to be employed and efforts to review its NMAS to ensure alignment with the latest IMAS;
- (e) Implementation of mine risk education and reduction efforts in affected communities, including information on the methodologies used, priorities for implementation, the challenges faced, and the results achieved, with information disaggregated by gender and age;
- (f) The humanitarian, social, economic, and environmental implications of contamination, including information on victims disaggregated by gender and age; g. efforts to ensure consideration for the different needs and perspectives of women, girls, boys, and men and the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities, as well as climate and environmental considerations in the implementation of the Convention;
- (g) Efforts to make provisions for a sustainable national capacity to address previously unknown mined areas, including newly mined areas discovered following the completion of Peru's Article 5 obligations; and

- (h) Resource mobilization efforts and external financing received, as well as resources made available by the government of Peru to support implementation efforts.

(x) In granting the request, the Conference noted the importance, in addition to Peru reporting to the States Parties as noted above, of keeping the States Parties regularly apprised of other pertinent developments regarding Peru's implementation of Article 5 during the period covered by the request at Intersessional Meetings, Meetings of the States Parties and at Review Conferences. The Conference further noted the importance of Peru providing updated information on an annual basis within its Article 7 transparency report and noted that the Guide to Reporting adopted at the Fourteenth Meeting of the States Parties might support Peru in reporting on progress in implementing the plan within its request for extension.

Decision on the request submitted by Serbia for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention

(i) The Conference, assessed the request submitted by Serbia for an extension of its deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in mined areas in accordance with Article 5.1, agreeing unanimously to grant the request for an extension until 31 December 2026.

(ii) In granting the request, the Conference noted that while Serbia had not been able to complete the implementation of the principal commitments made in its previous request to complete implementation of non-technical survey in Bujanovac municipality by its 31 December 2024 deadline, Serbia had made considerable progress and noted Serbia's continued commitment to the implementation of Article 5.

(iii) In granting the request, the Conference noted that Serbia is applying best practices and, in particular, the identified "value of States Parties requesting only the period of time necessary to gather and assess data on landmine contamination and other relevant information with a view to developing a meaningful forward-looking plan based on this information, and then submitting a second request containing plans based on a clearer understanding of the extent of the challenge and which project with greater certainty the amount of time that will be required to complete Article 5 implementation" with a view of submitting a subsequent extension request by 31 March 2026.

(iv) In granting the request, the Conference noted the importance of Serbia continuing to develop relevant land release standards, policies, and methodologies in line with International Mine Action Standards (IMAS) for the full and expedient implementation of the Convention during the extension period. The Conference also noted that should Serbia continue to seek improved land release techniques, Serbia may find itself able to complete implementation in a shorter timeframe than requested.

(v) In granting the request, the Conference noted that the request indicates that survey of suspected hazardous areas was delayed due to security concerns. In granting the request, the Conference noted the importance of Serbia keeping the States Parties apprised of changes in the security situation and the potential positive or negative impact on survey and clearance activities.

(vi) In granting the request, the Conference noted the importance of Serbia continuing to report on progress in implementation in a manner consistent with International Mine Action Standards (IMAS) to ensure a clear understanding of the progress made by Serbia in the implementation of its obligations under Article 5 (i.e., cancelled through non-technical survey, reduced through technical survey, or cleared through clearance and disaggregated by the type of explosive ordnance identified and destroyed (e.g., anti-personnel mines, anti-tank mines)).

(vii) In granting the request, the Conference noted the importance of Serbia continuing to report on updates regarding the implementation of mine risk education and reduction efforts in affected communities, including information on the methodologies used, priorities for implementation, the challenges faced, and the results achieved, with information disaggregated by gender and age.

(viii) In granting the request, the Conference noted that Serbia would be in a position to complete implementation as soon as possible with increased national and international cooperation and assistance. In this regard, the Conference noted the importance of Serbia developing a resource mobilization plan.

(ix) In noting that the plan presented by Serbia is based on allocations from the State budget and contingent upon international funding, the Conference noted that the Convention would benefit from Serbia reporting annually to the States Parties on the following:

- (a) Progress made relative to the commitments contained in Serbia's work plan with progress in survey and clearance presented in a manner consistent with IMAS and progress in accordance with the land release methodology employed (i.e., cancelled through non-technical survey, reduced through technical survey, or cleared through clearance);
- (b) The impact of survey and clearance outcomes and how additional clarity obtained may change Serbia's assessment of the remaining implementation challenge and timeframe for implementation, including adjusted annual milestones with information on the number of areas and amount of mined area to be addressed annually and how priorities have been established;
- (c) The remaining challenge in a manner consistent with IMAS, by disaggregating by 'suspect hazardous areas', and 'confirmed hazardous areas' and their relative size including by disaggregating between the type of contamination to ensure increased clarity on the remaining challenges;
- (d) Progress in developing relevant land release standards, policies and methodologies, in line with IMAS, for the full and expedient implementation of the Convention during the extension request period;
- (e) Efforts to ensure consideration for the different needs and perspectives of women, girls, boys and men and the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities as well as climate and environmental considerations in the implementation of the Convention;
- (f) Changes in the security situation and the potential positive or negative impact on survey and clearance activities;
- (g) Efforts to make provisions for a sustainable national capacity to address previously unknown mined areas, including newly mined areas discovered following the completion of Serbia's Article 5 obligations.
- (h) Progress in implementation of mine risk education and reduction activities in affected communities, including information on the methodologies used, the challenges faced, and the results achieved, with information disaggregated by gender and age;
- (i) The humanitarian, social, economic, and environmental implications of contamination including information on victims disaggregated by gender and age; and
- (j) Resource mobilisation efforts, external financing received, and resource made available by the Government of Serbia to support implementation efforts.

(x) In granting the request, the Conference noted the importance in addition to Serbia reporting as noted above, of keeping the States Parties regularly apprised of other pertinent developments regarding the implementation of Article 5 and other commitments made in the request at Intersessional Conferences, Conferences of the States Parties and Review Conferences as well as through its Article 7 reports using the Guide for Reporting.

38. Also in the context of considering the general status and operation of the Convention, the Conference invited the President to establish a working group to support the implementation of Action #44² of the Siem Reap - Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029.

39. Also in the context of its consideration of the general status and operation of the Convention, the Conference emphasized the importance of all States Parties reporting updated information annually in accordance with Article 7 of the Convention. The Conference further encouraged States Parties to make use of the tools developed to facilitate reporting, including the Guide to Reporting³, the on-line reporting tool, as well as to seek the support of the Implementation Support Unit in the preparation of their reports.

40. Also in the context of its consideration of the operation and status of the Convention, the Conference took note of the “Proposals regarding the Convention’s Meeting Programme and Machinery 2025 - 2029”, submitted by the President and as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/3. In considering a meeting programme that would best meet the needs of the States Parties during the period following the Fifth Review Conference, the Conference took the following decisions:

Meeting of States Parties:

- (i) Continue to hold Meetings of the States Parties annually for up to five days leading to the Sixth Review Conference in 2029;
- (ii) Continue including as an agenda item of the Meetings of the States Parties, the financial status of assessed contributions;
- (iii) Continue to include a thematic discussion of interest to the States Parties and of relevance to the implementation of the Convention and the Siem-Reap – Angkor Action Plan (e.g. addressing improvised anti-personnel mines, gender and the diverse needs of mine-affected communities, environmental matters, etc.); and
- (iv) Include a sub-agenda item under Article 5 on mine risk education and risk reduction.

Intersessional Meetings:

- (i) Continue to hold Intersessional Meetings annually in Geneva for a minimum of three days of plenary meeting;
- (ii) In order to allow ample time for discussions between the Convention’s machinery and representatives of States Parties, States not party and other stakeholders on matters related to the implementation of the Convention and the Siem Reap – Angkor Action Plan, consider adding on an annual basis, one day to dedicate to bilateral meetings or to discuss thematic matters related to implementation;
- (iii) The President will consider and decide on these options in consultation with the Coordinating Committee; and
- (iv) Include a sub-agenda item under Article 5 on mine risk education and risk reduction.

The machinery:

- (i) The mandate of the President to “Propose, if deemed necessary, one or more members of the Coordinating Committee to provide support on any issue of the President’s

² APLC/CONF/2024/WP.23.Rev2: States Parties in a position to provide assistance will strengthen efforts to effectively coordinate their support for the effective implementation of Convention obligations by affected States Parties, including in areas of stockpile destruction, mine clearance, risk education and reduction, and victim assistance. As part of this effort, States Parties will explore the feasibility of establishing a voluntary trust fund to support affected States Parties struggling to secure international assistance for their legal and time-bound commitments under Article 5 of the Convention, with a view to reporting on the progress made to the Twenty-Second Meeting of the States Parties and to taking a decision thereon by no later than the Twenty-Third Meeting of the States Parties.

³ APLC/MSP.19/2021/14.

mandate, which may require particular attention, including on financial matters” should highlight support to universalization efforts of the President.

(ii) Appoint a focal point on mine risk education and risk reduction under the Committee on Article 5 Implementation.

41. References to the Oslo Action Plan in the mandates of the Convention’s Committees should be replaced with the Siem Reap – Angkor Action Plan.

42. The mandate of the Committee on Article 5 Implementation should be amended to include the following “Consider matters related to implementation of mine risk education and risk reduction as well as environmental considerations in implementation of Article 5.”

43. The mandate of the Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance should be amended to include references to the Committee’s support to interested States Parties in organizing meetings of the Individualised Approach and in taking advantage of the Cooperation and Assistance Fund.

44. The mandate of the Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance should be amended by removing the reference to the “platform for partnerships” and including a reference to “encouraging and supporting the establishment of national mine action platforms to strengthen partnerships and coordination between all stakeholders, including States Parties in a position to provide assistance”.

45. The mandate of the Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance should be amended to include a reference to supporting the Committee on Article 5 in their analysis of submitted requests for extension as concerns matters related to expressed requirements for assistance (e.g. budgets, resource mobilization plans).

46. The Committees should continue their efforts of increasing and strengthening their coordination, including by examining implementation by States Parties in a more holistic manner and submitting joint conclusions on the status of implementation.

47. Also in the context of its consideration of the operation and status of the Convention, the Conference approved “Implementation Support Unit Work Plan and Budget 2025-2029”, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/8. The Conference invited States Parties, in a position to do so, to consider providing voluntary contributions for the effective operation of the ISU, making multi-year commitments where feasible, in accordance with the ISU’s five-year work plan.

48. Also in the context of its consideration of the operation and status of the Convention, in recalling the “Directive from the States Parties to the ISU”, which tasked the ISU to report in written form as well as orally on the activities, functioning and finances of the ISU to each Meeting of States Parties and to submit an audited annual financial report for the previous year and the relevant decision by the Fourteenth Meeting of the States Parties, the Conference approved the “Implementation Support Unit Work Plan and Budget 2025”, as endorsed by the Coordinating Committee and as contained in document APLC/CONF/2024/9. In the context of the “Directive from the States Parties to the ISU”, the Meeting also approved the “Activities, Functioning, Finances of the ISU - Interim Report (1st January 2024- 31st October 2024)”, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/11, and the ISU’s 2023 audited financial report, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/2.

49. Also in the context of its consideration of the operation and status of the Convention and recalling the Fourteenth Meeting of the States Parties’ decision on “Strengthening financial governance and transparency within the ISU”, the Conference noted that any surplus funding following the closure of accounts be allotted to the financial security buffer to ensure that it remains at the level equivalent to one year of expenditures related to core support as provided for in the ISU yearly budget.

50. Also in the context of its consideration of the operation and status of the Convention, the Conference agreed to extend the appointment of the Director of the Implementation Support Unit until 30 April 2027.

51. In the context of its consideration of the financial status, the Conference underlined the importance of ensuring compliance by all States Parties with their Article 14 obligations and called on States Parties and observer States participating in the Meetings of States Parties

and Review Conferences, to proceed with the timely payments of their shares of the estimated costs as soon as assessment invoices have been received.

52. The Conference requested the United Nations and the Implementation Support Unit to continue their efforts in raising awareness and ensuring transparency on the status of contributions on a monthly basis, by making information available and sending periodic reminders.

53. The Conference welcomed the interest expressed by States Parties to serve as new members of the Committees and decided on the following membership of the Convention's Committees:

(a) Committee on Victim Assistance: Burkina Faso and Netherlands until the end of the Twenty-Second Meeting of States Parties (22MSP), and Austria and Sri Lanka from the Fifth Review Conference to the end of Twenty-Third Meeting of States Parties (23MSP);

(b) Committee on Article 5 Implementation: Thailand and United Kingdom until the end of the 22MSP, and Algeria and Norway from the Fifth Review Conference to the end of the 23MSP;

(c) Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance: Denmark and Türkiye until the end of the 22MSP, and Cameroon and Switzerland from the Fifth Review Conference to the end of the 23MSP;

(d) Committee on Cooperative Compliance: Germany and Peru until the end of the 22MSP and Belgium and Cambodia from the Fifth Review Conference to the end of the 23MSP.

54. The Conference agreed to hold the Intersessional Meetings the week of 16 June 2025⁴.

55. The Conference agreed to hold the Twenty-Second Meeting of the States Parties the week of 1-5 December 2025 in Geneva, Switzerland.

56. The Conference further decided to hold the Twenty-Third Meeting of States Parties end of November – beginning of December 2026⁵. The Conference adopted the estimated costs of the Twenty-Third Meeting of States Parties, as contained in APLC/CONF/2024/14.

Documentation

57. A list of documents submitted to the Fifth Review Conference is contained in the annex to this report. These documents are available in all official languages through the United Nations Official Documents System (<http://documents.un.org>).

F. Adoption of the Final Document and closure of the Fifth Review Conference

58. At its final plenary meeting, on 29 November, the Conference adopted its final document, which is being issued as document APLC/CONF/2024/15. The Conference expressed its heartfelt thanks to the Government and people of Cambodia for their hospitality and outstanding efforts in organizing the Fifth Review Conference. A closing ceremony featured a keynote address from Sister Denise Coghlan, Director of the Cambodia Jesuit Refugee Service, and a youth statement delivered on behalf of 45 Mine Action Fellows of Mines Action Canada. The President delivered closing remarks.

⁴ Subject to availability of conference space.

⁵ Subject to availability of conference space.

Annex

List of documents

<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Title</i>
APLC/CONF/2024/1	Provisional agenda of the Fifth Review Conference. Submitted by the President
APLC/CONF/2024/2	Implementation Support Unit audited Annual Financial Report 2023
APLC/CONF/2024/3	Proposals regarding the Convention's Meeting Programme and Machinery 2025 - 2029
APLC/CONF/2024/4	Draft review of the operation and status of the Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-personnel Mines and on Their Destruction: 2019-2024 - Introduction, Universalizing the Convention, Stockpile Destruction and Retention of Anti-personnel Mines
APLC/CONF/2024/5	Draft review of the operation and status of the Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-personnel Mines and on Their Destruction: 2019-2024 – Survey and Clearance of Mined Areas
APLC/CONF/2024/6	Draft review of the operation and status of the Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-personnel Mines and on Their Destruction: 2019-2024 - Mine Risk Education and Reduction, Assisting the Victims, International Cooperation and Assistance
APLC/CONF/2024/7	Draft review of the operation and status of the Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-personnel Mines and on Their Destruction: 2019-2024 - Measures to Ensure Compliance, Best Practices for Implementing the Convention
APLC/CONF/2024/8	Implementation Support Unit Work Plan and Budget 2025-2029
APLC/CONF/2024/9	Implementation Support Unit 2025 Budget and Work Plan
APLC/CONF/2024/10	Programme of work for the Fifth Review Conference. Submitted by the President.
APLC/CONF/2024/11	Activities, functioning, and finances of the Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention Implementation Support Unit. Interim Report (1st January 2024- 31st October 2024)
APLC/CONF/2024/12 [English only]	Status of implementation of the Convention by States Parties with outstanding obligations
APLC/CONF/2024/13 [English only]	Oslo Action Plan – Status of Implementation
APLC/CONF/2024/14	Estimated costs for the Twenty-Third Meeting of the States Parties to the Convention on the prohibition of the use, stockpiling, production and transfer of anti-personnel mines and on their destruction
APLC/CONF/2024/15	Final report

<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Title</i>
APLC/CONF/2024/15/Add.1	Final report. Addendum
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.1	Request for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention. Submitted by Niger
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.2	Request for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention. Submitted by Peru
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.3	Request for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention. Submitted by Cyprus
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.4	Request for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention. Submitted by Guinea Bissau
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.5	Request for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention. Submitted by Chad
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.6	Request for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention. Submitted by Serbia
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.7	Updated workplan. Submitted by Cambodia
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.8	Updated workplan. Submitted by Croatia
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.9	Updated workplan. Submitted by Ecuador
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.10	Updated workplan. Submitted by South Sudan
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.11	Updated workplan. Submitted by Thailand
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.12	Updated workplan. Submitted by Ukraine
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.13	Updated workplan. Submitted by Yemen
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.14	Analysis of the request submitted by Cyprus for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention.
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.15	Analysis of the request submitted by Guinea-Bissau for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.16	Analysis of the request submitted by Serbia for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.17	Analysis of the request submitted by Peru for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.18	Analysis of the request submitted by Niger for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention

<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Title</i>
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.19	Analysis of the request submitted by Chad for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.20	Request for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention. Submitted by Afghanistan
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.21	Observations on the request submitted for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in Afghanistan in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.22 APLC/CONF/2024/WP.22/Rev.1 APLC/CONF/2024/WP.22/Rev.2	Draft Siem Reap-Angkor Declaration on a Mine-Free World 2024. A Renewed Commitment for a Safer Future
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.23 APLC/CONF/2024/WP.23/Rev.1 APLC/CONF/2024/WP.23/Rev.2	Draft Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.24	Request for an extension of the deadline for completing the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention. Submitted by Eritrea
APLC/CONF/2024/WP.25	Gender & Diversity Mainstreaming Progress Under the Oslo Action Plan and Looking Ahead to the Siem Reap - Angkor Action Plan
APLC/CONF/2024/MISC.1	Interpretative Declaration of the Holy See upon adoption of the Final Report of the Fifth Review Conference of the Anti-Personnel Landmines Convention
APLC/CONF/2024/INF.1 [English, French, Spanish only]	List of Participants

**Fifth Review Conference of the States Parties to the
Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling,
Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines
and on Their Destruction**

5 February 2025

Original: English

Siem Reap, 25-29 November 2024

Agenda item 15

Adoption of the Final document

Final document*

Addendum

Part II. Texts adopted by the Conference:

Siem Reap-Angkor Declaration

Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029

Review of the operation and status of the Convention on the Prohibition of the
Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on
their Destruction: 2019-2024

* The present document is being issued without formal editing.

Siem Reap-Angkor Declaration

Siem Reap-Angkor Declaration on a Mine-Free World 2024. A Renewed Commitment for a Safer Future

(as adopted at the final plenary meeting on 29 November 2024)

1. In the heart of Siem Reap, Cambodia, we, the 164 States Parties to the Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production, and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on Their Destruction, reaffirm our unwavering commitment to put an end to the suffering and casualties caused by anti-personnel mines, that kill or maim hundreds of people every week, mostly innocent and defenceless civilians and especially children, impede humanitarian access, obstruct economic development and reconstruction, inhibit the repatriation of refugees and internally displaced persons, and have other severe consequences years after emplacement. In order to reduce the humanitarian impact and harm, we therefore call on all actors to promote the norms that have underpinned our work these past three decades.
2. We stand on a foundation of tremendous progress since the Convention's inception and thank all stakeholders for their unwavering commitment. We recognise the vital role played by courageous demining personnel engaged in demining operations, who undertake their critical work in often dangerous and difficult environments to release land and restore the security of affected communities.
3. We recall that each State Party has undertaken under Article 1 of the Convention never to use, develop, produce, otherwise acquire, stockpile, retain, or transfer to anyone, directly or indirectly, anti-personnel mines under any circumstances.
4. We remain profoundly alarmed by the continued presence and use of anti-personnel mines, including those of an improvised nature, in new and protracted armed conflicts. We recognise the persistent challenges that lie ahead and are concerned by any development that would increase potential for use of anti-personnel mines, including stockpiling, production, development, transfer and acquisition. *The increasing* number of casualties caused by anti-personnel mines serves as a stark reminder of the ongoing relevance and urgency of our mission. Driven by the vision of a mine-free world, we condemn the use of anti-personnel mines by any actor, urgently call on all States and parties to armed conflict to comply with their obligations under international humanitarian law and human rights law, and on all States Parties to comply with the obligations of the Convention.
5. We also pledge to uphold, promote and strengthen the norms established by the Convention and remain determined to put an end to the suffering and casualties by anti-personnel mines, including those of an improvised nature.
6. While recognising that the Convention has achieved near-universal adherence with 164 States Parties, our work is far from complete. We recognise the critical role of universal adherence to the Convention in achieving a mine-free world and commit to intensifying our coordinated diplomatic outreach efforts to achieve this goal. We call upon all States not party to immediately join the Convention.
7. We recognise the importance of preventing new casualties, including in areas of difficult access where opportunities for survey and clearance activities are limited. In this regard, we commit to delivering effective, context-specific mine risk education and other risk reduction measures to all groups at risk to provide them with the tools to protect themselves until the threat of anti-personnel mines can be addressed.
8. Recalling that the rights and needs of survivors, their families, and communities are at the core of our efforts, we commit to providing comprehensive support through a human rights-based approach, strengthening national coordination mechanisms, and strengthening our cooperation and assistance efforts. Our victim assistance programmes will be inclusive, non-discriminatory, and integrated into broader national policies related to health, disability, education, employment, and development. We are committed to ensuring the full, equal, and

effective participation of mine survivors and victims in society based on respect for human rights, gender equality, diverse needs, and non-discrimination.

9. We commit to intensify our implementation efforts to meet our outstanding obligations, including by increasing the speed and efficiency of survey and clearance and ensuring the destruction of stockpiled anti-personnel mines. Our resolve is steadfast: we will ensure the full and timely implementation of the Convention's provisions, leaving no room for complacency. We further reaffirm our commitment to robust compliance and accountability.

10. We recognise that the success of the Convention relies on strong national ownership and international cooperation and assistance, as well as the unique partnership between affected States Parties, States Parties in a position to provide assistance, and international, regional, and local organisations, including survivors and their representative organisations. We commit to fostering and strengthening partnerships, leveraging each other's strengths and resources to achieve our shared goals. In doing so, we will do our utmost to commit the necessary national and international resources and explore new mechanisms for fostering cooperation and assistance, including those based on innovative financing, and jointly ensure that no State is left behind in its implementation efforts.

11. We recognise the importance of synergies with other international frameworks such as the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, the work of the World Health Organization on rehabilitation and assistive technology, the United Nations Security Council Resolution 1325 on Women, Peace, and Security, amongst other, and will take advantage of these synergies to strengthen the Convention's implementation.

12. We recognise that the effective implementation of the Convention directly contributes to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. We commit to strengthen synergies between the Convention and the sustainable development agenda, ensuring the greatest benefits for affected communities.

13. We recognise the importance of our implementation efforts ensuring consideration for the different needs and vulnerabilities of girls, women, boys, and men, including mine victims, in mine-affected communities, as well as other factors such as disability, ethnicity, and different socio-economic groups. By ensuring an inclusive approach, including removing barriers to full, equal, and gender-balanced participation in mine action and Convention meetings, we aim to protect all people from the negative consequences of anti-personnel mines.

14. We recognise that climate change and environmental degradation can exacerbate challenges in affected communities and commit to adopting environmentally-sound practices and take climate considerations into account in the prioritisation and implementation of the Convention.

15. As we look towards a future free from the threat of anti-personnel mines, we are guided by the principles of humanity and human security that led to the Convention's creation. We reaffirm our commitment to implementation in the Convention's traditional spirit of transparency and cooperation with the urgency that our work requires.

16. We will spare no effort to achieve a mine-free world and appeal to all States and stakeholders to join us in this endeavour. We aspire to meet these goals to the fullest extent possible by the Sixth Review Conference in 2029. The Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029 is an essential tool for fulfilling this ambition and we encourage all States Parties and parties involved to commit to its full implementation.

Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029

(as adopted at the final plenary meeting on 29 November 2024)

I. Introduction

1. States Parties recognise the progress made since the entry into force of the Convention on 1 March 1999. While noting this progress, the States Parties recognise the importance of continued and accelerated pursuit of the universalization and implementation of the Anti-Personnel Mined Ban Convention as the comprehensive framework to end the suffering and casualties caused by anti-personnel mines.

2. The States Parties remain deeply concerned about the continued presence and new use of anti-personnel mines, including those of an improvised nature, in new and protracted armed conflicts that annually kill and injure thousands of women, girls, boys and men around the world and recall that States Parties have undertaken never, under any circumstance, to use anti-personnel mines. The States Parties reaffirm their unwavering commitment to end the suffering and casualties caused by anti-personnel mines for all people for all time. In doing so, States Parties will spare no effort to uphold and strengthen the norm established by the Convention and condemn the use of anti-personnel mines by any actor. States Parties will also intensify their cooperative implementation efforts and work together towards the substantive reduction in the number of mine-affected States Parties over the life of the *Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029*.

3. The States Parties recognise the tangible impact of the Convention on the well-being of mine-affected communities by returning land to productive use, ensuring the social and economic inclusion of mine victims and contributing to peace and security. The implementation of the Convention contributes significantly to preventing and alleviating human suffering, creating the conditions for a life with dignity, supporting climate resilient and environmentally responsible land use and livelihoods, and advancing the Sustainable Development Goals, the Women Peace and Security agenda (UNSC 1325), the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, as well as other international frameworks and the commitment to leaving no one behind. Furthermore, effective implementation of the *Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029* offers a framework to underscore the commitment of States Parties to uphold international law and to strengthen multilateralism and international rules-based system.

4. The *Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan* provides a road map for States Parties in the implementation and universalization of the Convention leading to the Sixth Review Conference in 2029. The *Plan* and its accompanying indicators build on the achievements of the Nairobi, Cartagena, Maputo, and Oslo Action Plans. The road map provided is based on best practice and incorporates the wealth of experience, expertise, and lessons learnt since the Convention's entry into force 25 years ago for different national contexts, including during peacetime and armed conflict.

5. The information submitted by States Parties in their annual Article 7 reports serves as the main data source to assess progress. The President and members of the Coordinating Committee are responsible for measuring progress within their mandate, with support of the Implementation Support Unit. A baseline value for all indicators will be established based on data reported contained in Article 7 reports due by 30 April 2025, progress in subsequent years will be compared to this baseline. States Parties are encouraged to provide detailed information on implementation, allowing for as accurate an assessment as possible of the implementation of the *Siem Reap-Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029*.

II. Best Practices in Implementation

6. The States Parties commit to meeting their obligations in the Convention's traditional spirit of cooperation and transparency, recognising the special partnerships of the Convention with the United Nations, the International Committee of the Red Cross, the International Campaign to Ban Landmines, and the Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian

Demining; and, to foster partnerships with civil society in support of the implementation and universalization of the Convention.

7. Since the entry into force of the Convention, the States Parties have identified best practices that are key to the successful implementation of the Convention, including the following:

- Strong national ownership¹;
- Inclusion and meaningful participation of mine survivors in all areas of the Convention's implementation;
- Sustainable national capacities;
- Evidence-based, costed, context-specific, and time-bound national strategies and work plans;
- Efficient use of available resources, including through ensuring National Mine Action Standards (NMAS) are up to date, in line with International Mine Action Standards (IMAS);
- Integration and mainstreaming consideration for gender, age, and disability and the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities, including mine survivors;
- Integration of climate and environmental considerations;
- Effective partnership, coordination, cooperation, and regular dialogue between stakeholders to foster a conducive environment for implementation, including through the removal of logistic and administrative barriers to implementation and strengthening of cooperation and assistance;
- Strengthened localisation efforts to support the sustainability of interventions;
- Provide annual transparency reports to ensure transparency and the exchange of high-quality information on implementation;
- Accurate and up-to-date, nationally managed, national information management systems;
- Effective functioning of the Convention's implementation machinery, including the work of the President, Committees, and the support provided by the Implementation Support Unit (ISU) and the holding of Meetings of the States Parties; and
- Integration of technological advancements to enhance the efficiency, safety, and speed of implementation of the Convention.

8. Recognising these best practices, the States Parties will pursue the following cross-cutting actions:

Action 1: Demonstrate high levels of national ownership, partnership and coordination, by integrating Convention implementation activities into national development plans, strategies and budgets including on poverty reduction, humanitarian response, health and mental health, gender equality, inclusion of persons with disabilities, peacebuilding, human rights, climate change adaptation, environmental protection and improvement and/or disaster risk reduction, as appropriate.

¹ The States Parties have defined national ownership as entailing the following: 'maintaining interest at a high level in fulfilling Convention obligations and addressing challenges; empowering and providing relevant state entities with the human, financial and material capacity to carry out their obligations under the Convention; articulating the measures its state entities undertake to implement relevant aspects of the Convention in the most inclusive, efficient and expedient manner possible and plans to overcome any challenges that need to be addressed; and, making a regular significant national financial commitment to the state's programmes to implement the Convention'.

Indicators:

(1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report integrating Convention implementation activities into: national development plans and budgets, strategies and budgets including on poverty reduction, humanitarian response, on health and mental health, gender equality, inclusion of persons with disabilities, peacebuilding, human rights, climate change adaptation plans, environmental protection and improvement, and/or disaster risk reduction.

(2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having strengthened partnerships and coordinated Convention implementation activities with relevant humanitarian, peacebuilding, climate, environmental, development, health, disability, and human rights sectors.

Action 2: Establish sustainable national capacities able to coordinate, regulate, and manage the national mine action programme including survey, clearance, mine risk education, and victim assistance and undertake post completion activities, including in the event that previously unknown mined areas, including newly mined areas, are discovered.

Indicator:

(1) Percentage of affected States Parties reporting having sustainable national capacities in place able to coordinate, regulate, and manage the national mine action programme including survey, clearance, mine risk education, and victim assistance and undertake post completion activities, including management of residual risk.

Action 3: Develop evidence-based, costed, context-specific, and time-bound national strategies and work plans to implement Convention obligations as soon as possible and no later than the Twenty-Second Meeting of the States Parties and ensure that they are periodically reviewed and updated based on new evidence.

Indicators:

(1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having evidence-based, costed, context-specific, and time-bound national strategies and work plans in place;

(2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having reviewed and updated their national strategies and work plan based on new evidence.

Action 4: Keep National Mine Action Standards up to date in accordance with International Mine Action Standards to ensure efficient, effective, and safe operations.

Indicator:

(1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having up-to-date National Mine Action Standards in place in accordance with International Mine Action Standards.

Action 5: Ensure that gender, age, disability considerations, and the diverse needs and experiences of women, girls, boys and men in mine-affected communities including mine survivors, are considered and inform all areas of Convention implementation; and report on efforts to deliver an inclusive approach including by striving to remove barriers to full, equal, and meaningful gender-balanced participation, including during Convention meetings.

Indicators:

(1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report national work plans and strategies integrating gender, age, disability considerations, and the diverse needs and experiences of people in mine-affected communities including mine survivors;

(2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report national work plans and strategies developed through inclusive consultation with stakeholders including survivors and representatives of mine-affected communities,

(3) Number of mine victims and survivor organisations participating in Convention meetings;

- (4) Percentage of women participating in Convention meetings.

Action 6: Ensure that climate and environmental considerations inform all relevant areas of Convention implementation to appropriately address climate and environmental risks.

Indicator:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report integrating climate and environmental considerations into Convention implementation activities, including in their national strategies and work plans.

Action 7: States Parties in a position to do so will provide assistance to all States Parties in need of support in implementing national strategies and work plans to fulfil their respective Convention obligations, including victim assistance provisions as soon as possible, including through multi-year-partnerships and funding and through contributions to local organisations as appropriate.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of States Parties that report providing assistance to affected States Parties in implementing their national strategies and work plans, including through multi-year partnerships and multi-year funding;

- (2) Percentage of States Parties that report providing contributions to local organisations, as appropriate.

Action 8: Provide quality information on the implementation of the Convention and the Siem Reap–Angkor Action Plan by 30 April of each year in line with Article 7, employing the Guide to Reporting.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of States Parties that submit Article 7 reports by 30 April of each year;

- (2) Percentage of States Parties that prepare their Article 7 reports using the Guide to Reporting.

Action 9: Establish and maintain a national, centrally managed information management system containing accurate and up-to-date data on the status of implementation.

Indicator:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having a national, centrally-managed information management system in place containing up-to-date information on implementation at the national level.

Action 10: Pay assessed contributions in line with Article 14 of the Convention as early in the year as possible and no later than three months before Meetings of the States Parties/the Review Conference, and swiftly settle any arrears. States Parties in a position to do so will consider providing voluntary contributions for the effective operation of the ISU, making multi-year commitments where feasible, in accordance with the ISU's five-year work plan.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of States Parties that pay their assessed contributions no later than three months before Meetings of the States Parties/the Review Conference;

- (2) Percentage of States Parties that make voluntary financial contributions to the Implementation Support Unit.

III. Universalization

9. Stressing the importance of complying with all the Convention's provisions, the States Parties remain committed to do so in a manner consistent with the principles of the

Convention and to meet its purpose and objectives as soon as possible. The States Parties have established a strong norm against the use, production, stockpiling, and transfer of anti-personnel mines. While the norms established by the Convention are widely adhered to, even by most States not party to the Convention, the continued and increased use of anti-personnel mines and their severe humanitarian impact constitute a grave concern. This also highlights the urgency of strengthening efforts to promote a collective and coordinated approach to the universalization of the Convention and reinforcement of its norms. This includes condemnation of the use of anti-personnel mines by any actor. The Convention has also established key mechanisms for international cooperation and assistance to support universalization efforts. The States Parties will take the following actions:

Action 11: Use all available avenues, at the global, regional, and subregional levels, including through high level engagement and cooperation and assistance, to promote ratification/accession to the Convention by States not party including by encouraging their participation in the work of the Convention.

Indicators:

- (1) Number of States Parties that report on efforts to promote ratification/accession by States not party;
- (2) Number of new ratifications/accessions to the Convention;
- (3) Number of States not party submitting voluntary Article 7 reports;
- (4) Number of States not party participating in informal and formal meetings of the Convention.

Action 12: Intensify efforts to promote universal observance of the Convention's norms and objectives in a concerted and sustained manner, including through political and military-to-military dialogue with States not party, condemn violations of the norms and take appropriate steps to end the use, stockpiling, production, and transfer of anti-personnel mines by any actor, including by armed non-state actors, under any circumstances.

Indicators:

- (1) Number of States not party that report putting in place moratoria on activities prohibited by the Convention;
- (2) Number of votes in favour of the annual UN General Assembly resolution on Implementation of the Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on Their Destruction.

IV. Stockpile Destruction and Retention of Anti-Personnel Mines

10. Great progress has been made in the destruction of stockpiled anti-personnel mines. The States Parties acknowledge the importance of ensuring the destruction of all stockpiled anti-personnel mines as soon as possible in the realization of the Convention's humanitarian objectives. To ensure that all stockpiled anti-personnel mines are expeditiously destroyed in line with Article 4 of the Convention. Ensure continued and strengthened transparency and accountability concerning retained anti-personnel mines under Article 3, the number of which shall not exceed the minimum number absolutely necessary for permitted purposes. States Parties will take the following actions:

Action 13: Following the entry into force of the Convention, develop a realistic, costed and time-bound plan with clear milestones for the fulfilment of Article 4 as soon as possible and within deadline, regularly inform States Parties on progress made and remaining challenges in implementation, report on the status of such mines in accordance with Article 7, and ensure that destruction methods align with international standards for the protection of public health and the environment.

Indicators:

(1) Percentage of States Parties implementing Article 4 that report having a realistic, costed, and time-bound plan in place that includes clear milestones for the fulfilment of Article 4 as soon as possible;

(2) Percentage of States Parties implementing Article 4 that report on aligning their destruction methods with international standards for the protection of public health and the environment.

(3) Percentage of States Parties with stockpile destruction obligations that report on the status of such mines in accordance with Article 7.

Action 14: States Parties that have failed to meet their stockpile destruction deadline and are therefore in non-compliance with Article 4 will present, by the Twenty-Second Meeting of the States Parties, a realistic, costed, and time-bound plan with clear milestones for fulfilling Article 4 as soon as possible, report on the status of such mines in accordance with Article 7, urgently proceed with implementation in a transparent and environmentally responsible manner and regularly inform States Parties on progress and remaining challenges.

Indicators:

(1) Percentage of States Parties that have failed to meet their stockpile destruction deadline that report having in place a realistic, costed, and time-bound plan with clear milestones for fulfilling Article 4 as soon as possible;

(2) Percentage of States Parties that have failed to meet their stockpile destruction deadline that report on progress and remaining challenges in implementation;

(3) Percentage of States Parties that have failed to meet their stockpile destruction deadline that report on the status of such mines in accordance with Article 7.

Action 15: States Parties that discover previously unknown stockpiles, including stockpiles of anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature, will inform the President of their discovery and plans for the destruction of these stockpiled anti-personnel mines as soon as possible, and ensure their destruction as a matter of urgent priority, in an environmentally responsible manner in accordance with the Convention and in line with IMAS, no later than six months after their discovery.

Indicators:

(1) Number of States Parties that report the discovery of previously unknown stockpiles;

(2) Percentage of these States Parties that destroy these anti-personnel mines within six months of their discovery.

Action 16: Annually review the number of anti-personnel mines retained for permitted purposes under Article 3 to ensure that they do not exceed the minimum number absolutely necessary, destroy as soon as possible all anti-personnel mines that exceed that number, report annually on their use and planned use and on their destruction. Explore alternatives to using live anti-personnel mines, and report annually on all of these efforts.

Indicators:

(1) Percentage of States Parties that retain anti-personnel mines for permitted purposes which report on annual reviews of the number of these mines;

(2) Percentage of States Parties that retain anti-personnel mines for permitted purposes that report on the current, planned use, and destruction of these mines;

(3) Percentage of States Parties that retain anti-personnel mines for permitted purposes that report exploring alternatives to using live anti-personnel mines.

V. Survey and Clearance of Mined Areas

11. While considerable progress has been achieved in identifying and addressing mined areas, States Parties have recognised that accelerating the implementation of Article 5, including through the application of evidence-based land release methodologies and improved planning, prioritisation, and resource mobilisation provides the greatest contribution to reducing human suffering and for protecting people from the risk posed by anti-personnel mines and other explosive ordnance. Efforts to establish a baseline and the development of evidence-based, costed, context-specific, and time-bound plans for survey, clearance, and mine risk education and reduction activities are essential and should be reported even where full survey and clearance may not be possible including in situations of armed conflict. This includes enhancing cooperation to meet the humanitarian aims of the Convention, including in disputed areas. In their efforts to address all remaining anti-personnel mine contamination, including contamination by anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature, affected States Parties will take the following actions:

Action 17: Identify suspected and confirmed hazardous areas to the extent possible and establish as soon as possible, accurate baselines of contamination using data- and evidence-based information collected in an inclusive manner including in areas affected by improvised anti-personnel mines in line with IMAS.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having established an accurate and evidence-based contamination baseline, including in areas affected by improvised anti-personnel mines in line with IMAS;
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having established a baseline through inclusive consultations.

Action 18: Develop evidence-based, costed, context-specific, and time-bound national work plans for survey, clearance, and mine risk education as soon as possible and in an inclusive manner. These should include projections of the number of mined areas, size, and amount to be addressed annually, ensuring consideration for gender, age, disability, the diverse needs and experiences of people in mine-affected communities including mine survivors, climate, and the environment to achieve completion as soon as possible. National work plans will be updated annually based on new evidence.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having in place evidence-based, costed, context-specific, and time-bound national work plans for survey and clearance;
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having in place evidence-based, costed, context-specific, and time-bound national work plans for mine risk education;
- (3) Percentage of affected States Parties that report in their Article 7 reports updates to their national work plans, including adjusted milestones based on new evidence, including budgets for implementation, and requirements for assistance.

Action 19: Ensure that survey and clearance are prioritised based on clear nationally-driven humanitarian and sustainable development criteria with consideration for gender, age, disability, the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities including mine survivors, and the environment.

Indicators:

- (1) Number of affected States Parties that report on the inclusion of humanitarian and sustainable development criteria in survey, clearance, planning, and prioritisation;
- (2) Number of affected States Parties that report on the consideration of inclusion of gender, age, disability, the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities including mine survivors, climate, and environment in survey and clearance planning and prioritisation.

Action 20: States Parties affected by anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature (improvised explosive devices (IEDs) which meet the definition of an anti-personnel mine) will apply all provisions and obligations under the Convention to such contamination including during survey and clearance in fulfilment of Article 5 and when reporting in fulfilment of Article 7.

Indicators:

(1) Number of affected States Parties that apply the provisions of the Convention to anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature including in survey and clearance operations under Article 5;

(2) Number of affected States Parties that apply the provisions of the Convention to anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature to reporting obligations under Article 7.

Action 21: Ensure the establishment of a sustainable national capacity to implement the Convention and address previously unknown mined areas, including newly mined areas, discovered following completion. Consider the commitments made at the Twelfth Meeting of the States Parties as contained in the paper “Proposed rational response to States Parties discovering previously unknown mined areas after deadlines have passed”.²

Indicators:

(1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having a national capacity in place to implement the Convention and address any previously unknown mined areas or newly mined areas discovered following completion;

(2) Number of States Parties that following completion, report the discovery of previously unknown mined areas or newly mined areas.

Action 22: Report in a manner consistent with IMAS. Provide information on the remaining challenge disaggregated by ‘suspected hazardous areas’ and ‘confirmed hazardous areas’, their relative size, type of contamination, and provide information on progress in accordance with the land release methodology employed (i.e. cancelled through non-technical survey, reduced through technical survey, or cleared through clearance).

Indicators:

(1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on their remaining challenge in a manner disaggregated by ‘suspected hazardous areas’ and ‘confirmed hazardous areas’ and their relative size;

(2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on progress made to address mined areas in accordance with the land release methodology employed (i.e. cancelled through non-technical survey, reduced through technical survey, or cleared through clearance);

(3) Percentage of affected States Parties that report in a disaggregated manner by type of contamination.

Action 23: Ensure that requests for extensions of Article 5 deadlines contain detailed, evidence-based, costed, context-specific, and multi-year work plans for survey, clearance, and mine risk education for the extension period in line with the decisions of States Parties concerning the extension request process and ensure consideration for gender, age, disability, the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities including mine survivors, as well as climate and the environment.

Indicators:

(1) Percentage of extension requests submitted that include detailed, evidence-based, costed, context-specific, and multi-year plans for survey and clearance;

² APLC/MSP.12/2012/7.

(2) Percentage of extension requests submitted that include detailed, evidence-based, costed, context-specific, and multi-year plans for risk education activities;

(3) Percentage of extension requests submitted that ensure consideration for gender, age, disability, the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities including mine survivors, as well as climate, and the environment.

Action 24: States Parties that complete their clearance obligations will submit voluntary Declarations of Completion considering the recommendations made by the Seventeenth Meeting of the States Parties in line with the paper “Reflections and understandings on the implementation and completion of Article 5 mine clearance obligations”.³

Indicators:

(1) Number of affected States Parties that declare completion of their Article 5 obligations;

(2) Percentage of these States Parties that submit voluntary Declarations of Completion.

Action 25: Improve the effectiveness and efficiency of survey and clearance including through the application of up-to-date National Mine Action Standards in line with IMAS and promote the research, analysis, and adoption of innovative approaches, methods, and technological means to this effect.

Indicators:

(1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on efforts to improve effectiveness and efficiency of survey and clearance;

(2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on research, analysis, and the adoption of innovative approaches, methods, and technological means to improve effectiveness and efficiency of survey and clearance.

VI. Mine Risk Education and Reduction

12. In addition to clearance operations, providing mine risk education and other risk reduction programmes to populations currently or anticipated to be at-risk is a primary means of preventing injuries and fatalities. Mine risk education and reduction programmes may be among the few interventions feasible in emergencies, armed conflict, and other scenarios where access is restricted making them a vital component of frontline mine action responses. Given this context, it is essential to deliver effective, context-specific mine risk education and reduction programs that consider gender, age, and disability while addressing the diverse needs and experiences of affected communities, including mine survivors. Furthermore, these programmes should also account for additional risks posed by mines in the context of conflict, climate change, environmental degradation, and population movements. In this regard, affected States Parties will take the following actions:

Action 26: Integrate mine risk education and risk reduction programmes within wider plans for humanitarian response and protection, development, health, mental health, climate, the environment, education, and/or disaster risk reduction or other relevant plans; as well as within survey, clearance and victim assistance activities and other efforts to raise awareness, reduce the risk to the affected population, and work towards creating the conditions for safer behaviour until the threat is addressed.

Indicators:

(1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having integrated mine risk education and reduction programmes within wider plans for humanitarian response and protection, development, health, mental health, climate, the environment, education, and/or

³ APLC/MSP.17/2018/10.

disaster risk reduction or other relevant plans; as well as within survey, clearance and victim assistance activities;

(2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on efforts to reduce the affected population's risk-taking behaviour and to increase knowledge and awareness.

Action 27: Provide context-specific mine risk education and reduction programmes that are tailored to the threat encountered by the population and prioritise people most at risk by ensuring that these programmes are developed on an analysis of available casualty and contamination data, climate and environmental risk, an understanding of the affected population's behaviour, risk pattern and coping mechanisms, and, wherever possible, anticipated population movements. Ensure that such programmes are sensitive to gender, age, disability and take the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities into account.

Indicator:

(1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having established an evidence-based priority-setting mechanism for mine risk education and reduction programmes tailored to the threat encountered by the population and which prioritises people most at risk.

Action 28: Establish sustainable national capacities to deliver mine risk education and reduction programmes able to adapt to changing needs and contexts including in the case that previously unknown mined areas or newly mined areas are discovered and/or in emergency settings.

Indicator:

(1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having sustainable national capacities in place to deliver mine risk education and reduction programmes in case previously unknown mined areas or newly mined areas are discovered and/or in emergency settings.

Action 29: Report on the implementation of mine risk education programmes, including information on how priorities were established, methodologies used, challenges faced, and results achieved and include information disaggregated by gender, age, disability, and other diverse needs and experiences of affected communities.

Indicator:

(1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report carrying out mine risk education and reduction programmes including information on how priorities are established, methodologies used (e.g. interpersonal, mass, or digital media), challenges faced, and results achieved and included information disaggregated by gender, age, disability, and other relevant factors.

VII. Victim Assistance

13. States Parties remain committed to ensuring the full, equal, and effective participation of mine victims in society based on respect for human rights, gender equality, equity, and diverse needs, inclusion, and non-discrimination. To be effective and sustainable, victim assistance needs to be integrated into broader national policies, plans, budgets, and legal frameworks relating to the rights of persons with disabilities and to rehabilitation, health, mental health, education, employment, development, and poverty reduction in support of the realisation of the Sustainable Development Goals, as well as the Women, Peace, and Security agenda. States Parties with victims in areas under their jurisdiction or control will endeavour to do their utmost to provide appropriate, affordable, inclusive, and accessible services to mine victims and their families on an equal basis with others and to ensure victims and their representative organisations are consulted on the development and implementation of such services. In this regard, States Parties will take the following actions:

Action 30: Ensure that a relevant government entity in affected States Parties is assigned as the focal point to coordinate victim assistance and oversee and enhance the integration of victim assistance activities into broader national policies, plans, budgets, and legal frameworks to ensure its sustainability, including following Article 5 completion. The focal point will work with relevant national entities, survivors and their representative organisations, and other relevant stakeholders to develop a national specific, measurable, realistic, and time-bound disability action plan. The plan should be inclusive of the needs and rights of mine victims and ensure consideration for gender, age, and disability among others. The focal point will monitor and report on the plan's inclusive implementation.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on the relevant government entity assigned as the focal point to oversee and enhance the integration of victim assistance into broader national policies, plans, budgets, and legal frameworks to ensure its sustainability, including following Article 5 completion;
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having an inclusive national action plan in place considering mine and other explosive ordnance victims, gender, age, disability, and other considerations and containing specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, and time-bound objectives;
- (3) Percentage of affected States Parties that report including mine and other explosive ordnance victims or their representative organisations, in victim assistance planning and implementation at the national and local level;
- (4) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on progress and challenges in implementing their national action plans.

Action 31: Carry out inter-ministerial and multi-sectoral efforts to ensure that the needs and rights of mine and other explosive ordnance victims are effectively addressed through relevant national policy and legal frameworks and budgets relating to disability, health, mental health, education, employment, climate change, the environment, development, and poverty reduction in line with relevant provisions of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having in place an inter-ministerial and multi-sectoral coordination mechanism to ensure that the needs and rights of mine and other explosive ordnance victims are addressed;
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report including the needs and rights of mine and other explosive ordnance victims in relevant national policies, legal frameworks, and budgets.

Action 32: Carry out efforts to identify all mine and other explosive ordnance victims and collect accurate and comprehensive information on their needs, challenges and geographic locations in a manner disaggregated by gender, age, disability, and other considerations, making data on mine and other explosive ordnance victims available to relevant stakeholders including by integrating this data into a national centralised database, such as disability data systems to ensure a comprehensive and sustainable response in line with relevant national data protection regulations/measures.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on efforts to identify mine and other explosive ordnance victims and disaggregate victim data by gender, age, disability, and other considerations;
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report the inclusion of data on mine and other explosive ordnance victims in a national centralised database such as disability data systems and make data available to relevant stakeholders in accordance with data protection regulations/measures.

Action 33: Provide effective and efficient context-specific emergency medical care to new casualties and improve national capacity through training, including on psychosocial first care, specialized training for health professionals and layperson first responder training in affected communities and ensure that mine and other explosive ordnance survivors have access to health services including in rural and remote areas.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having a mechanism in place to ensure an efficient and effective emergency response to new casualties;
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on availability and accessibility of health services, including in rural and remote areas.

Action 34: Ensure that a national/sub-administrative area referral mechanism is in place to facilitate access to services for mine and other explosive ordnance victims, including by creating and disseminating a comprehensive directory of services available, accessible, and inclusive for all mine and other explosive ordnance victims.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having a national/sub-administrative referral mechanism in place which is available, accessible, and inclusive for all mine victims;
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having a comprehensive directory of services available, accessible, and inclusive for all mine victims.

Action 35: Take steps to ensure that considering local, national, and regional circumstances, all mine and other explosive ordnance victims including in rural and remote areas have access to comprehensive rehabilitation services and assistive technology; including where necessary, through the provision of outreach and innovative rehabilitation services, paying particular attention to the most vulnerable.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on efforts to increase the availability and accessibility of rehabilitation services;
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on the provision of assistive technology;
- (3) Percentage of States Parties that report on efforts to increase resources and national capacity to make assistive technology affordable and accessible.

Action 36: Ensure mine victims have access to psychological and psychosocial support services including to mental health, peer-to-peer support, community support, and other available services. Increase national capacity in mental health and psychological support and peer-to-peer support to address all needs, including in situations of emergencies.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on mine and other explosive ordnance survivors and affected families accessing mental health and psychological support disaggregated by gender, age, disability, and other relevant factors;
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on the provision of and integrating peer-to-peer support into public healthcare and other relevant systems.

Action 37: Strengthen efforts to meet the social and economic inclusion needs of mine victims by ensuring their access to education, capacity-building, employment referral services, finance institutions/services, business development services, rural development, vocational training and social protection programmes, including in rural and remote areas.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on efforts to remove barriers to the social and economic inclusion of mine survivors and affected families.
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on programmes for inclusive employment, livelihoods, and other social protection services;
- (3) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on the number of mine and other explosive ordnance survivors and affected families accessing social and economic services, disaggregated by gender, age, disability, and other relevant factors.

Action 38: Ensure that relevant national emergency/humanitarian response and preparedness plans integrate the safety and protection of mine survivors and populations in affected communities in situations of risk. This includes situations of armed conflict, humanitarian emergencies, and natural disasters in line with the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, other relevant international humanitarian and human rights law, and international guidelines.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report integrating the safety and protection of mine survivors in their emergency/humanitarian response and preparedness plans;
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on mine victims' inclusion and accessibility to programmes for humanitarian assistance, risk reduction, and conflict preparedness and protection.

Action 39: Improve accessibility and strive to remove physical, social, cultural, political, attitudinal, and communications barriers to ensure the full inclusion and effective participation of mine victims and their representative organisations including in rural and remote areas in all matters that affect them.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on progress in removing physical, social, cultural, political, attitudinal, and communication barriers;
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report including survivors and/or their representative organisations in matters that affect them, including in planning and implementation at the national and community levels.

VIII. International Cooperation and Assistance

14. Cooperation and assistance is a critical element of the Convention's implementation. While reaffirming that each State Party is responsible for implementing the provisions of the Convention in areas under its jurisdiction or control, the States Parties stress that enhanced and coordinated cooperation and assistance can support the implementation of Convention obligations, including post-completion activities, as soon as possible. States Parties also recognise that cooperation and assistance should be responsive to gender, age, disability, climate, and environmental considerations among others. Considering the requirements for support expressed by affected States Parties and with a view to *leaving no State Party behind*, enhancing cooperation through strengthening donor coordination and effective partnerships towards completion is key. States Parties will therefore take the following actions:

Action 40: Do their utmost to commit the resources needed to meet their Convention obligations as soon as possible and explore all possible funding sources including conventional and alternative/innovative sources and mechanisms of funding such as front loading models.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report making national financial commitments to the implementation of survey and clearance;
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report making national financial commitments to the implementation of victim assistance;
- (3) Percentage of affected States Parties that report making a national financial commitment to meet their stockpile destruction obligations;
- (4) Percentage of States Parties that report on exploring all possible sources of funding, including conventional and alternative/innovative sources and mechanisms of funding or that report having innovative financial projects in place.

Action 41: Develop resource mobilisation plans and use all mechanisms to disseminate information on challenges and requirements for assistance including through annual Article 7 reports, requests for extension under Article 5 and updated work plans where applicable, and by taking advantage of the Individualised Approach tool.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report on progress, challenges in implementation, and requirements for assistance;
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having a resource mobilisation plan in place;
- (3) Percentage of affected States Parties that have taken advantage of the Individualised Approach.

Action 42: Strengthen national level coordination including by ensuring regular dialogue with national and international stakeholders on progress and challenges in implementation and requirements for assistance including by establishing an appropriate National Mine Action Platform, wherever possible.

Indicators:

- (1) Percentage of affected States Parties that report efforts to strengthen national coordination with national and international stakeholders and States Parties in a position to provide assistance;
- (2) Percentage of affected States Parties that report having established a National Mine Action Platform.

Action 43: States Parties in a position to do so will provide assistance to affected States Parties in the implementation of their obligations under the Convention and support implementation of evidence-based, costed, context-specific, and time-bound national strategies and work plans which are built on sound analysis of the needs and priorities of affected communities, taking into account gender, age, disability, and other considerations including climate and the environment. Provide support to victim assistance through earmarked funding in the mine action budget and/or through integrating victim assistance into broader development and humanitarian efforts by ensuring that this broader funding is relevant to the needs and interests of victims, including mine survivors and persons with disabilities.

Indicators:

- (1) Number of States Parties that report on providing assistance to affected States Parties in the implementation of survey and clearance;
- (2) Number of States Parties that report providing assistance to affected States Parties in the implementation of victim assistance;
- (3) Number of States Parties that report providing assistance to affected States Parties in the implementation of mine risk education;

(4) Number of States Parties that report providing assistance to States Parties in implementing their stockpile destruction obligations;

(5) Number of States Parties providing assistance reporting on considerations for gender, age, disability, the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities including mine survivors;

(6) Number of States Parties providing assistance reporting on considerations for climate and the environment.

Action 44: States Parties in a position to provide assistance will strengthen efforts to effectively coordinate their support for the effective implementation of Convention obligations by affected States Parties, including in areas of stockpile destruction, mine clearance, risk education and reduction, and victim assistance. As part of this effort, States Parties will explore the feasibility of establishing a voluntary trust fund to support affected States Parties struggling to secure international assistance for their legal and time-bound commitments under Article 5 of the Convention, with a view to reporting on the progress made to the Twenty-Second Meeting of the States Parties and to taking a decision thereon by no later than the Twenty-Third Meeting of the States Parties.

Indicator:

(1) Number of States Parties in a position to provide assistance that report on coordinating their support with other States Parties in a position to provide assistance.

Action 45: Explore opportunities for international, regional, and bilateral cooperation including between affected States Parties or triangular cooperation for the voluntary sharing of national experiences and good practices. This may include mutually supporting clearance commitments in border areas, sharing experience of integrating considerations for gender, the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities, climate, the environment, scientific, methodological, and technological expertise into programming to strengthen the Convention's implementation.

Indicators:

(1) Number of States Parties that report sharing national experiences, best practices, and lessons learnt through international, regional, and bilateral cooperation;

(2) Number of States Parties that report sharing scientific, methodological, and technological expertise to strengthen the Convention's implementation.

IX. Measures to Ensure Compliance

15. Stressing the importance of complying with all the Convention's provisions, the States Parties remain committed to meet its purpose and objectives as soon as possible. Reaffirming the unwavering commitment to promote compliance with the Convention, in accordance with its provisions and principles, the States Parties will take the following actions:

Action 46: In the event of alleged or known non-compliance with the general obligations under Article 1, the State Party concerned will undertake all necessary measures to investigate and address alleged or known non-compliance with Article 1, as well as measures taken to prevent any further instances of non-compliance, where relevant, and to provide information on the situation to all States Parties in the most expeditious, comprehensive and transparent manner possible. The State Party will work with other States Parties in a spirit of cooperation to resolve the matter in an expeditious and effective manner, in accordance with Article 8.1.

Indicators:

(1) Number of States Parties with alleged and/or known non-compliance with Article 1;

(2) Percentage of these that report updates to all States Parties on efforts to resolve the matter as soon as possible.

Action 47: States Parties implementing obligations under Article 4 or 5 or retaining or transferring anti-personnel mines in line with Article 3, that have not submitted an Article 7 report containing information on progress in implementing these obligations will submit transparency reports as soon as possible containing updated information on implementation. Should no information on implementation be submitted for two consecutive years, the President will assist and engage with the State Party concerned in cooperation with the relevant Committee.

Indicator:

(1) Percentage of States Parties that are implementing obligations under Article 4, 5 or that retain anti-personnel mines under Article 3, that submit Article 7 reports containing updated information on implementation.

Action 48: States Parties that have not fulfilled their obligations under Article 9 of the Convention will urgently take all appropriate legal, administrative, and other measures to implement those obligations and report on the measures taken as soon as possible and no later than the Twenty-Second Meeting of the States Parties (2025).

Indicator:

(1) Percentage of States Parties that report having fulfilled their obligations under Article 9.

Draft review of the operation and status of the Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-personnel Mines and on Their Destruction: 2019-2024

Introduction, Universalizing the Convention, Stockpile Destruction and Retention of Anti-personnel Mines

I. Introduction

1. The Convention provides a framework to “put an end to the suffering and casualties caused by anti-personnel mines” by ensuring universal adherence to a comprehensive set of prohibitions on the use, stockpiling, production, and transfer of anti-personnel mines by ensuring the exclusion of civilians from mined areas, destroying all anti-personnel mines in mined areas, destroying stockpiles, and providing assistance to mine victims. The Convention also foresees that certain matters are essential for achieving progress in these areas, including cooperation and assistance, transparency and the exchange of information, national implementation measures to prevent and suppress prohibited activities, and facilitating compliance and implementation support.
2. Since the Fourth Review Conference of the Convention held in Oslo in 2019, significant progress has been made in meeting the Convention's purpose and objectives and meeting the 2025 aspirational goal set by the States Parties. While regular progress continues to be made, challenges remain to reach a mine-free world and ensure that healthcare and broader support services provide sustainable support to mine victims. Also, since the Fourth Review Conference, the situation in several States Parties has deteriorated, and protracted conflicts continue affecting their ability to implement their obligations under the Convention. Furthermore, since the Fourth Review Conference, the large-scale use of anti-personnel mines, including anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature, has been recorded.
3. This review is intended to serve as a comprehensive record of the progress made by the States Parties in fulfilling their obligations since the Fourth Review Conference. It aims to take stock of the implementation status, document the decisions, recommendations, and understandings adopted by the States Parties since the Fourth Review Conference, and provide an analysis of the current situation. Based on this analysis, the review will highlight the challenges that remain in fulfilling the obligations of the Convention.

II. Universalizing the Convention

4. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties recognised that the Convention has established a strong norm against any use, production, stockpiling, and transfer of anti-personnel mines and that while this norm is widely adhered to even by States not party to the Convention, efforts to promote universalization of the Convention and the strengthening of its norms must continue.
5. As of 1 September 2024, the Convention had entered into force for 164 States Parties. Since the Fourth Review Conference, no additional States have ratified/acceded to the Convention. In this regard, increased and regular efforts to encourage ratification/accession of States will be necessary going forward.
6. Today, there are 33 States which are not yet party to the Convention, including one signatory State: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bahrain, China, Cuba, Egypt, Georgia, India, Iran, Israel, Kazakhstan, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Republic of Korea, Kyrgyzstan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lebanon, Libya, Marshall Islands (signatory), Micronesia (Federated States of), Mongolia, Morocco, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, Syrian Arab Republic, Tonga, United Arab Emirates, United States of America, Uzbekistan and Viet Nam.

7. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties agreed to use all available avenues to promote ratification of/accession to the Convention by States not party, including by encouraging their participation in the work of the Convention. Since the Fourth Review Conference, in keeping with the States Parties' tradition of openness, all States not party were invited to each of the Convention's Pledging Conferences, Intersessional Meetings, Meetings of the States Parties and to the Fifth Review Conference and relevant Preparatory Meetings. In addition, States not party, were also regularly invited to informal meetings held by the President and the Convention's Committees. The following 20 States not party (60% of States not party) took part in at least one of the Convention's meetings since 2019: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, India, Israel, Republic of Korea, Kazakhstan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Myanmar, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, Syrian Arab Republic, Tonga, United Arab Emirates, the United States of America, and Viet Nam. Many of these States expressed their support for the humanitarian aims of the Convention and indicated how they provide support to States Parties in fulfilling their obligations under the Convention.

8. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the President of the Convention, Convention office holders, and other States Parties have promoted ratification of/accession to the Convention by States not party during informal and formal meetings of the Convention as well as in other fora such as the meetings of the United Nations General Assembly, the Conference on Disarmament, the Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons as well as meeting of the Human Rights Council and the World Health Organization. Continuing to take advantage of other fora to promote the Convention will be an important effort.

9. Considering the stagnant accession/ratification rates, each year since the Fourth Review Conference, the Presidents of the Convention have engaged with the 33 States not party through writing, requesting updated information concerning their positions vis a vis the Convention. The information provided was employed to develop observations and conclusions on the status of universalization of the Convention which were presented at Intersessional Meetings and Meetings of the States Parties. The Convention's Presidents (Sudan (2020), the Netherlands (2021), Colombia (2022), Germany (2023) and Cambodia (2024)) held bilateral meetings with representatives of States not party in Geneva, New York and capitals to encourage their engagement with the work of the Convention. Meetings were held with the representatives of Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lebanon, Libya, Nepal, the Marshall Islands, Micronesia (Federated States of), Mongolia, Tonga, United Arab Emirates, the United States of America and Viet Nam. These States not party, have been encouraged to continue their consideration to accede to/ratify the Convention as soon as possible and to make formal commitments to adherence with the Convention's provisions.

10. One measure of States' acceptance of the Convention's norms is through support expressed for the annual United Nations General Assembly resolution on the implementation of the Convention. Since 2019, the following 17 States not party voted in favour of the resolution: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bahrain, China, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lebanon, Libya, Marshall Islands, Micronesia (Federated States of), Mongolia, Morocco, Myanmar, Tonga, United Arab Emirates. Unfortunately, in 2023, and for the first time since entry into force of the Convention, one State not party, the Russian Federation, voted against the resolution. In the most recent vote on this resolution in 2024, the following 17 States not party to the Convention voted in favour: Armenia, Bahrain, China, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Lao PDR, Lebanon, Libya, Marshall Islands, Micronesia, Federated States of, Mongolia, Morocco, Myanmar, Singapore, Tonga and United Arab Emirates.

11. In voting in favour of the resolution, many States not party acknowledged and supported, to varying degrees, the humanitarian goals of the Convention and highlighted the grave consequences of the use of anti-personnel mines. States not party have provided different reasons for not acceding to the Convention. In some cases, States not party expressed that proceeding with accession is dependent on the accession of another State, generally a neighbouring State. Other States not party have indicated that accession is tied to sovereignty issues. Still, other States have indicated the many competing priorities for the limited internal resources available as an obstacle to accession. Finally, others perceive that the marginal

military utility derived from anti-personnel mines is not outweighed by the grave humanitarian consequences of their use.

12. Additionally, the Convention's norms have seen widespread acceptance by States not party to the Convention, with 9 States not party (27% of States not party)—Egypt, Georgia, India, Israel, Kazakhstan, Republic of Korea, Morocco, Singapore, and the United States—reporting having put in place moratoria on the use, production, export, and/or import of anti-personnel mines.

13. In 2023, the President of the Twentieth Meeting of the States Parties (20MSP) established an informal Universalization Coordination Group (UCG) to develop a strategic approach to universalization efforts. The UCG, which is co-facilitated by Belgium, has met 9 times since its establishment in 2023 to exchange information on universalization efforts, coordinate joint demarches, and discuss universalization opportunities more broadly. At present, the UCG is working to continue efforts to encourage targeted States not party to join the Convention given the affinity towards the Convention expressed by these States, including Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bahrain, Georgia, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lebanon, Marshall Islands (signatory), Micronesia (Federated States of), Mongolia, Nepal, Tonga, and Viet Nam.

14. The States Parties have recognised that to ensure the success of universalization efforts, continuous engagement with States not party will be required by both States Parties and other stakeholders. While accession is the ultimate objective, States not party have been encouraged to take concrete steps towards accession, such as carrying out landmine policy reviews, enacting moratoria on the use, production and transfer of anti-personnel mines as well as destroying stockpiled mines, clearing mined areas, providing mine risk education, assisting mine victims, submitting voluntary transparency reports, voting in favour of the United Nations General Assembly resolution on the implementation of the Convention and participating in the work of the Convention.

15. Challenges persist despite the tremendous progress achieved in pursuing the universal acceptance of the Convention and its norms. While new emplacements of anti-personnel mines by States not party remain relatively rare, since the Fourth Review Conference, several States Parties have shared their concern of the erosion of multilateral norms and in particular the possible renaissance of the perspective that anti-personnel mines are a legitimate means of warfare with military value. Since the Fourth Review Conference, new use of anti-personnel mines has been reported in 2 States not party to the Convention: Myanmar and the Russian Federation⁴. In the case of the Russian Federation's use of anti-personnel mines, this is the first time since entry into force of the Convention that a State not party has employed anti-personnel mines in the territory of a State Party. Upholding the norm of the Convention going forward will be an important task for the States Parties. In this regard, States Parties should use every opportunity to demonstrate publicly their unwavering commitment to the implementation of the Convention and continue to reaffirm and reinforce the humanitarian norm of the Convention, including by condemning the use of anti-personnel mines by any actor.

16. All States not party to the exception of three – Iran, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and Uzbekistan – have participated at least once in a Convention-related meeting. Several States not party regularly deliver statements to provide information about their state's position on accession and/or on their activities to implement certain provisions of the Convention and their contributions to mine action activities. Almost all the States not party which have contributed information on their position have acknowledged and supported the humanitarian aims of the Convention and have recognised the negative impact caused by anti-personnel mines.

17. The production of anti-personnel mines remains rare. At one time, more than fifty (50) States produced anti-personnel mines. Thirty-six (36) of these States are now party to the Convention and have ceased and prohibited all production, in line with the Convention. Today, only a handful of States not party have been recorded as producers of anti-personnel mines in the last years. Since the Fourth Review Conference in 2019, the Landmine Monitor listed 12

⁴ Landmine Monitor Report 2023.

States not party as landmine producers because they have yet to disavow future production, unchanged from the previous report: Armenia, China, Cuba, India, Iran, Myanmar, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Republic of Korea, Pakistan, Russia, Singapore, and Viet Nam. Of these, India, Iran, Myanmar, Pakistan, and Russia are reported to likely be actively producing.

18. On 21 June 2022, the United States of America announced important policy changes to their landmine policy which “align US APL policy outside of the Korean Peninsula with the key requirements of the Ottawa Convention,” including the commitment not to use, develop, produce, acquire, export anti-personnel mines or assist, encourage, or induce anyone to engage in any activity that would be prohibited by the Ottawa Convention as well as to destroy all APL stockpiled not required for the defence of the Republic of Korea. The United States indicated that along with the new policy, “the United States will undertake diligent efforts to pursue materiel and operational solutions to assist in becoming compliant with and ultimately acceding to the Ottawa Convention.”⁵

19. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the increased use of anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature by armed non-state actors and their impact on mine affected communities has also been recorded. The view has been expressed that engagement with these groups could help ensure that these actors cease the use stockpiling, production, and transfer of anti-personnel mines as soon as possible. Nevertheless, the view was also expressed that when engagement by non-governmental organisations of armed non-state actors is considered, vigilance is required to prevent organisations that commit terrorist acts from exploiting the Convention for their goals. Some States Parties continue to be of the view that when engagement with armed non-state actors is contemplated, concerned States Parties should be informed, and their consent would be necessary for such an engagement to take place.

20. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the use of anti-personnel mines by “non-state actors” has been reported by the Landmine Monitor in the following States: Afghanistan, Central African Republic, Colombia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, India, Libya, Myanmar, Nigeria, Pakistan, Thailand, and Tunisia. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties resolved to continue to promote universal observance of the Convention's norms and objectives, condemn violations of these norms and take appropriate steps to end the use, stockpiling, production, and transfer of anti-personnel mines by any actor, including by armed non-State actors. The States Parties have acknowledged the importance of continued efforts to condemn the use, stockpiling, production, and transfer of anti-personnel mines by any actor, ensuring that the norm against the use, stockpiling, production, and transfer of anti-personnel mines remains strong. Since the Fourth Review Conference, subsequent Presidents of the Convention and several States Parties have expressed deep concern in response to new emplacements of anti-personnel mines, including anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature, and called for actors concerned to cease the use of such anti-personnel mines. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties, at their informal and annual Meetings of the States Parties as well as in other multilateral fora, have condemned the use of anti-personnel mines by any actor. Furthermore, subsequent Presidents since the Fourth Review Conference have publicly condemned the use of anti-personnel mines by any actor, including through press releases. States Parties should commit to denounce and condemn any violation of the treaty's norm and hold States using anti-personnel mines accountable to ensure that such violations are not repeated.

21. Since the Fourth Review Conference, allegations of use of anti-personnel mines by the Armed Forces of Ukraine were highlighted by Human Rights Watch in their report dated 31 January 2023. Since the allegations surfaced, subsequent Presidents and the Committee on Cooperative Compliance have been engaged in a cooperative dialogue with Ukraine to address these allegations and to ensure that the Convention's compliance mechanisms remain strong. The Committee on Cooperative Compliance welcomed the cooperative dialogue

⁵ <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2022/06/21/fact-sheet-changes-to-u-s-anti-personnel-landmine-policy/>

sustained with Ukraine and welcomes the information provided by Ukraine on its efforts to carry out investigations.

22. While the vast majority of States with stockpiled anti-personnel mines – 91 – have joined the Convention, the Landmine Monitor indicates that the following 30 of the 33 States not party to the Convention likely possess stockpiled anti-personnel mines: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bahrain, China, Cuba, Egypt, Georgia, India, Iran, Israel, Kazakhstan, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Republic of Korea, Kyrgyzstan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lebanon, Libya, Mongolia, Morocco, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, Syria, United Arab Emirates, United States of America, Uzbekistan and Viet Nam.

23. States not party can submit voluntary Article 7 transparency reports to communicate information about the key areas of implementation of the Convention. States not party that have expressed support for the Convention's object and purpose have been particularly encouraged to provide voluntary transparency reports. Since the Fourth Review Conference, of the 33 States not party, only Morocco has submitted such a report on an annual basis.

24. On 18 February 2021, the European Union (EU) adopted Council Decision (CFSP) 2021/257 in support of the Oslo Action Plan (OAP) for the implementation of the 1997 Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on their Destruction. Part of the Decision is focused on supporting the Presidency in implementing its universalization mandate and supporting the activities of the Convention's Special Envoys in this endeavour. The States Parties have recognised the important contributions of the EU Council Decision to implementing the Convention and the action plans adopted by the States Parties.

25. The Convention's Special Envoys, His Royal Highness Prince Mired Raad Al-Hussein, and Her Royal Highness Princess Astrid of Belgium, in coordination with the President, have continued to make themselves available to engage States not party to the Convention at a high level.

(a) In April 2022, in support of the President's universalization mandate, the President, together with the Convention's Special Envoy, His Royal Highness (HRH) Prince Mired Raad Zeid Al-Hussein of Jordan, travelled to New York and met several delegations of States not party including Armenia, Azerbaijan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Marshall Islands, and Viet Nam to discuss barriers to accession and to explore the opportunity of visiting these countries to engage in similar discussions in capital;

(b) During their visit to New York, the President and the Special Envoy participated in a UN press conference to condemn the recent use of mines by the Russian Federation and to manifest the importance of strengthening the norm of and implementation of the Convention. To further promote the Convention's norm, the Special Envoy also met with Eritrea, encouraging the State Party to return to compliance with the Convention. Other high-level meetings to discuss universalization and strengthening the norm of the Convention took place with the Delegation of the European Union to the United Nations in New York, the Under-Secretary-General and High Representative for Disarmament Affairs, and the ICBL.

(c) The Special Envoy HRH Prince Mired Raad Zeid Al-Hussein of Jordan took advantage of the Third Global Conference on Victim Assistance in October 2023 to join the Convention President, Germany, and incoming President, Cambodia, in meeting with States not party and other stakeholders. A technical meeting took place at the European Union Delegation in Phnom Penh, with representatives from Belgium, Germany, Japan, and the United Nations Development Programme to discuss the opportunity afforded by the Fifth Review Conference to promote the Convention in the region. A meeting was held with the Presidency Troika: Germany, Cambodia, Japan, and the Special Envoy to discuss possible follow-up for actions on universalization in a more cohesive manner. The Troika also discussed the best manners to support Cambodia in the lead-up to the Fifth Review Conference in Siem Reap and its own universalization efforts. As a result, the Troika met with friends of the Convention to discuss universalization and the need to support Cambodia in its presidency and universalization efforts. In addition, the Special Envoy supported the Convention's President, Germany, in his universalization efforts, joining the President in

meetings with Ambassadors and/or other high-level representatives of Indonesia (as Chair of the Association of South East Asian Nations), Lao People's Democratic Republic, Republic of Korea, Viet Nam, and the United States of America.

(d) On the margins of the Indo-Pacific Forum held on 2 February 2024 in Brussels, HRH Princess Astrid met with high-level representatives from the People's Democratic Republic of Lao, the Marshall Islands, and Viet Nam to discuss their position vis a vis the Convention and encourage them to take concrete steps towards accession to the Convention.

III. Stockpile destruction and retention of anti-personnel mines

26. The Fourth Review Conference noted that great strides have been made in destroying stockpiled anti-personnel mines since the Convention's entry into force and that each mine destroyed represents a potential life or limb saved. In this regard, the States Parties aimed to ensure that all stockpiled anti-personnel mines are expeditiously destroyed in line with Article 4 of the Convention and that anti-personnel mines retained under Article 3 do not exceed the minimum number necessary for permitted purposes.

27. At the close of the Fourth Review Conference, there were three States Parties for which the obligation to destroy stockpiled anti-personnel mines remained relevant – Greece, Sri Lanka, and Ukraine. In addition to these States, one State Party - Tuvalu – needed to confirm whether they held stockpiled anti-personnel mines. Tuvalu's initial report was due on 28 August 2012.

28. On 8 September 2021, Sri Lanka reported in a communication to the Convention's Implementation Support Unit (ISU) that it had completed its Article 4 obligations ahead of its 1 June 2022 deadline on 24 August 2021, with the destruction in Kilinochci, Sri Lanka, of its last 11,840 stockpiled anti-personnel mines.

29. There are now two States Parties for which the obligation to destroy stockpiled anti-personnel mines remains relevant – Greece and Ukraine – with the two being non-compliant since 1 March 2008 (Greece) and 1 June 2010 (Ukraine). One State Party, Tuvalu, has yet to provide its required initial transparency information and, hence, has not yet confirmed the presence or absence of stockpiled anti-personnel mines. However, Tuvalu is presumed not to hold stocks. Therefore, there are now 161 States Parties that do not hold stockpiles of anti-personnel mines because they have either completed their destruction programs or because they never held stockpiles of anti-personnel mines, together States Parties have reported the destruction of over 53.1 million stockpiled anti-personnel mines.

30. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties also agreed that "States Parties that have failed to meet their stockpile destruction deadline and therefore are in non-compliance with Article 4 will present a time-bound plan for completion and urgently proceed with implementation as soon as possible in a transparent manner, regularly informing States Parties on progress made and remaining challenges." Since the Fourth Review Conference, all States Parties that have missed their Article 4 deadlines have responded to this call by regularly informing States Parties on progress made and remaining challenges. The States Parties have recognised the importance of States providing clarity on the status of stockpile destruction and the importance of State Parties providing concrete timelines for the implementation of obligations under Article 4.

31. Since the Fourth Review Conference, one of the main challenges in stockpile destruction has been the pending completion of stockpile destruction by Greece and Ukraine. Both States Parties have consistently reported on the situation concerning their stockpiled anti-personnel mines. One State Party – Greece – communicated a completion date, however, as of 15 September 2024, both States Parties have yet to provide a time-bound plan for completion. The States Parties have recognised that States Parties must make every effort to achieve completion of their Article 4 obligations, as soon as possible, and no later than their respective deadline, and that they do so transparently by communicating progress made and remaining challenges regularly. The importance of destroying stockpiled anti-personnel mines as soon as possible following entry into force has been spotlighted by the current unprecedented situation faced by Ukraine, where part of Ukraine's stockpiled anti-personnel

mines are no longer under Ukraine's effective control and are now in temporarily occupied territories of Ukraine.

32. At the Fourth Review Conference, it was agreed that any State Party that discovers previously unknown stockpiles after stockpile destruction deadlines have passed will inform States Parties as soon as possible and destroy these anti-personnel mines as a matter of urgent priority and no later than six months after their discovery. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 2 States Parties – Gambia (2019) and Montenegro (2020)- have reported the discovery of previously unknown stockpiled anti-personnel mines in accordance with the commitments made in the OAP. While Montenegro has reported the destruction of the 195 discovered unknown stockpile mines, Gambia, while reporting its commitment not to use these mines and to destroy them in full compliance with the Convention, has not provided an update on progress in the destruction of the 3,000 previously unknown stockpiled mines.

33. Since the Fourth Review Conference, subsequent Presidents have highlighted the importance of continuing to report the discovery of previously unknown stockpiles and ensuring their destruction as soon as possible following their discovery and have included information in this regard in their observations and conclusions on stockpile destruction.

34. At the Fourth Review Conference, it was agreed that “Any State Party that retains anti-personnel mines for reasons permitted under Article 3 of the Convention will annually review the number of mines retained to ensure that they do not exceed the minimum number absolutely necessary for permitted purposes and will destroy all anti-personnel mines that exceed that number. The States Parties will report annually by 30 April on the use of retained mines and their destruction.”

35. At the Fourth Review Conference, it was recorded that 70 States Parties had reported anti-personnel mines, as required by Article 7, paragraph 1 d), for the development of training in mine detection, mine clearance, or mine destruction techniques in accordance with Article 3 of the Convention.

36. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the following has transpired:

(a) Nine States Parties – Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Chile, Guinea-Bissau, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Slovakia and Sudan - indicated that they now no longer retain anti-personnel mines for training purposes;

(b) One State Party, Tajikistan, indicated that the anti-personnel mines it retains under Article 3 are inert and, therefore, do not fall under the Convention's definition;

(c) Two States Party—Bulgaria and Cambodia—reported an increase in the number of anti-personnel mines retained under Article 3. Bulgaria further reported that in 2020, 9,059 anti-personnel mines (8927 M2A4 and 132 M3), previously owned by the Bulgarian private company “EXPAL BULGARIA” JSC, were successfully transferred to Italy, a State Party to the Convention, for destruction;

(d) One State Party - The Netherlands - reported that 606 anti-personnel mines (DM31) previously retained for training by the Ministry of Defence of the Netherlands were transferred for the purpose of destruction to Italy on 30 November 2020. The transferred mines are in secured storage until the reactivation of the factory following an accident which led to its total lockdown;

(e) In its 2020 Article 7 report, Italy reported that it imported 9,059 anti-personnel mines for destruction and that 6,119 mines have been destroyed. Italy further reported that it imported 606 anti-personnel mines belonging to another State Party for destruction, but destruction activities have not yet started;

(f) One State Party—Guyana - has indicated in its 2021 Article 7 report that it retains anti-personnel mines for training purposes.

37. At present, there are sixty-one States Parties - Angola, Bangladesh, Belarus, Belgium, Bhutan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Burundi, Cambodia, Cameroon, Canada, Cape Verde, Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Djibouti, Ecuador, Eritrea, Finland, France, Gambia, Germany, Greece, Guyana, Honduras, Indonesia, Iraq,

Ireland, Italy, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Namibia, the Netherlands, Oman, Peru, Romania, Rwanda, Senegal, Serbia, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sweden, Tanzania, Togo, Tunisia, Türkiye, Uganda, Ukraine, Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of), Yemen, Zambia and Zimbabwe - that retain anti-personnel mines for permitted purposes. As of 1 September 2024, the number of anti-personnel mines reported retained by the States Parties totals 118,719. This is 44,077 less than at the time of the Fourth Review Conference.

38. Since the Fourth Review Conference, most States Parties have provided updated annual information on the number of anti-personnel mines retained for permitted purposes as required by Article 7, with 54 of them providing some level of voluntary information on the use (present and future) of retained anti-personnel mines. However, the following States Parties which have reported that they retain anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 3 have not submitted annual updated transparency information on their retained mines for many years: Burundi (2021), Cameroon (2009), Cape Verde (2009), Congo (2009), Côte d'Ivoire (2014), Djibouti (2005), Eritrea (2014), Gambia (2020), Guyana (2021), Honduras (2007), Indonesia (2020), Kenya (2008), Namibia (2010), Oman (2021), Rwanda (2008), Tanzania (2009), Togo (2003), and Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) (2012). In the future, improving reporting rates by States Parties with retained mines will be important.

39. Furthermore, since the Fourth Review Conference, the following States Parties have reported the same number of retained mines in their Article 7 Reports: Bangladesh, Ecuador, Iraq, Jordan, Mauritania, Senegal, Serbia, Zambia, and Zimbabwe. Reporting the same number of retained mines over several years may indicate that these mines have not been used for permitted purposes and that the number of mines retained may not constitute the "minimum number absolutely necessary" for permitted purposes unless otherwise reported.

40. In addition to the above, at the Fourth Review Conference, it was agreed that States Parties retaining anti-personnel mines will "explore available alternatives to using live anti-personnel mines for training and research purposes where possible." Since the Fourth Review Conference, Iraq, Japan, Slovenia, Sudan, and Zimbabwe reported efforts to explore alternatives to using live anti-personnel mines for training and research purposes.

41. Since the Fourth Review Conference, subsequent Presidents have included information on the status of Article 3 in their annual observations to the Intersessional Meetings and Meetings of the States Parties and have communicated through writing to States Parties reporting retained anti-personnel mines. Likewise, activities to promote the use of alternatives to live anti-personnel mines have been organised, including:

(a) During the 30 June – 2 July 2020 Intersessional Meetings, the President discussed "Alternatives to using live anti-personnel mines for training". During the discussion, the President offered an update on the status of Article 3 and invited The Development Initiative (TDI) to provide a presentation on the use of 3D-printed training aids. In its presentation, TDI offered an overview of how it employs 3D-printed mines for training, detector calibration, and explosive risk ordnance risk education. TDI also discussed the challenge of using real mines for training, including 1) mines contain an explosive hazard, 2) unsafe for the operator, 3) special permission is needed for transporting mines, and 4) mines lose part of their composition and can become ineffective for training. In its presentation, TDI also provided examples of real cases in which it employs training mines.

(b) In the context of the European Council Decision 2021/257, the President of the Convention organised on 21 June 2024, together with the ISU, an event to further explore alternatives to anti-personnel mines. The seminar took stock of efforts by States Parties to annually review the number of anti-personnel mines retained for permitted purposes and explore alternatives to live anti-personnel mines. Participants discussed and exchanged experiences on a range of issues, including policies related to the retention of mines, reporting, ammunition storage safety, and lessons learnt and best practices identified by States Parties training with inert mines.

Survey and clearance of mined areas

Introduction

1. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties, while recognizing that considerable progress has been made in addressing mined areas, reiterated the need to increase the pace of survey and clearance activities to meet Article 5 obligations as soon as possible. To ensure significant progress towards their ambition of completing their timebound obligations to the furthest extent possible by 2025, the States Parties agreed that accelerated survey and clearance would provide the most significant contribution to reducing human suffering and protecting people from the risk posed by anti-personnel mines.

2. At the close of the Fourth Review Conference – 32 States Parties were in the process of implementing Article 5 obligations. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the following has transpired:

(a) Two States Parties – Chile and the United Kingdom⁶ - reported having completed implementation of Article 5;

(b) Three State Parties – Guinea-Bissau, Mauritania, and Nigeria - informed the States Parties that they discovered previously unknown mined areas or, in the case of Nigeria, newly mined areas in territory under their jurisdiction or control;

(c) Two States Parties – Burkina Faso and Mali - have reported newly mined areas on territory under their jurisdiction or control.

3. In total, since the entry into force of the Convention, 65 States Parties have reported obligations under Article 5, paragraph 1 of the Convention. Of these, there are now 35 States Parties for which Article 5 obligations remain relevant: Afghanistan⁷ Angola, Argentina, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Burkina Faso, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, Cyprus, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Oman, State of Palestine, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Ukraine, Yemen and Zimbabwe.

4. While significant and measurable progress has been achieved in the implementation of Article 5, the rate of progress is different amongst States Parties, and challenges in implementation remain. Some persistent challenges States Parties reported include a lack of national/international financial resources, security concerns, border challenges, topography, matters concerning access to contaminated areas, and stoppages associated with the Covid 19 pandemic. In other cases, the continued and increased use of anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature has been reported as a significant challenge in implementing Article 5. The use of improvised anti-personnel mines has been a persistent challenge since the Fourth Review Conference. Likewise, it is essential to note that in many cases, anti-personnel mines are only one of the explosive ordnance threats faced by these States Parties. These challenges have slowed progress in the implementation of Article 5. Furthermore, while in some States Parties significant progress has been made, in other cases, the slow pace of survey and clearance has resulted in recurrent extension requests.

5. In the Oslo Action Plan (OAP), States Parties affected by anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature committed to “ensure that they apply all provisions and obligations under the Convention to such contamination as they do for all other types of antipersonnel mines, including during survey and clearance in fulfilment of Article 5 and disaggregate by types of mines when reporting in fulfilment of Article 7 obligations”. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 12 States Parties have applied the provisions of the Convention to anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature, including Afghanistan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Colombia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Iraq, Niger, Nigeria, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Türkiye,

⁶ The Argentine Republic has referred to the situation of “implementation” reported by the United Kingdom at the First Preparatory Meeting for the Fifth Review Conference, in Verbal Note EOIRS IV/721 N° 189/24 addressed to the Convention’s Secretariat on 28 June 2024 and the document APLC/MSP.19/2021/MISC.3 dated 19 November 2021.

⁷ References to Afghanistan in this document refer to the period of 1 January 2020 to August 2021.

Ukraine, and Yemen. It is important to note that the use of anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature by armed non state actors has been an essential factor in the rise in the number of casualties in several States Parties.

6. Since the Fourth Review Conference, efforts have been put forth by the States Parties to raise awareness of the impact of anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature, including the following:

(a) During the 30 June – 2 July 2020 Intersessional Meetings, the Committee on Article 5 Implementation organised a panel discussion on “Addressing anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature under the framework of the Convention.” The panel discussion was moderated by the Netherlands and included participation from Canada, Iraq, the Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining (GICHD), Mine Action Review (MAR), and Norwegian People’s Aid. The panel supported the efforts of the Committee on Article 5 Implementation to raise awareness of the importance of States Parties addressing anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature under the framework of the Convention and the guidance available to States that face contamination by anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature in territory under their jurisdiction or control. The panel also highlighted the fact that the use of improvised anti-personnel mines will most likely continue to be a challenge for States Parties implementing Article 5 of the Convention and that support to States Parties in accurately reporting the challenges they face, including reporting in a disaggregated manner, will be necessary;

(b) During the 19-21 June 2023 Intersessional Meetings, the President organised a panel entitled “The Convention and the threat of improvised anti-personnel mines”. The panel was moderated by Sweden in its capacity as Chair of the Committee on Article 5 Implementation and included participation from the National Mine Action Centre of Colombia, the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC), Human Rights Watch (HRW), and the Mines Advisory Group (MAG). The panel discussed the legal obligations of states affected by improvised anti-personnel mines, what is known about their impact, and the challenges and lessons learned in addressing them. The panel further highlighted that while access to affected communities is a critical challenge, innovative and creative ways exist to address the challenges, including by increasing localization efforts and strengthening mine risk education and reduction efforts before gaining access for survey and clearance;

(c) On 13-15 February 2024, Ghana, with the support of the European Union and the Implementation Support Unit, held a regional conference on the need to address the humanitarian impact of improvised anti-personnel mines in West Africa and the Sahel Region within the framework of the Convention. The event took place in Accra, Ghana, and representatives from all States Parties in West Africa were gathered to discuss how to address the humanitarian impact of anti-personnel mines within the framework of the Convention. The need to address the humanitarian impact of anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature within the framework of the Convention, including its provisions on mine clearance, mine risk education, and risk reduction, reporting, the development of national implementation measures, and the need to develop national capacities were clearly highlighted.

7. At the 21MSP, the then President of the Convention submitted a paper entitled “Anti-Personnel Mine of an Improvised Nature and the Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention”⁸. The paper followed a panel discussion he organised during the 19-21 June 2023 Intersessional Meetings. The paper noted that, given the impact of anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature and the mounting threat posed by these weapons, addressing the humanitarian impact of this weapon within the framework of the Convention will continue to be a significant challenge in the future. The paper further noted the challenges faced by States Parties contaminated by anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature, particularly related to access and capacity to implement the provisions of the Convention. In this regard, the paper concluded with the following recommendations:

(a) **Recommendation 1:** States Parties affected by mines of an improvised nature should ensure that they address such contamination within the framework of the Convention

⁸ APLC/MSP.21/2023/5

as highlighted in Action 21 of the Oslo Action Plan and adhere to the decisions of the States Parties;

(b) **Recommendation 2:** States Parties affected by mines of an improvised nature should ensure the application of the guidance contained in the paper entitled “Proposed rational response to States Parties discovering previously unknown mined areas after deadlines have passed,” welcomed by the Twelfth Meeting of the States Parties addresses situations in which previously unknown mined areas, including newly mined areas, are discovered after original or extended deadline to implement Article 5 have expired;

(c) **Recommendation 3:** States Parties affected by mines of an improvised nature should strengthen their collaboration to take advantage of the lesson learned and best practices employed by States Parties facing similar circumstances by, in accordance with Action 47 of the Oslo Action Plan, exploring opportunities for cooperation, including international, regional and bilateral, cooperation between affected States Parties or South-to-South, with a view to voluntary sharing of best practices and lessons learned;

(d) **Recommendation 4:** The compilation of up-to-date lessons learned and best practices in addressing the impact of anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature within the framework of the Convention in situations where access is limited or restricted would make an essential contribution to the work of the Convention;

(e) **Recommendation 5:** Efforts should be made to ensure that the lessons learned and best practices in addressing the impact of anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature within the framework of the Convention are disseminated to affected States Parties and international and non-governmental organisations with expertise in addressing the impact of anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature within the framework of the Convention through regional and national dialogues, as well as formal and informal meetings of the Convention;

(f) **Recommendation 6:** States Parties affected by anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature should, as highlighted in Action 43 of the Oslo Action Plan, disseminate information on challenges and requirements for assistance, including through their annual Article 7 transparency reports, and take advantage of mechanisms under the Convention to support their efforts in this regard, including by participating in informal and formal meetings of the Convention and taking advantage of the Individualized Approach;

(g) **Recommendation 7:** States Parties in a position to do so should provide assistance to States Parties in the implementation of their obligations under the Convention in line with Action 47 of the Oslo Action Plan, including in building their capacity to address the impact of mines of an improvised nature within the framework of the Convention;

(h) **Recommendation 8:** States Parties should ensure that implementation activities into national development plans, poverty reduction strategies, humanitarian response plans and national strategies for the inclusion of persons with disabilities as appropriate, and that partnerships the mine action community and relevant humanitarian, peacebuilding, development, and human rights communities are strengthened.

8. In this regard, it is critical that States Parties affected by anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature report on this contamination and apply the recommendation of the Twelfth Meeting of the States Parties as contained in the paper entitled “Proposed rational response to States Parties discovering previously unknown mined areas after deadlines have passed.” Timely recognition of the impact of new contamination and support for these states in strengthening their national capacity to implement their treaty obligations are critical. Likewise, the increased use of anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature highlighted the need for a multi-sectoral approach. Nevertheless, the importance of observing humanitarian principles in achieving the humanitarian aims of the Convention was noted.

9. In the Oslo Action Plan (OAP), the States Parties expressed their resolve to “identify the precise perimeter of mined areas, to the extent possible, and establish evidence-based, accurate baseline of contamination based on information collected from all relevant sources.” Since the Fourth Review Conference, 30 of the 35 States Parties implementing Article 5 have completed or have reported being in the process of carrying out survey to acquire more clarity on the remaining challenge, including: Afghanistan, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina,

Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea- Bissau, Iraq, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Oman, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, State of Palestine, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Ukraine, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. While progress in this regard has been recorded, several States Parties continue to report large swaths of land as suspected, requiring survey, having made limited progress in clarifying the extent of contaminated areas. Additionally, many of the States Parties implementing Article 5 continue to grapple with some of the challenges highlighted in the above paragraphs. Nevertheless, achieving greater clarity on the extent of contamination through an evidence-based approach is a critical objective of the States Parties to develop clear baselines and comprehensive work plans towards completion and ensure the appropriate prioritization of mine clearance operations.

10. The Oslo Action Plan (OAP) further indicated the importance of States Parties establishing a baseline through inclusive consultation with women, girls, boys, and men. In this regard, the following 14 States Parties (43%) have reported this to be the case, including Afghanistan, Cambodia, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Türkiye, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. It is generally agreed that greater consultation will lead to better implementation of the Convention, including in the collection of information and prioritization. States Parties should be encouraged to continue strengthening their efforts to ensure an inclusive process in their implementation efforts.

11. In the Oslo Action Plan (OAP), the States Parties expressed their resolve to “develop evidence-based and costed national work plans, including projections of the number of areas and the amount of mined area to be addressed annually to achieve completion as soon as possible, and no later than their Article 5 deadline”, to “annually update their national work plans based on new evidence, report on adjusted milestones in their Article 7 reports by 30 April each year, including information on the number of areas and amount of mined area to be addressed annually and on how priorities have been established”. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the following 29 States Parties (88%) have reported having in place such work plans: Afghanistan, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Oman, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Ukraine, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. Of the above States, 26 States Parties (79%) have provided adjusted milestones in their Article 7 reports, including: Afghanistan, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Mauritania, Nigeria, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Ukraine, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. The States Parties have highlighted the importance of having evidence-based and costed work plans to ensure the effective and efficient implementation of Article 5 and support resource mobilization efforts.

12. In the Oslo Action Plan (OAP), States Parties committed to report “in a manner consistent with IMAS by providing information on the remaining challenges, disaggregating by ‘suspected hazardous areas’ and ‘confirmed hazardous areas’ and their relative size, as well as by the type of contamination. Report on progress by the land release methodology employed (i.e., cancelled through non-technical survey, reduced through technical survey, or cleared through clearance).” Since the Fourth Review Conference, there have been marked improvements in reporting by States Parties in this regard. Of the States Parties that have reported mined areas under their jurisdiction or control, 27 (81%) have continued to report on their remaining challenge and progress made in a manner consistent with IMAS, including: Afghanistan, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Chad, Cambodia, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Mauritania, Niger, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, State of Palestine, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. Of the States Parties listed above, 24 (73%) have provided information disaggregated by the type of contamination, including: Afghanistan, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Mauritania, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Ukraine, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. Reporting on the remaining challenges and progress consistent with IMAS and disaggregating the type of contamination and the impact of such contamination continue to

be critical factors in ensuring clarity on the remaining implementation challenges faced by the States Parties.

13. In the Oslo Action Plan (OAP), the States Parties commit to ensure that requests for extension “contain detailed, costed and multi-year work plans for the extension period and are developed through an inclusive process.” Since the Fourth Review Conference, 23 States Parties have submitted requests for extension of their mine clearance deadline under Article 5 including the following: Afghanistan, Argentina, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Chad, Colombia, Cyprus (2), Democratic Republic of the Congo (2), Ecuador, Guinea-Bissau (2), Eritrea, Mauritania (2), Niger (2), Nigeria, Peru, Senegal, Serbia (2), Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Thailand, Türkiye, Ukraine (2), and Yemen. Of these States, Parties, 16 (69%) have included detailed, costed, and multi-year work plans including: Bosnia and Herzegovina, Colombia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Guinea-Bissau, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia and South Sudan, Sudan, Thailand, Türkiye, and Yemen. Detailed, costed, and multi-year work plans are a key element of extension requests and the Convention's cooperative framework. In some cases the work plans submitted could be improved to ensure increased clarity and better measure implementation during the extension period. Likewise, the engagement of States Parties requesting extensions with the Committee on Article 5 Implementation and other in-country stakeholders is a vital element of the extension request process. It must also be observed that in some cases, requests have been submitted late, which presents a challenge to the cooperative dialogue envisaged by the process.

14. Besides the above, the Oslo Action Plan (OAP) committed States Parties to ensure that requests submitted under Article 5 include “detailed, costed and multi-year plans for context-specific mine risk education and reduction in affected communities.” Of the requests submitted since the Fourth Review Conference, 10 States Parties (40%) submitted information in this regard, including: Bosnia and Herzegovina, Colombia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Guinea-Bissau, Nigeria, Serbia, Sudan, Thailand, Türkiye, and Yemen. Given the importance of ensuring the effective exclusion of civilians from mined areas and the role of mine risk education and reduction efforts, States Parties should ensure that plans for context-specific mine risk education continue to be prioritized.

15. The States Parties have further recognised the vital opportunity presented by the extension request process and emphasized the importance of States Parties requiring an extension of their deadline to abide by the process established by the Seventh Meeting of the States Parties on the submission and consideration of requests for extension as well as to the recommendations regarding the Article 5 extension process endorsed by the Twelfth Meeting of the States Parties (12MSP). Furthermore, States Parties should apply the recommendations of the 12MSP, which highlighted “the value of States Parties requesting only the period necessary to gather and assess data on landmine contamination and other relevant information to develop a meaningful forward-looking plan based on this information,” and then submitting a second request containing plans based on a clearer understanding of the extent of the challenge and which projects with greater certainty the amount of time that will be required to complete Article 5 implementation. Applying the recommendations of the 12MSP can support ensuring that the States Parties submit high quality and more realistic requests.

16. Since the Fourth Review Conference, several States Parties, in their effort to align themselves with the 2025 aspirational deadline for implementation of the Convention's time-bound obligations, have developed extension requests and plans with equally aspirational deadlines, in some cases, of 31 December 2025. While aligning with the 2025 aspirational goal of the States Parties, the reality on the ground in most cases has prevented States Parties from achieving their deadline. The 2025 aspiration deadline set by the Third Review Conference was misconstrued by many as a completion deadline. The sentiment of the Third Review Conference was for States Parties to implement their time-bound obligations “to the furthest extent possible” by 2025. This has also had the unfortunate consequence of completion being perceived as the only success indicator of 2025 and in some cases the great efforts of the States Parties have not received the recognition they deserved.

17. At the 20MSP, Belgium, based on its experience as Chair of the Committee on Article 5 Implementation, presented a paper titled “Reflections on the implementation of mine

clearance obligations of States Parties and the Article 5 Extension Process”.⁹ This paper highlighted the vital link between the implementation of Article 5 and availability of resources.

18. As a follow-up to the paper presented by Belgium, the 20MSP further invited the incoming Committee on Article 5 Implementation to “assess the Article 5 extension request process and challenges based on the previously adopted decisions by States Parties and, taking into consideration relevant documents on this matter, determine whether there would be a common ground for strengthening the process, including the concerns of all relevant stakeholders in an open, inclusive, and transparent manner, in particular, mine-affected States, and to report its conclusions and recommendations at the Twenty-first Meeting of States Parties (21MSP)”¹⁰.

19. At the 21MSP, the Committee on Article 5 Implementation presented to the States Parties a document entitled “Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention - Extension Request process”¹¹ which drew the following conclusions associated with the process:

(a) The Article 5 Extension Request Process is not an end in itself but has been established to support States Parties in implementing Article 5. The process, while not perfect, needs to remain flexible to address several different circumstances that impede the ability of the States Parties to destroy all anti-personnel mines in mined areas. Continued consideration for the national context faced by States Parties is paramount;

(b) The process forms part of the ongoing cooperative dialogue between States Parties to meet their desire of “ending the suffering and casualties caused by anti-personnel mines.” Many challenges with the Article 5 extension request process can be addressed by continued adherence by requesting States Parties and States Parties mandated to analyse requests to the recommendations highlighted in the 2012 paper on Reflections on the Article 5 Extensions Process;

(c) It is essential that the process remain state-driven and that any alterations to it align with the Convention's cooperative spirit and further support mine-affected States Parties in implementing their Article 5 obligations. Any alteration to the process should avoid taking an adversarial approach and exerting undue pressure on States Parties;

(d) It is important to avoid adding an additional layer to the process as it stands. As the working methods for analysing requests provide States Parties mandated to analyse requests for extension with the opportunity to reach out to experts at their discretion, emphasis should be placed on strengthening the implementation of the adopted working methods instead of creating additional layers to an already exhaustive process;

(e) The Article 5 extension request process and the working methods adopted for analysing requests in 2008 provide the flexibility necessary for the Committee to implement its mandate of analysing requests for extension, including continuing to ensure the engagement of experts and the cooperative dialogue between States Parties envisioned by the process;

(f) While, in some cases, challenges associated with cooperation and assistance and with the overall implementation of Article 5 exist, many of these challenges and their solutions extend beyond the Article 5 extension request process. Despite this, there may be areas in which the Article 5 extension request process can further support meeting some of these challenges, in particular through ensuring that the extension request process continues to enhance the cooperative dialogue between stakeholders and support efforts to ensure that States Parties requesting extensions will be better positioned to articulate in detail their requirements for assistance and mobilize resources to achieve their deadline as soon as possible.

20. Based on these above conclusions, the Committee presented the following recommendations:

⁹ APLC/MSP.20/2022/6

¹⁰ *Ibid.*

¹¹ APLC/MSP.21/2023/15

(a) **Recommendation 1:** Implementing previous recommendations and decisions regarding requests submitted for extension of Article 5 deadline. To support States Parties requesting extensions to ensure that their requests for extension contain all the relevant information concerning the implementation of Article 5, including a detailed budget and requirements for technical/financial assistance, the Committee on Article 5 Implementation recommends that efforts should continue to be made by the Committee on Article 5 Implementation and the Implementation Support Unit to ensure that States Parties submitting requests for extension of their Article 5 deadline continue to be sensitized to the recommendations and decisions of the States Parties including the 14 recommendations of the Reflections on the Article 5 Extensions Process and the following actions of the OAP which concern requests for extension and the development of work plans:

- i. Action #23: States Parties submitting requests for extensions will ensure that these requests contain detailed, costed, and multi-year work plans for the extension period and are developed through an inclusive process, in line with the decisions of the Seventh Meeting of the States Parties and the recommendations endorsed by the Twelfth Meeting of the States Parties in the paper “Reflections on the Article 5 Extensions Process”;
- ii. Action #24: States Parties submitting requests for extensions will also ensure that the request includes detailed, costed, and multi-year plans for context-specific mine risk education and reduction in affected communities.

(b) **Recommendation 2:** Ensuring States Parties submitted complete requests. The Committee on Article 5 Implementation recommends that States Parties use all the support and tools available to develop their requests for extension and employ these tools (e.g., suggested outline, general advice in the development of an Article 5 implementation work plan) as good starting points, with adaptations made if necessary according to national circumstances. As the Convention’s website is being redesigned, the Committee on Article 5 Implementation will work with the ISU to ensure that a page on the website contains all decisions and relevant tools for States Parties requesting extensions of their Article 5 deadlines;

(c) **Recommendation 3:** Strengthening the dialogue with expert organisations and States Parties. In line with the working methods drawn by the States Parties mandated to analyse Article 5 Extension requests, expert organisations and States Parties have consistently been invited to provide input into extension requests, and the Committee on Article 5 Implementation has employed input received to support their cooperative dialogue with requesting States Parties. In this regard, the Committee on Article 5 Implementation recommends that engagement with expert organisations and States Parties providing input into requests, particularly those active in affected countries, continues to take place and is strengthened in cooperation with the requesting State Party and in particular through in-person dialogue, where possible, throughout the extension request process including, where relevant, ahead of the receipt of the request for extension, following receipt of the request and in cases where revised requests or additional information is submitted;

(d) **Recommendation 4:** Taking full advantage of the opportunity presented by requests. While outside of the scope of the Article 5 extension request process, given that a lack of national and international resources has been highlighted as a critical circumstance preventing completion by the requested deadlines, the Committee on Article 5 Implementation recommends that States Parties utilize the extension request process to highlight significant achievements made, which in turn can reinvigorate interest in its national programme and establish a sound basis for national and international resource mobilization. In this regard, States Parties submitting requests for extensions should include a clear and detailed budget and assistance requirements. Likewise, States Parties should continue to consider good practices in mobilizing resources;

(e) **Recommendation 5:** Support States Parties in detailing their needs for assistance by strengthening synergies between interested committees. The importance of cooperation and assistance highlighted in the implementation of the work plans presented by States Parties in their request for extension provides several areas for strengthening synergies between the Committee on Article 5 Implementation and the Committee on the Enhancement

of Cooperation and Assistance. The Committee on Article 5 Implementation recommends that the possibility of establishing a more formalized and recurrent dialogue between the Committee on Article 5 and the Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance in relation to each extension request be further explored in the lead-up to the Fifth Review Conference when States Parties will consider any necessary alterations to the Convention's machinery. In particular, the Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance could be mandated to contribute to the dialogue with the requesting State Party and the analysis of the request for extension;

(f) **Recommendation 6:** Support States Parties in making their needs for assistance known. Given the importance of financial and technical support to the implementation of work plans presented in extension requests, the Committee on Article 5 Implementation recommends that States Parties seeking extension requests take advantage of the opportunities available to disseminate their implementation plans and requirements for assistance as widely as possible. This could be done through informal and formal meetings of the Convention as well as through participation in mechanisms of the Convention such as the Individualised Approach;

(g) **Recommendation 7:** Addressing an increased number of requests. In view of the increase in the number of extension requests submitted by mine-affected States Parties expected in 2024 and 2025, the Committee believes that the key factor in facilitating the analysis process is to make sure that the requests are submitted on time and contain all the information necessary for analysis. However, in the event that States Parties mandated to analyse requests for extension within the short time frame available require increased support, the Committee would recommend that States Parties, in line with the decisions adopted by the States Parties at the 7MSP in establishing the Article 5 extension request process, "provide additional, ear-marked funds to the ISU Trust Fund to cover costs related to supporting the Article 5 extensions process". The Committee further recommends that the ISU provide a project proposal to States Parties for consideration by States Parties in a position to provide support.

21. In the Oslo Action Plan (OAP), States Parties completing their mine clearance obligations committed to "continue the best practice of submitting voluntary declarations of completion and give due consideration to the "Reflections and understandings on the implementation and completion of Article 5 mine clearance obligations" paper submitted to the Seventeenth Meeting of the States Parties which included the following recommendations:

(a) States Parties are encouraged to continue the voluntary practice of submitting to a Meeting of the States Parties/Review Conference a declaration of completion that incorporates the language adopted by the Seventh Meeting of the States Parties and the Twelfth Meeting of the States Parties. When formally declaring completion, States Parties are encouraged to provide detailed information on the activities carried out throughout the duration of the mine action programme taking into account the elements included the draft table of content for a voluntary declaration of completion;

(b) In keeping with the traditional spirit of cooperation of the Convention, States Parties in a position to declare completion, are encouraged to employ the services of the Convention's Implementation Support Unit in the elaboration of the declaration of completion and consider sustaining a cooperative dialogue with the Committee on Article 5 Implementation concerning the content of the declaration of completion, which could lead to an enhanced declaration of completion.

22. Of the two States Parties that declared completion since the Fourth Review Conference – Chile and the United Kingdom – both (100%) submitted voluntary declarations of completion and sought the advice of the ISU in this regard. The States Parties have agreed that the submission of voluntary declarations of completion continues to be an essential part of the completion process. The States Parties further noted the crucial collaborative effort between relevant States Parties, the Committee on Article 5 Implementation, and the ISU to support States Parties in unambiguously declaring completion.

23. The States Parties further reconfirmed that areas known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines cannot be considered ‘residual contamination’ and must be addressed under the State Party’s obligations under the Convention.¹²

24. In acknowledging that States Parties that declare completion may, following completion, identify previously unknown mined areas or be affected by newly mined areas, the OAP committed States Parties to ensure that national strategies and work plans for completion make provisions for sustainable national capacities. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 25 States Parties (76%) have included provisions for addressing the previously unknown mined areas in their national strategies and/or completion plans or have reported on efforts to ensure that a sustainable national capacity is in place, including: Afghanistan, Angola, Cambodia, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Peru, Nigeria, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Ukraine, United Kingdom, Yemen, and Zimbabwe.

25. Since the Fourth Review Conference, two States Parties – Guinea-Bissau and Mauritania – have identified previously unknown mined areas, and one State Party – Nigeria – reported being affected by newly mined areas. The States Parties recognise the necessary steps these States Parties have taken in accordance with the decision of the 12MSP as contained in the paper entitled “Proposed rational response to States Parties discovering previously unknown mined areas after deadlines have passed.” The situation faced by these States Parties, particularly the need to rebuild national capacities, further highlighted the importance of States Parties ensuring that a sustainable national capacity is in place to address these situations. Furthermore, in several cases, States Parties affected by anti-personnel mines will often also face challenges with other explosive ordnance which will remain following completion of their commitments under the Convention and may require continued support. Since the Fourth Review Conference, States Parties have acquired a better understanding of the importance of giving due consideration to establishing sustainable national capacities at the outset of a mine action programme and not following the completion of Article 5. In this regard, increased exchanges of information and best practices in establishing sustainable mine action capacities would support States Parties in preparing for completion.

26. During the 22-24 June 2021 Intersessional Meetings, the Committee on Article 5 Implementation organised a panel discussion on “Completion and Sustainable National Capacities”. The panel discussion was chaired by Zambia, Chair of the Committee on Article 5 Implementation, and included participation from Jordan, Norway, MAG, the Organisation of American States, and UNICEF. The panel’s objective was to discuss challenges and best practices in drawing down national mine action programmes and best practices in establishing a national sustainable capacity. The panel highlighted the importance of ensuring that mine risk education efforts are sustained, integrating these efforts into broader frameworks, and ensuring that a sustainable demining capacity is in place to address any residual contamination, including ensuring the community can report any identified threat. Likewise, the panel discussed the importance of considering, well ahead of completion, the eventual drawdown of mine clearance personnel and addressing the impact of, for example, loss of jobs for national staff of the programme.

27. Furthermore, during the 19-21 June 2023 Intersessional Meetings, the Committee on Article 5 Implementation organised a panel entitled “Finishing Strong – Preparing for completion as soon as possible”. France moderated the panel as Chair of the Committee on Article 5 Implementation which included participation from the National Mine Action Centre of Sri Lanka, the GICHD, MAG, and the HALO Trust. The panel spotlighted the efforts put forth by Sri Lanka to fulfil its commitments under Article 5. It recalled the importance of taking appropriate measures as soon as possible to prepare for completion, what these steps entail, the experience of states in addressing residual contamination, and approaches to mitigating the potential negative impact of completion, in particular, the need to ensure the proper demobilization of demining personnel. The panel further highlighted the importance of involving affected communities in ensuring that no mined areas remain following

¹² *Ibid.*

completion, which is critical. This is particularly important in the context of Sri Lanka, where populations were internally displaced due to conflict.

28. In the Oslo Action Plan (OAP), States Parties further committed to “Take appropriate steps to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of survey and clearance, including by promoting the research, application, and sharing of innovative technological means to this effect.” In this regard, since the Fourth Review Conference, 27 States Parties reported on efforts in this regard including: Afghanistan, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Guinea-Bissau, Ethiopia, Iraq, Nigeria, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Ukraine, United Kingdom, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. These efforts included updating their National Mine Action Standards (NMAS) in accordance with International Mine Action Standards (IMAS) and introducing mechanical or canine demining techniques into their work, amongst others. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the application of emerging technologies in States Parties has also increased. In this regard, continued exploration of research into the application of innovative technology should continue.

29. During the 15 – 19 November 2021 Nineteenth Meeting of the States Parties (19MSP), the President organised a high-level panel on “Strengthening localization through capacity building and inclusion: From Rhetoric to concerted Action”. The panel was moderated by Her Excellency. Kitty van der Heijden, Vice Minister for International Cooperation of the Netherlands, and included participation by Colombia, the Iraq Health and Social Care Organisation, the Global Mentoring Initiative, MAG, and the Swedish Civil Contingency (MSB). The panel recognised that a greater focus on the importance of localization, defined by some as “a process where international humanitarian actors shift power and responsibility of development and humanitarian aid effort towards local and national actors, to ensure effective and efficient support to mine-affected communities. The panel explored what localization means in mine action, including donors and implementation partners, and how a shift in approach can better support localization efforts. The panel also highlighted that local organisation face difficulties accessing international funding directly.

30. During the 20-22 June 2022 Intersessional Meetings, the Committee on Article 5 Implementation and the Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance organised a joint panel entitled “Making Every Effort Count: Towards a Successful 2025”. The panel was moderated by Belgium and Japan in their capacity as Chairs of the Committee on Article 5 Implementation and the Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance, respectively, and included participation from the Cambodian Mine Action Centre, the Tajikistan National Mine Action Centre, the GICHD, ICRC, and the Japan International Cooperation Agency. The panel recalled the States Parties legal understanding of “completion” under Article 5 and introduced the concept of “all reasonable effort” within the framework of the Convention and some examples of the application of all reasonable efforts by national authorities. The panel further noted the importance of cooperation and assistance, particularly south-south cooperation, for capacity building.

Mine Risk Education and Reduction, Assisting the Victims, International Cooperation and Assistance

I. Mine risk education and reduction

1. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties recognised that mine risk education and reduction could help prevent new mine accidents and save lives and that in addition to clearance, providing risk education and other risk reduction programs to affected populations is a primary means of preventing injuries and fatal accidents. The States Parties also noted that, in recent years, large new groups of refugees and internally displaced persons have come to count among the many groups at risk from anti-personnel mines, and the number of casualties has been on the rise. The States Parties further noted that the delivery of effective, relevant risk education and other risk reduction programs that are sensitive to gender, age, and disability and that take the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities into account require ongoing focus to prevent new mine accidents.

2. The Oslo Action Plan (OAP) committed States Parties to integrate mine risk education and reduction activities with wider humanitarian, development, protection, and education efforts, as well as with ongoing survey, clearance, and victim assistance activities to reduce the risk to the affected population and decrease their need for risk-taking. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 23 States Parties (70%) have reported integrating mine risk education and reduction activities into other frameworks including the following: Afghanistan, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Iraq, Mauritania, Nigeria, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. Furthermore, since the Fourth Review Conference, efforts to integrate mine risk education into broader humanitarian, development, protection, health, and religious education efforts have increased and should be further encouraged. This is particularly relevant in transition and protracted crisis contexts where more holistic approaches and integration with other sectors provide alternative solutions that could reduce the risk of communities being forced to conduct unsafe practices due to socio-economic reasons.

3. The Oslo Action Plan (OAP) committed States Parties to provide context-specific mine risk education and reduction programmes to all affected populations and groups at risk and ensure that such programmes are developed on the basis of a needs assessment, that they are tailored to the threat encountered by the population, and that they are sensitive to gender, age, disability and take the diverse needs and experiences of people in affected communities into account. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 19 States Parties (58%) reported having mine risk education and reduction programmes for all affected populations in place, including: Afghanistan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Iraq, Peru, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. Likewise, 18 States Parties (55%) reported carrying out mine risk education and reduction activities that collect, analyse, and report data disaggregated by gender, age, disability, and other diverse needs, including: Afghanistan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Colombia, Croatia, Ecuador, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Türkiye, Tajikistan, Thailand, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. Improving implementation and reporting on mine risk education and reduction activities will be important in the future.

4. Furthermore, the Oslo Action Plan (OAP) committed States Parties to prioritize people most at risk by linking mine risk education and reduction programmes and messages directly to an analysis of available casualty and contamination data, an understanding of the affected population's behaviour, risk pattern, and coping mechanisms, and, wherever possible, anticipated population movements. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 18 States Parties reported having an evidence-based priority-setting mechanism for mine risk education and reduction programmes, including: Afghanistan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Tajikistan, Türkiye, Thailand, Yemen, and Zimbabwe.

5. Since the Fourth Review Conference, despite an increase in efforts to ensure the provision of context-specific mine risk education and reduction programmes based on needs assessments, analyses of populations' behaviour, risk pattern, and coping mechanisms related to mine contamination, reporting on these efforts and how this information informs national mine risk education and reduction efforts could be improved. Improvements in reporting in this regard could support States Parties in sharing best practices on the methodologies used in designing mine risk education and reduction programmes. Furthermore, strengthening efforts in this regard going forward would be important.

6. The Oslo Action Plan (OAP) committed States Parties to build a national capacity to deliver mine risk education and reduction programmes with the ability to adapt to changing needs and contexts, including delivering such programmes to affected communities if previously unknown mined areas are discovered. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 21 States Parties have reported providing risk education and reduction programmes to affected communities in the case that previously unknown mined areas are discovered including: Afghanistan, Bosnia, and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Nigeria, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Yemen, and Zimbabwe.

7. The Oslo Action Plan (OAP) committed States Parties to report on mine risk education and other risk reduction programmes in Article 7 reports, including the methodologies, challenges, and results achieved, with information disaggregated by gender and age. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 29 States reported on their mine risk education and other risk reduction programmes and results, including: Afghanistan, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, State of Palestine, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Ukraine, Yemen, and Zimbabwe.

8. The importance of mine risk education in saving lives, particularly in settings where access to survey and clearance activities is not currently available, has been further noted as illustrated in the UN General Assembly Resolution on assistance in mine action adopted on 7 December 2023 (A7RES/78/70).

9. Since the Fourth Review Conference, several innovative approaches have been developed to enhance the delivery of mine risk education and reduction efforts. This includes the review of the International Mine Action Standard on explosive ordnance risk education (IMAS 12.10) and the Technical Note for Mine Action on risk education for improvised explosive devices (TNMA 12.10/01). Other examples include the development of digital Explosive Ordnance Risk Education (EORE) projects, the increased use of social and behaviour change methodologies, and the launch of an Explosive Ordnance Risk Education (EORE) e-learning course. In this regard, the States Parties have pointed to the importance of ensuring that NMAS are in line with best practices highlighted in IMAS and are applied routinely by stakeholders.

II. Assisting the victims

10. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties reemphasized their commitment to ensuring the full, equal, and effective participation of mine victims in society based on respect for human rights, gender equality, inclusion, and non-discrimination. The States Parties have reiterated their recognition that, to be effective and sustainable, victim assistance should be integrated into broader national policies, plans, and legal frameworks relating to the rights of persons with disabilities and to health, education, employment, development, and poverty reduction in support of the realization of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

11. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the number of States Parties having reported carrying out victim assistance activities includes the following 38 States Parties: Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Burundi, Cambodia, Chad, Chile, Colombia, Croatia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, El Salvador, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Guinea Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Niger, Nigeria, State of

Palestine, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, Ukraine, Yemen and Zimbabwe.

12. Unfortunately, since the Fourth Review Conference, the number of new victims from explosive ordnance globally has increased due primarily to the new use of anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature in states in conflict situations. From 2020 to 2023, the Landmine Monitor has reported a total of 23,084 casualties, with 8,318 having been killed and 14,594 having been injured and survival status reported unknown for 172. In this regard, efforts must continue to ensure that casualties are provided with lifesaving and continued medical care as well as long-term support for inclusion into their communities' political and social life.

13. Since the Fourth Review Conference, most of these States Parties have reported progress in implementing all or some of the victim assistance actions of the OAP. The Committee on Victim Assistance has noted the importance of States Parties continuing to provide detailed information on progress and challenges in implementation. States Parties have reported that some of the greatest challenges affecting their progress in implementing victim assistance efforts include the lack of regular inter-agency coordination and planning, lack of reliable data, shortages of services and technical expertise in remote areas, shortages of financial and technical resources and in some cases lack of an overall awareness of the broader rights of mine survivors, amongst others.

14. The SDGs have been seen as highly complementary to a rights-based approach to victim assistance under the Convention, offering opportunities for continued efforts to strengthen collaboration between the Convention and other relevant frameworks that support mine victims and persons with disabilities. The States Parties have recognised the continued importance of increasing and consolidating synergies between the Convention and other instruments associated with health, development, disability, the rule of law, and human rights.

15. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the Committee on Victim Assistance has continued its efforts to reach out to and strengthen synergies with broader frameworks by participating in meetings of the Human Rights Council, the World Health Assembly, and the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. The Committee continued to promote the link between victim assistance and broader frameworks by supporting recommendations put forward by the World Health Organisation and other international organisations on matters such as assistive technology, rehabilitation, safety and protection, and emergency trauma care, as well as, for example, recommending and supporting the development of a general comment on Article 11 of the Convention on the Rights of People with Disabilities (CRPD) the protection and safety of persons with disabilities in situations of risk, including situations of armed conflict, humanitarian emergencies and the occurrence of natural disasters.

16. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties committed to ensuring that a relevant government entity is assigned to oversee the integration of victim assistance into broader national policies, plans, and legal frameworks, develop an action plan, and monitor and report on implementation based on specific, measurable, realistic, and time-bound objectives to support mine victims. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 30 States Parties have designated such a government entity to coordinate victim assistance activities, including: Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Burundi, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, El Salvador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. Likewise, 25 States Parties have reported having national action plans in place, including: Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Ethiopia, Iraq, Jordan, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, and Zimbabwe. Ensuring a relevant focal point and the development of an action plan is critical for the sustainability of victim assistance and essential to ensure that broader frameworks respond to the needs of mine victims.

17. Victim assistance continues to be a multi-sectoral effort, and synergies with the provisions of the CRPD continue to provide opportunities for progressing towards the

Convention's victim assistance objectives. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 27 States Parties have reported including mine victims in relevant national policy and support framework, including: Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. The Committee welcomed the development of IMAS 13.10, a tool to assist the States Parties in strengthening shared responsibility and enhancing national ownership in victim assistance implementation.

18. At the Fourth Review Conference States Parties acknowledged the importance of information sharing to ensure a comprehensive response to address the needs of mine victims. A centralized database with information on persons killed by mines as well as persons injured by mines and their needs and challenges, disaggregated by gender, age, and disability, was seen as critical in this regard. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 21 States Parties have indicated the inclusion of victims of anti-personnel mines in disability data systems, including: Afghanistan, Algeria, Angola, Cambodia, Colombia, Ethiopia, Iraq, Jordan, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, and Zimbabwe. Additionally, the States Parties have recognised the importance of States Parties disaggregating victim data by gender, age, and disability. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 26 States Parties have presented data in this regard in their report: Afghanistan, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, Iraq, Jordan, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. Nevertheless, efforts must continue to ensure that data collection is comprehensive and includes sufficient detail to identify specific needs and challenges faced by mine survivors and persons with disabilities. It can also serve as a guide to provide a comprehensive response and/or services. Furthermore, centralized databases (such as those on disabilities and injury surveillance) should aim to include information on persons killed or injured by mines and other explosive ordnance, and their needs and challenges disaggregated by gender, age, and disability, as well as on indirect victims (affected families and affected communities).

19. During the 22-24 June 2021 Intersessional Meetings, the Committee on Victim Assistance organised a panel discussion on "establishing and strengthening a centralized database." The panel discussion was moderated by Thailand and included participation from Iraq, the ICBL, the ICRC, and the Asia Foundation - Afghanistan. The panel's objective was to support States Parties with victim assistance responsibilities by drawing attention to data collection challenges and establishing/strengthening a national centralized database in support of the fulfilment of Action 35 of the OAP. The panel also aimed to enhance understanding of the significance and importance of data collection and a sustainable national unified database for victim assistance and provide information to States on good practices and available assistance. The panel stressed the importance of States Parties ensuring that data on mine victims are integrated into centralized national databases to ensure improved coordination to address the needs and challenges of mine victims and other persons with disabilities.

20. The fatality rate of mine survivors has been raised as an important issue to address through effective and efficient first aid to new casualties in mine-affected communities, as well as the provision of other pre-hospital care and ongoing medical care. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 26 States Parties have reported on efforts to ensure an efficient and effective emergency response to mine accidents, including: Afghanistan, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Chile, Croatia, Colombia, Ethiopia, Iraq, Jordan, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Türkiye, Thailand, Uganda, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. While many States Parties have reported on efforts in this regard, it is clear that more needs to be done to strengthen the survivability rate of mine casualties.

21. To provide a comprehensive response to mine victims and facilitate access to services, the States Parties recognised the importance of sharing information on appropriate services through referral mechanisms, including creating and disseminating a comprehensive directory of services. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 22 States Parties have reported having a national referral mechanism in place, including: Afghanistan, Algeria, Angola,

Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Colombia, Croatia, Ethiopia, Iraq, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, and Zimbabwe. Furthermore, 15 States Parties have reported having a directory of services in place, including: Afghanistan, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Colombia, Croatia, Ethiopia, Peru, South Sudan, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, and Zimbabwe. Increased reporting in this regard would be welcome to share best practices and lessons learned on developing and disseminating such information.

22. Providing access to comprehensive rehabilitation services, including in rural and remote areas, is an important challenge in implementing victim assistance commitments. This effort includes assistive technology and psychological and psychosocial support, which have increasingly been considered essential to victim assistance. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 29 States Parties have reported on efforts to increase the availability and accessibility of rehabilitation services, with some having constructed new physical rehabilitation centres, including: Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Chile, Colombia, Croatia, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, State of Palestine, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, and Zimbabwe. To strengthen sustainability in the provision and delivery of rehabilitation and assistance technology, States Parties have been encouraged to integrate them into national health systems. 22 States Parties have reported efforts to increase the availability of psychological and psychosocial support services including: Albania, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Colombia, Croatia, Jordan, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, Ukraine, and Zimbabwe. Of these States Parties, 9 have reported efforts on the use of peer supports in an irregular manner, including: Algeria, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Colombia, Croatia, Jordan, South Sudan, Tajikistan, and Thailand. More efforts should be invested into making use of peer-to-peer support as an effective and complementary effort to address the mental health and psychological needs of mine victims, including rural and remote areas.

23. Nevertheless, despite progress reported, many States Parties with mine survivors in areas under their jurisdiction or control, and many service providers lack the capacity (e.g., resources, technical knowledge) to continuously make tangible progress to enable inclusive and accessible services for mine victims and other persons with disabilities. In this regard, States Parties must increase efforts to ensure that services are available, accessible, and affordable to all mine victims on an equal basis with other members of their society. As part of these efforts, States Parties should consider strengthening or creating community-based services (such as community outreach programs, case management, peer-to-peer support networks) that can ensure that mine victims and other persons with disabilities in rural and remote areas have full access to services they need.

24. During the 20-22 June 2022 Intersessional Meetings, the Committee on Victim Assistance organised a panel discussion on “Fostering Cooperation for Rehabilitation”. The panel was moderated by Algeria and Japan in their capacity as Chair of the Committee on Victim Assistance and Chair of the Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance, respectively, and included representatives from Amputee Self Help Network, the WHO, the Ministry of Public Health of Guinea-Bissau, the ICRC, John Hopkins International Injury Research Unit and Humanity and Inclusion. The panel discussion called attention to the persistent challenges in delivering rehabilitation services and explored opportunities for support to ensure improved, sustainable, affordable, equitable, and accessible rehabilitation.

25. The role of programs such as Mental Health and Psychological Support (MHPSS) to address the needs of mine victims has been highlighted since the Fourth Review Conference. During the 19-21 June 2023 Intersessional Meetings, the Committee on Victim Assistance organised a panel discussion on “Victim Assistance and the OAP: Are we on track in providing mental health and psychosocial support (MHPSS)?”. The panel was moderated by Slovenia in its capacity as a member of the Committee on Victim Assistance and included representatives from the Tajikistan Mine Action Centre, the Ministry of Health of Uganda, the International Federation of the Red Cross and Red Crescent, Italian Campaign to Ban Landmines and the International Trust Fund Enhancing Human Security. The discussion

examined the lessons learned over the past years concerning the importance of MHPSS and reemphasized the importance of delivering this critical element of victim assistance. The panel also highlighted the importance of integrating MHPSS into victim assistance efforts and working with local organisations to provide MHPSS.

26. The social and economic inclusion of mine victims, including in rural and remote areas, continues to be a challenge in many States Parties and requires a multi-sectoral approach to ensure its success. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 26 States Parties have reported efforts to remove barriers to the social and economic inclusion of mine victims including: Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, and Zimbabwe. Social and economic inclusion of mine victims, which encompass access to education, capacity-building, employment, referral services, microfinance institutions, business development services, reasonable accommodation, rural development, and social protection programs, including in rural and remote areas, is one of the most complex pillars of victim assistance, which requires long-term engagements by different sectors, such as national ministries or agencies responsible for the development, education, poverty reduction, labour, and social protection, among others.

27. Including mine survivors and their representative organisations in all matters that affect them, including in rural and remote areas, has also been a significant effort to ensure that “no one is left behind.” In this regard, since the Fourth Review Conference, 26 States Parties have reported on efforts to include victim representatives or their organisations in victim assistance planning at the national and local level including: Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Sri Lanka, South Sudan, Sudan, Tajikistan, Türkiye, Thailand, Uganda, and Zimbabwe. Ensuring and increasing the inclusion of mine survivors and their representative organisations in the planning and implementation of victim assistance will be critical to ensure its effective and efficient implementation. Representative organisations of mine survivors increasingly integrated into persons with disabilities’ organisations, through which they took part in broader disability efforts, including implementing the CRPD. Given the significance of participation and inclusion, more efforts would be needed to ensure systemic inclusion and participation, including implementing the Convention.

28. Considering protracted and new conflicts around the globe, the States Parties have recognised the importance of ensuring that relevant national humanitarian responses and preparedness plans provide for the safety and protection of mine survivors in situation of risks, including armed conflicts, humanitarian emergencies and natural disasters, in line with relevant instruments of international humanitarian and human rights law and international guidelines. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 19 States Parties have reported integrating the protection of mine survivors and other persons with disabilities in their humanitarian response and preparedness plans including: Afghanistan, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Ethiopia, Iraq, Mozambique, Peru, Serbia, South Sudan, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, and Zimbabwe. To assist the States Parties' efforts in this regard, in 2020, the Committee on Victim Assistance commissioned a case study on “Ensuring the Safety and Protection of Mine Survivors in Situation of Risk and Humanitarian Emergencies,” compiling good practices in Afghanistan, Colombia, and Uganda. In this regard, the States Parties welcome the efforts of the Committee of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities to develop a General Comment on the implementation of Article 11 of the CRPD concerning the protection and safety of persons with disabilities in situations of risk, including situations of armed conflict, humanitarian emergencies, and natural disasters. These efforts will guide the States Parties implementing victim assistance efforts, most of which are parties to the CRPD.

29. During the 30 June – 2 July 2020 Intersessional Meetings, the Committee on Victim Assistance organised a panel discussion on “Safety and Protection of Mine Survivors in Situations of Risks and Humanitarian Emergencies.” The panel discussion was chaired by Italy as Chair of the Committee on Victim Assistance and included participation from Colombia, Iraq, the UN Secretary General's Special Envoy on Disability and Accessibility,

the ICRC, and Humanity and Inclusion. The objective of the panel was to increase understanding of the significance of the safety and protection of mine survivors in situations of risk and emergencies and to offer expert recommendations on how they can be achieved over the next five years. Given the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, the panel drew attention to the disproportionate impact of the pandemic on mine survivors and the importance of drawing lessons learned and good practices to reduce risks and consequences on the lives and livelihoods of mine survivors and other persons with disabilities. During the panel, experts highlighted the importance of synergies with other international frameworks for the safety and protection of persons with disabilities, including mine survivors, in situations of risk and humanitarian emergency and explored best practices in mitigation, preparedness, and response. In particular, the complementarity and intersections between victim assistance and disability rights were highlighted, as well as the advantages of applying an integrated approach to achieve the objectives of Action 40 of the OAP.

30. In 2022, the Committee on Victim Assistance hosted a side event on the margins of the Global Disability Summit to raise awareness on “the importance of inclusion of victim assistance in broader frameworks including situations of risks, crises, and humanitarian emergencies.” The virtual event provided an opportunity for the disability rights community and other participants of the Global Disability Summit to learn more about the work of the Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention on victim assistance, to exchange information and stimulate further thoughts on the inclusion of persons with disabilities, including explosive ordnance survivors in situations of risks, crises including COVID-19 pandemic and humanitarian emergencies. This event helped to build awareness within the disability community of the plight of landmine survivors.

31. The SDGs have been viewed as highly complementary to a human rights-based approach to victim assistance under the Convention, offering opportunities for continued efforts to strengthen collaboration between the Convention and other relevant frameworks that support mine victims and persons with disabilities. The States Parties have recognised the continued importance of increasing and consolidating synergies between the Convention and other instruments associated with health, development, disability, the rule of law, and human rights.

32. Since the Fourth Review Conference, several national stakeholder dialogues have been held to strengthen the national response to victim assistance and raise awareness of the rights of persons with disabilities, including mine survivors, acquired through wider rights recognition for protected groups, e.g., disability status. For example, Colombia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, and Sudan held national stakeholder meetings to examine the status of victim assistance efforts and remaining challenges and propose a way forward for implementation. The inclusive and participatory nature of these national stakeholder dialogues allowed for an important exchange of information between partners to determine the best way to proceed with implementation. Some of the outcomes have included the increased understanding and awareness of the rights-based approach to assistance to and matters related to persons with disabilities, including mine survivors, the importance of gender and age-based approaches, the relevance of timely data provided in a disaggregated fashion and the need for affordable and accessible support. States Parties and participating organisations have expressed the value of holding such national dialogues.

33. Additionally, since the Fourth Review Conference, the Committee on Victim Assistance has provided a platform for exchanging lessons learned and best practices in meeting the needs and realising the rights of mine survivors and other persons with disabilities through the hosting of periodic victim assistance experts meetings:

(a) On 11 November 2020, the Committee on Victim Assistance held a Victim Assistance Experts Meeting focused on the safety and protection of mine victims in situations of risk and emergencies. The virtual expert meeting provided an opportunity to raise awareness and enhance understanding of Action #40 of the OAP;

(b) On 10 November 2021, the Committee on Victim Assistance held a virtual Victim Assistance Experts Meeting focused on enhancing understanding of multi-sectoral efforts and the importance of integrating victim assistance into broader national frameworks;

(c) On 23-24 November 2022, the Committee on Victim Assistance held a Victim Assistance Experts Meeting focused on rehabilitation, a major objective in victim assistance, and a significant commitment under Action #38 of the Convention's OAP.

34. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the Committee has held an annual victim assistance retreat, inviting stakeholders in Geneva representing different sectors, including the different victim assistance coordinators of disarmament Conventions, the Convention on Cluster Munition and the Secretariat of the Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons, the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, the ICRC, the International Campaign to Ban Landmines (ICBL), Humanity and Inclusion, and the United Nations Mine Action Service. This platform provided by the Committee offers an opportunity for different actors to learn about their respective thematic priorities, activities, objectives, and mandates, evaluate concrete opportunities for cooperation, and ultimately build and strengthen a concerted victim assistance approach to support the promotion of their shared objectives.

III. International cooperation and assistance

35. Cooperation and Assistance is a key element of the Convention enshrined in Article 6. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties reaffirmed that while each State Party is responsible for implementing the provisions of the Convention in areas under its jurisdiction or control, the States Parties stress that enhanced cooperation can support implementation of Convention obligations as soon as possible.

36. Since the Fourth Review Conference, several States Parties have reported the lack of funding as one of the main obstacles to implementation of their commitments under the Convention. The Covid-19 pandemic which began in early 2020 shortly after the Fourth Review Conference, led to reported delays in operation and reduction in the availability of national and international funding. Likewise, a general downturn in the global economy has reduced availability of national and international resources. Nonetheless, the Covid-19 pandemic demonstrated the resilience of States Parties' implementation efforts which continued throughout despite restrictions in place. Furthermore, the unprecedented situation in Ukraine has dominated the global context since 2022, leading to a redirection of some of the financial support and engagement traditionally provided to other mine-affected States Parties. Some States Parties with limited contamination have called upon States in a position to provide assistance to support their efforts in reaching completion.

37. The States Parties have recognised the importance of States Parties committing the resources needed to meet their obligations as soon as possible. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 28 States Parties (85%) implementing obligations under Article 5 and/or victim assistance commitments have reported making national financial commitments to the implementation of the Convention including: Afghanistan, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Niger, Peru, Serbia, Senegal, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. The amount of the national contribution differs greatly between these States both in the level of assistance as well as in the areas to which national contributions are allotted (e.g. operations, staffing/equipment of the national mine action centre, victim assistance etc.).

38. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the Landmine Monitor has recorded from 2020-2025 funding to mine action from mine affected countries to their mine action programme at approximately USD 476.1 million (78.3 million (2020), 55.4 million (2021), 115.1 million (2022), and 227.3 million (2023)). Since the Fourth Review Conference, the Landmine Monitor has recorded from 2020-2025 funding to mine action from donors at approximately USD 2.7 billion (565.2 million (2020), 543.5 million (2021), 798.4 million (2022) and 798.3 million (2023)). The Landmine Monitor emphasised that a small number of countries receive most of the funding. In general funding to mine action remains globally low compared to other areas of international assistance and is insufficient to address the needs faced by mine affected States.

39. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 27 States Parties reported providing support to mine affected States Parties including: Andorra, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Czech

Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom.

40. Since the Fourth Review Conference, funding for victim assistance efforts remain low with several States Parties reporting little or no funding having been received. The lack of (sustainable) funding remains a major impediment to addressing the needs of victims. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the proportion for victim assistance funding at a global level remains approximately 4-8% of global funding. Increasing funding to victim assistance activities will be important going forward including through global mine action budgets and through the integration of victim assistance into other funding envelopes (such as humanitarian or development envelopes) in countries affected by anti-personnel mines. In supporting victim assistance activities, the States Parties have noted the importance of ensuring that support is provided in a non-discriminatory manner and that it reaches mine affected communities, often located in rural or remote areas. Likewise, low funding for mine risk education and reduction efforts remains a critical challenge, with funding totalling less than 1% of total global funding. Addressing these financial gaps would be important going forward to meet the objectives of the Convention.

41. Due to the lack of available national and international funding to match the demand for resources, the States Parties have reiterated the importance of States Parties exploring all possible alternatives and /or innovative sources of funding. In this regard, only three States Parties – Angola, Cambodia, and the United Kingdom – have reported on efforts in this regard. A great deal of these efforts has centred around engaging the private sector in contributing resources to the Convention’s implementation. Nevertheless, since the Fourth Review Conference, efforts have been put forward by States Parties and the mine action community to continue exploring alternative and innovative sources of funding. In this regard, several efforts have been put forth to further understand how innovative financing could be employed to support implementation. For example, on 7-9 March 2022, the United Kingdom Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office including the Global Mine Action Programme team, the Counter-Proliferation and Arms Control Centre and the United Kingdom Mission Geneva, organised an event entitled “Utilising innovative financing models to further the goal of a landmine free world” at Wilton Park. The event was used as an initial brainstorming on innovative financing and included experts who presented on different funding models including “outcomes finance – Impact Bonds”, “Public – Private Partnerships” and “Front-loading finance”. Furthermore, studies such as “Innovative Finance for Mine Action Improving the Effectiveness of Mine Action through Innovative Finance”¹³ of 2021 and “Innovative Finance for Mine Action: Needs and Potential Solutions”¹⁴ of 2023 have provided insights into the possibilities of innovative financing.

42. The States Parties have noted the importance of States Parties requiring assistance developing resource mobilisation plans for implementation and to make use of the Convention’s mechanisms to share information on challenges and requirements, including through Article 7 reports as well as taking advantage of the Individualised Approach. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 34 States Parties requiring support have shared information on their progress, challenges and requirements for assistance in their Article 7 Reports including: Afghanistan, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Burundi, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Iraq, Guinea-Bissau, Jordan, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Niger, Nigeria, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, Ukraine, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. Some of the main challenges reported by States Parties have included lack of resources, un-demarcated borders, international technical assistance, technical and operational challenges such as lack of national capacity, unregistered mine contamination, climate conditions, contamination other than anti-personnel mines, Covid-19 crisis, security, topographical challenges, lack of equipment, political instability, armed non state actors, natural disasters, amongst others.

¹³ <https://www.halotrust.org/media/7786/innovative-finance-for-mine-action.pdf>

¹⁴ https://www.gichd.org/fileadmin/user_upload/INNOVATIVE_FINANCE_FOR_MINE_ACTION_NEEDS_AND_POTENTIAL_SOLUTIONS.pdf

43. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 12 States Parties have taken advantage of the Individualised Approach to share information on their progress, challenges and requirements for assistance including: Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Guinea-Bissau, Mauritania, Niger, Senegal, Somalia, South Sudan, Tajikistan, and Türkiye. While the Individualised Approach is viewed as an important platform, it is widely understood that such an effort must be accompanied by an in-country effort to ensure dialogue with national and international stakeholders. In this regard, the States Parties have recognised the importance of affected States establishing national platforms to ensure regular dialogue among all stakeholders. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 8 States Parties have reported on the establishment or efforts to establish platforms to strengthen dialogue at a national level: Afghanistan, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Colombia, Serbia, Sri Lanka, Tajikistan, and Yemen. Despite these efforts, no State Party reported on the successful establishment of a national mine action platform in which all stakeholders (e.g. operators, donors, state authorities, international partners etc.) meet regularly to discuss progress in implementation. The establishment of such platforms, as indicated by the Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance, is critical to demonstrate national ownership, strengthen coordination and contribute to resource mobilisation efforts.

44. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties recognised the importance of States Parties in a position to provide assistance coordinating their support for the effective implementation of Convention obligations by affected States. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 15 States Parties have reported using existing mechanisms (e.g., UNMAS Voluntary Trust Fund, Mine Action Support Group) to coordinate their funding including: Australia, Belgium, Canada, Estonia, Germany, Finland, Ireland, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom. Despite the efforts to coordinate funding, improving coordination between donors to ensure the successful application of the cooperation and assistance commitments under Article 6 of the Convention will be crucial going forward. Since the Fourth Review Conference, most funding continues to be directed towards a small number of States Parties while other States Parties receive limited or no support for their implementation efforts. Greater coordination amongst donor for the implementation of the Convention could support States Parties in achieving their completion dates and prevent further requests for extension from being submitted.

45. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties recognised the importance of exploring opportunities for cooperation, including international, regional, and bilateral, cooperation between affected States Parties or South-to-South, with a view to voluntary sharing of best practices and lessons learned. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 24 States Parties have reported on efforts in this regard, including Australia, Afghanistan, Argentina, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Colombia, Croatia, Ecuador, France, Estonia, Japan, Finland, Lithuania, Peru, New Zealand, Serbia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Switzerland, Tajikistan, Thailand and Türkiye, and the United Kingdom.

46. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties have raised awareness of the importance of implementation of the cooperation and assistance elements of the OAP to foster cooperation and assistance for the implementation of Convention obligations as soon as possible including by the following:

(a) During the 30 June – 2 July 2020 Intersessional Meetings, the Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance organised a panel discussion on “Aligning Donor Coordination to Support Implementation of the Oslo Action Plan”. The panel discussion included participation from a representative of Chile, Colombia, Japan, United Kingdom, and the Mine Action Support Group. The panel highlighted that the Oslo Action Plan provides key elements to foster international cooperation and assistance and highlighted the need to continue exploring the strengthening of cooperation and assistance to make real progress towards meeting the 2025 ambition of the States Parties. The Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance also shared best practices and lessons learned to support and assist States Parties in the full implementation of Article 6 of the Convention. In this regard, the panel concluded that national ownership continues to be cornerstone of

this effort and that cooperation and assistance comes in many forms including through south-south cooperation and the exchange of lessons learned and best practices;

(b) During the 22-24 June 2021 Intersessional Meetings, the Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance organised a panel discussion on “Mobilising Resources towards a Mine Free World”. The panel discussion included participation from a representative of Japan, Sudan, the United Kingdom, the Mine Action Support Group, the GICHD, and the UNDP. The panel explored the relevant cooperation and assistance actions of the OAP and reflected on how they interact with each other to provide guidance to States Parties in mobilising resources to fulfil their commitments as soon as possible. The panel also looked at the results of discussions between the Committee and stakeholders to explore how to integrate best practices to ensure that States Parties successfully achieve their 2025 ambitions. The panel once again highlighted, amongst other, the importance of national ownership, the establishment of national mine action platforms to support resource mobilisation, and the importance of the integration of mine action activities into implementation of the SDGs as well as into broader national frameworks;

(c) During the 19-21 June 2023 Intersessional Meetings, the Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance organised a panel discussion entitled “Cooperation and Assistance and the Oslo Action Plan – Towards improved cooperation and assistance”. The panel was moderated by Thailand in its capacity as Chair of the Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance, and included representatives from Cambodia, Mauritania, Zimbabwe, and Italy as new Chair of the Mine Action Support Group. The panel discussion called attention to the fact that since the Fourth Review Conference, while funding in some years has increased, most of the funding has been allotted to a handful of countries and highlighted the importance of providing increased funding to States Parties that are close to meeting their completion milestones and to ensure that other mine affected States Parties are not left behind. The panel further considered the importance of donor states increasing coordination and the role the MASG could play in strengthening coordination.

47. The Council Decision (CFSP) 2021/257 in support of the Oslo Action Plan (OAP) for the implementation of the 1997 Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on their Destruction continued to provide support to States Parties in their implementation efforts by providing an important platform for States Parties at a national, regional and international level to highlight challenges in implementation and to share best practices. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 7 States Parties took advantage of this support to hold national stakeholder dialogues: Colombia, Ecuador, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Peru, Sudan, Zimbabwe. Likewise, the regional dialogue in Ghana and the Global Victim Assistance Conference in Cambodia provided an important platform to discuss progress and challenges in implementation which will contribute to the development of the Siem Reap - Angkor Action Plan 2025-2029. The States Parties have recognised the important contributions of the EU Council Decision to support States Parties in the implementation of their commitments under the Convention.

Measures to ensure compliance, best practices for implementing the Convention

I. Measures to Ensure Compliance

1. The States Parties stressed the importance of complying with all the Convention's provisions and remain committed to ensuring compliance with the obligations of the Convention to reach its objectives. In doing so, the States Parties reaffirmed their commitment to promote compliance with the Convention and adopted several actions in this regard.

2. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties recognised the importance of States Parties that face alleged or known non-compliance with the general obligations under Article 1 to provide information on the situation to all State Parties in the most expeditious, comprehensive, and transparent manner possible and to work together with other States Parties in a spirit of cooperation to resolve the matters in an expeditious and effective manner, in accordance with Article 8.1. While the cases of alleged non-compliance by a State Party with Article 1.1 of the Convention remain rare, the States Parties are determined to cooperate to ensure that the norms of the Convention are upheld by all.

3. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the Committee on Cooperative Compliance has considered allegations/confirmed allegations of non-compliance with Article 1.1 which surfaced in Sudan (2011/2012), Ukraine (2023) and Yemen (2011). The Committee has regularly requested these States Parties to provide updates on their efforts to address the allegations/confirmed allegations, respectively, through written communication and bilateral meetings. The Committee has welcomed the continuous engagement of these States Parties. Both Sudan and Yemen have indicated that security remains the critical challenge in addressing the allegations/confirmed allegations, as areas where the incidents took place are currently outside of their effective control. These States Parties have indicated that they will continue communicating with the Committee and the States Parties on their efforts in this regard.

4. Concerning Ukraine, since the allegations surfaced in 2023 subsequent Presidents and the Committee on Cooperative Compliance have been engaged in a cooperative dialogue with Ukraine. During the 18-20 June 2024 Intersessional Meetings, Ukraine indicated that it takes all concerns of the non-governmental organisations regarding the alleged use of anti-personnel mines by the Ukrainian Armed Forces in Izium, Kharkiv region seriously and that the investigative department of the Security Service of Ukraine in the Kharkiv region, under the procedural guidance of the Kharkiv Specialised Prosecutor's Office in the sphere of defense, is carrying out a pre-trial investigation into the criminal case. Ukraine further highlighted that the pre-trial investigation in these criminal proceedings is ongoing.

5. In the OAP, the States Parties agreed that any State Party implementing obligations in particular under Article 4 or 5, or retaining or transferring mines in line with Article 3 that has not submitted an Article 7 report detailing progress in implementing these obligations each year will provide in close cooperation with the ISU an annual update on the status of implementation in line with Article 7 and will provide information to all States Parties in the most expeditious, comprehensive and transparent manner possible. If no information on implementing the relevant obligations for two consecutive years is provided, the President will assist and engage with the States Parties concerned in close cooperation with the relevant Committee.

6. In this regard, as of 15 September 2024, the following States Parties have not submitted reports in 2024:

(a) Concerning the thirty-five States Parties with Article 5 obligations, seven States Parties – Afghanistan, Ecuador, Eritrea, Nigeria, Oman, Somalia and Sudan – have not submitted an Article 7 report. Of these, six have not submitted an Article 7 report in the last two years: Afghanistan (last report in 2021), Ecuador (last report submitted in 2022), Eritrea (last report in 2014), Oman (last report in 2021), Somalia (last report in 2020) and Sudan (last report in 2022);

(b) Concerning the sixty-one States Parties retaining mines under Article 3, twenty-five States Parties – Bhutan, Burundi, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Congo, Côte d’Ivoire, Djibouti, Ecuador, Eritrea, Gambia, Guyana, Honduras, Indonesia, Ireland, Italy, Kenya, Namibia, Oman, Rwanda, South Africa, Tanzania (United Republic of), Togo, Tunisia, Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) and Zambia - have not submitted an Article 7 report. Of these, 19 have not submitted an Article 7 report in the last two years: Burundi (2021), Cameroon (2009), Cape Verde (2009), Congo (2009), Côte d’Ivoire (2014), Djibouti (2005), Ecuador (2022), Eritrea (2014), Gambia (2020), Guyana (2021), Honduras (2007), Indonesia (2020), Kenya (2021), Namibia (2010), Oman (2021), Rwanda (2008), South Africa (2022), Tanzania (2009), Togo (2004), and Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) (2012).

7. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties reiterated the importance of any State Party that has not yet fulfilled its obligations under Article 9 of the Convention urgently taking all appropriate legal, administrative, and other measures to implement those obligations and report on the measures taken no later than by the 20MSP. Additionally, at the Fourth Review Conference, the mandate of the Committee on Cooperative Compliance was amended to include, amongst other, support to States Parties in their efforts to implement and report on matters contained in Article 9 of the Convention.

8. Since the Fourth Review Conference subsequent chairs of the Committee on Cooperative Compliance have sent written communications to States Parties with outstanding obligations under Article 9 and engaged with these States on a bilateral basis in Geneva as well as in New York. On 6 May 2021, the Committee on Cooperative Compliance held a workshop on Article 9 reporting which included participation of the Committee, New Zealand, the ICRC, and the ISU with the objective of raising awareness of the importance of Article 9 and the tools and assistance available to States Parties as well as taking stock of the status of implementation efforts and, challenges and obstacles faced in this regard. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the Committee on Cooperative Compliance has strengthened important partnerships in this regard, particularly with the Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU) and the ICRC. The strengthening of these partnerships has been agreed as critical going forward.

9. At the close of the Fourth Review Conference, there were 72 States Parties that had reported that they had adopted legislation in the context of Article 9 obligations and there were 38 States Parties that had reported that they considered existing national laws to be sufficient to give effect to the Convention. The remaining 54 States Parties, i.e. almost 32 percent of States Parties, had not yet reported having either adopted legislation in the context of Article 9 obligations or that they considered existing laws were sufficient to give effect to the Convention.

10. Since the Fourth Review Conference:

(a) Two States Parties – Niue and Sri Lanka have indicated that they have adopted legislation in accordance with Article 9: Niue and Sri Lanka;

(b) Five States Parties – Guyana, Iraq, Philippines, State of Palestine and Ukraine - have indicated that they consider existing laws to be sufficient;

(c) One State Party - Eritrea – had been erroneously listed amongst the States Parties that had not yet reported on measures taken to implement Article 9;

(d) Three States Parties – Cameroon, the Dominican Republic and Uruguay submitted information which is currently being analysed.

11. In this regard, there are now 75 States Parties that have reported that they have adopted legislation in the context of Article 9 obligations and 43 States Parties that have reported that they consider existing national laws to be sufficient to give effect to the Convention. The following 46 States Parties still need to report on measures they have taken to implement Article 9: Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Bolivia, Botswana, Brunei Darussalam, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Comoros, Congo, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Equatorial Guinea, Eswatini, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Grenada, Guinea, Haiti, Jamaica, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Maldives, Nauru, Nigeria, Palau, Rwanda, Saint Lucia, San Marino, Sao Tome and Principe, Sierra Leone, Solomon Islands, Somalia, South Sudan, Suriname, Togo, Turkmenistan, Tuvalu, Uganda, Uruguay and Vanuatu. The

States Parties have agreed that pursuing fulfilment of Article 9 is an important goal for the Convention in the coming years.

12. While the cases of alleged non-compliance by a State Party with Article 1.1 of the Convention remain rare, the States Parties are determined to ensure that the norms of the Convention are upheld by all. States Parties have further highlighted the need to ensure that States Parties comply with the obligations of the Convention including matters associated with the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Article 1.2 as well as on reporting under Article 7.

13. Since the Fourth Review Conference, one State Party - Eritrea – failed to submit an Article 5 request for extension of its Article 5 deadline by its deadline of 31 March 2020. In 2021, the 19MSP decided to establish a cooperative dialogue with Eritrea in the traditional spirit of the Convention. Failing the establishment of such a dialogue and resolution of the current status of non-compliance through Eritrea's submission of an extension request, by 31 March 2023, the 20MSP decided to seek clarification and resolve questions related to compliance by Eritrea through the Secretary General of the United Nations in accordance with Article 8.2 of the Convention. Since the Fourth Review Conference, subsequent Presidents and the Committee on Article 5 Implementation, through written communication and bilateral meetings endeavoured to establish a cooperative dialogue with Eritrea. Having not been successful in establishing a cooperative dialogue with Eritrea to resolve this matter, the States Parties at the 20MSP recalled the 19MSP decision and "mandated the President of the 21MSP to implement this decision and to report back to the States Parties at their Twenty-First Meeting". The decision also noted that the President and office holders of the Convention stood ready to sustain a cooperative dialogue with Eritrea to see that this situation could be overcome as soon as possible, and that Eritrea would be supported in reengaging in the work of the Convention. Following the closure of the 20MSP, the following transpired:

(a) On 31 March 2023, Eritrea did not submit a request for extension of its Article 5 deadline.

(b) On 24 May 2023, following several unsuccessful efforts to establish a cooperative dialogue with Eritrea as envisaged under Article 8.1, the President of the Twenty-First Meeting of the States Parties sent a Request for Clarification to Eritrea through the Secretary-General of the United Nations in accordance with the decision of the Twentieth Meeting of the States Parties.

(c) On 21 June 2023, Eritrea submitted a letter to the Secretary-General of the United Nations indicating Eritrea's decision to withdraw from the Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention.

(d) On 2 October 2023, Eritrea submitted a letter to the Secretary-General of the United Nations indicating its decision to withdraw its notification letter of 21 June 2023 regarding 'withdrawal from the Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention.

(e) Following the establishment of a cooperative dialogue with the President of the 21MSP, Eritrea, on 16 November 2023, submitted a Request for Extension of its Article 5 deadline which was granted by the 21MSP.

14. The States Parties welcomed Eritrea's re-engagement with the work of the Convention and their participation at the 21MSP. The States Parties also noted the importance of States Parties maintaining a cooperative dialogue with the office holders of the Convention to resolve any matter concerning implementation of the provisions of the Convention. The States Parties further recalled the important role that transparency plays in the Convention's implementation.

15. Furthermore, since the Fourth Review Conference, the President as Chair of the Committee on Cooperative Compliance has worked to raise awareness of the importance of strengthening the norm of Convention:

(a) During the 30 June – 2 July 2020 Intersessional Meetings, the Committee on Article 5 Implementation organised a panel discussion on "Cooperative Compliance within the framework of the Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention". The panel discussion was chaired by Sudan and included the participation of Switzerland, the ICRC, and Human Rights

Watch. The panel highlighted that while progress in the Convention's implementation has been impressive, the Convention faces several challenges including the slow pace of implementation of Article 5, lack of progress in other areas such as stockpile destruction and other deficiencies such as the lack of submission of Article 7 transparency reports. The panel also highlighted the importance of progress in ensuring that States Parties have strong national implementation measures in place and the role of the ICRC in supporting States Parties in this regard. The panel also highlighted the new role of the Committee on Cooperative compliance in following up on issues related to Article 7 and Article 9 as the key compliance mechanisms of the Convention;

(b) During the 22-24 June 2021 Intersessional Meetings, the Committee on Cooperative Compliance organised a panel discussion on "Strengthening Compliance Measures". The panel discussion was moderated by the Netherlands and included speakers from Colombia, Poland, ICRC, Inter-Parliamentary Union, and Human Rights Watch. The panel discussion highlighted the importance of ensuring that all measures to ensure compliance of the Convention are implemented including the establishment of national implementation measures in accordance with Article 9 and adherence to transparency reporting measure under Article 7. The panel also highlighted the importance of the Convention taking full advantage of partnerships with, for example, the ICRC and the IPU in strengthening compliance.

II. Best Practices for implementing the Convention

16. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties recognised the special partnerships of the Convention with the United Nations, the ICRC, the ICBL and the GICHD and the importance of fostering partnerships with civil society in support of implementing the Convention. Furthermore, the States Parties identified best practices that are key to the successful implementation of the Convention's obligations.

17. National ownership¹⁵ continues to be a key element of ensuring that Convention obligations are met, including the integration of Convention implementation activities into broader frameworks such as national development plans, poverty reduction strategies, humanitarian response plans and national strategies for the inclusion of persons with disabilities as appropriate, and by making financial and other commitments to implementation. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 29 States Parties implementing Article 5 and/or victim assistance obligations have reported having included Convention implementation activities into broader national frameworks including: Afghanistan, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, Ukraine, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. This included the integration of Convention activities into peacebuilding or in implementation of SDGs. While progress has been made by several States, national efforts in this regard should continue to ensure that the implementation of the Convention continues to be prioritised at a national level, in particularly given the importance of mine action in supporting achievement of the objectives of broader frameworks.

18. The breaking down of silos in implementation of the Convention has been viewed as increasingly important, as implementation of the Convention addresses matters related to disarmament, development, human rights, health, humanitarian, environmental and good governance. While greater awareness has been raised about the importance of integrating Convention implementation activities into broader frameworks, efforts should continue to

¹⁵ The States Parties have defined national ownership as entailing the following: 'maintaining interest at a high level in fulfilling Convention obligations; empowering and providing relevant State entities with the human, financial and material capacity to carry out their obligations under the Convention; articulating the measures its State entities will undertake to implement relevant aspects of Convention in the most inclusive, efficient and expedient manner possible and plans to overcome any challenges that need to be addressed; and making a regular significant national financial commitment to the State's programmes to implement the Convention'.

further capitalise on these synergies. Increasing understandings of how to ensure that these synergies result in concrete action in support of the Convention, including through the gaining of access to more diverse financial sources at national and international levels.

19. In addition to the integration of Convention implementation activities, the States Parties have recognised the importance of strengthening partnership with humanitarian, peacebuilding, development, and human rights efforts, where relevant, bearing in mind the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 31 States Parties have reported progress in this regard including: Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, Ukraine, Yemen, and Zimbabwe.

20. Additionally, 31 States Parties (74%) implementing Article 5 and/or victim assistance obligations have reported making national financial commitment to the implementation of mine clearance and victim assistance obligations under the Convention including: Afghanistan, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Ukraine, United Kingdom, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. Nevertheless, most States Parties have indicated a lack of national financial resources to implement their obligations under the Convention. Likewise, several States Parties reported the diversion of resources to addressing matters related to the Covid-19 pandemic. The allotment of increased national resources remains a priority for States Parties.

21. The States Parties continue to recognise the importance of mine affected States Parties having evidence-based, costed and time-bound national strategies and work plans in place. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 35 States Parties (83%) reported having evidence based strategies or work plans in place for the implementation of their Article 5/ victim assistance obligations including: Afghanistan, Albania, Angola, Algeria, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Oman, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, State of Palestine, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, Ukraine, United Kingdom, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. The States Parties continue to view high quality work plans as an indispensable element of resources mobilization and recognise the importance of ensuring an evidence-based and inclusive approach to their development.

22. Since the Fourth Review Conference the States Parties have aimed to raise awareness concerning the importance of consideration for the different needs and perspectives of women, girls, boys, and men in implementation of the Convention, to deliver an inclusive approach and in doing so remove barriers to full, equal and gender balanced participation in mine action and in Convention meetings. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 31 mine affected States Parties (74%) have reported having in place work plans and/or strategies that integrate gender and take the diverse needs and experience of people in affected communities into account including: Afghanistan, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, United Kingdom, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. States Parties noted the importance of continued efforts in this regard including ensuring greater consultation with individuals and mine affected communities and their representative organisations in the develop and implement projects, programs, policies at local and national levels.

23. Since the Fourth Review Conference, several efforts have been put forward to further raise awareness of the importance of gender and to encourage consideration for gender in the deliberations of the Convention including:

(a) During the 30 June – 2 July 2020 Intersessional Meetings, the Gender Focal Points organised a panel discussion on “Effective Implementation for All: Gender and

Diverse Needs in Practice”.¹⁶ The panel was moderated by Norway in its capacity of Gender Focal Point on the Committee on Article 5 Implementation and included participation from Cambodia, Colombia, Uganda, the HALO Trust, and MAG. The panel served to raise awareness of the value of gender and diversity mainstreaming for the effective implementation of the Convention and the OAP. The panellists provided an overview of the challenges that remain to be addressed to ensure consideration of gender and diversity in all areas of implementation and shared best practices and recommendations to ensure effective, efficient, and context-specific implementation. The panel discussion also provided an opportunity to ensure that States Parties are familiarized with the role of the recently established gender focal points;

(b) During the 22-24 June 2021 Intersessional Meetings, the Gender Focal Points organised a panel discussion on “Integrating the Diverse Needs of Affected Communities in Operational Planning and Prioritization”. The panel discussion was moderated by Spain and included participation from representatives of Afghanistan, El Salvador, Norway, the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency, Humanity and Inclusion and the GICHD. The objective of the panel was to provide examples and best practices on how to ensure that gender and the diverse needs of affected communities are integrated into operational planning and prioritisation setting mechanisms; provide information on the tools and support available to States Parties to achieve this objective, and; provide a donor perspective on what States Parties in a position to provide assistance can do to further support the implementation of this objective. The panel recognised the importance of considering gender and the diverse needs of affected communities to ensure the effective and efficient implementation of the convention. The panel further noted the importance of States Parties in a position to provide assistance integrating gender considerations into their policies;

(c) In May 2022, a Workshop on “Best practices and lessons learned from practical mainstreaming of gender and diversity in mine action”, hosted by the Gender and Diversity Working Group (GDWG), and co-sponsored by Colombia and the United Kingdom in their respective capacity as President of the 20MSP of the Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention and the 10MSP of the Cluster Munitions Convention examined how gender and diversity considerations can be better mainstreamed in survey and clearance, risk education, victim assistance and international cooperation and assistance. It also sought to understand how to overcome the obstacles to full, equal, and meaningful women’s participation in mine action operations and Convention meetings, and to raise awareness of the intersection between gender and factors of vulnerability and exclusion (e.g. age, religion, ethnicity, language, and disability);

(d) During the 19-21 June 2023 Intersessional Meetings, the President organised a panel entitled “Cross-cutting priorities of the Presidency: Gender and the diverse needs of mine affected communities – lessons learnt and way ahead”.¹⁷ The panel was moderated by Germany in their capacity of President of the Convention and included participation from the ASEAN Mine Action Centre, the HALO Trust and UNIDIR. The panel recognised the progress and challenges in ensuring consideration for matters related to gender and diversity in implementation of the Convention emphasising the importance of the next action plan continue to stress the importance of consideration for gender for the effective and efficient implementation of the Convention.

24. Since the Fourth Review Conference, reporting on efforts made in this regard have improved. The establishment of a gender focal point in the Convention’s thematic Committees has helped ensure consideration for gender in implementation of the Committees’ mandates to review information submitted by the States Parties and to develop annual preliminary observations and conclusion. While progress has been made, continued attention to this matter going forward will be paramount. This includes ensuring continued disaggregation by sex, age, and disability when it comes to casualty data as well as the provision of desegregated data when it comes to beneficiaries of mine risk education and reduction programmes. Additionally, the Committees have recorded progress in reporting on matters regarding gender not only by mine-affected States Parties but also increasingly by

¹⁶ 2020 <https://www.apminebanconvention.org/en/intersessional-meetings/im20/>

¹⁷ <https://www.apminebanconvention.org/en/intersessional-meetings/im23/>

States Parties in a position to provide assistance including by Canada, Germany, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom. The States Parties underlined the importance of States Parties in a position to provide assistance to report on their gender policies.

25. Furthermore, since the Fourth Review Conference, approximately 50% of States Parties' delegations have included women on their delegations. Despite this, a lack of gender balance and representation of landmine survivors and persons with disabilities in delegations participating in Convention meetings is still observed. This representation extends to the delivery of statements during Convention meetings. Finally, since the Fourth Review Conference, no State Party has included mine victims as part of their delegation to Convention meetings.

26. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties have highlighted the importance of inclusion. 28 States Parties have reported an inclusive approach to the development of Article 5/ victim assistance national strategies and work plans including Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, Yemen and Zimbabwe. Likewise, 26 States Parties (68%) implementing Victim assistance activities have included victim organisations in their victim assistance planning including Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, and Zimbabwe.

27. In implementing Convention obligations, the States Parties continued to emphasise the importance of NMAS aligned to the latest IMAS, adapted to new challenges, and ensuring that States Parties employ best practices to ensure efficient and effective implementation. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 30 States Parties (90%) implementing Article 5 obligations have indicated that national standards in place have been updated or are in the process of being updated in line with IMAS including : Afghanistan, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Oman, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Ukraine, the United Kingdom, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. The IMAS¹⁸ were continually updated since the Fourth Review Conference and in some cases new IMAS have been introduced, such as IMAS 13.10 on Victim Assistance in Mine Action adopted in January 2023. Furthermore, Technical Notes for Mine Action (TNMA) have been developed to support implementation by the States Parties in several areas of implementation.

28. In this regard, the States Parties have recognised the importance of States Parties continually reviewing and updating their NMAS accordingly, where relevant. Despite most States Parties having reported on the application of evidence-based survey and efficient land release methodologies, in some cases survey methodologies could further be improved to avoid unnecessary complications and costs caused by inaccurate sizes and locations of hazardous areas being recorded. Improvement of survey methodologies can avoid costly clearance occurring in areas without direct evidence of contamination.

29. Despite shortcomings, since the Fourth Review Conference there is an increased awareness of challenges related to the survey, clearance and reporting of improvised mine contamination.

30. Since the Fourth Review Conference, States Parties have highlighted the importance of States Parties in a position to provide assistance to, where feasible, provide assistance to States Parties in developing, updating, or implementing their national strategies and work plans to fulfil their respective Convention obligations and to enter into multi-year partnerships and provide multi-year funding. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 21 States Parties have reported partnerships with mine affected States Parties in fulfilment of the Convention obligations including: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Lithuania, the Netherlands, New Zealand,

¹⁸ <https://www.mineactionstandards.org/>

Norway, Slovakia, Slovenia, Sweden, Switzerland, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom. Furthermore, 25 States Parties have reported providing financial or other support to affected States Parties including Australia, Austria, Belgium, Cambodia, Canada, Czech Republic, Estonia, France, Finland, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Lithuania, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, and the United Kingdom. Finally, 12 States Parties reported doing so on a multi-year basis including: Australia, Belgium, Canada, Estonia, France, Ireland, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Slovakia, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom.

31. While several efforts have been carried out to foster cooperation and assistance, it is evident that efforts must continue in-country and at the international level. A more coordinated effort will be required by States in a position to provide assistance to support States Parties that have demonstrated a high level of national ownership and who have put forth clear plans to address their remaining challenges.

32. The States Parties have continuously emphasised the importance of mine-affected States Parties providing information on progress and challenges in implementation of the Convention by 30 April of each year in line with their Article 7 obligations and employing the Guide to Reporting. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 32 States Parties have reported employing fully or partially the Guide to Reporting including Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Chile, Colombia, Croatia, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, Ukraine, Yemen, and Zimbabwe.

33. Since the Fourth Review Conference, several States Parties have improved their reporting practices providing increased clarity on the status of implementation in their annual Article 7 transparency reports. Nonetheless, improvements can be made to align their reports more closely to the Guide to Reporting adopted by the States Parties. This is particularly the case when it comes to reporting on methodologies employed in implementation and matters concerning considerations for gender and the diverse needs of mine affected communities. Unfortunately, global reporting rates continue to stagnate at approximately 50%. Nevertheless, reporting rates are relatively high amongst States Parties that have reported obligations under Article 5 and States Parties reporting on the implementation of victim assistance activities. On the other hand, reporting rates for States Parties with retain anti-personnel mines under Article 3 and/or outstanding obligations under Article 9 remain low. Given the importance of reporting, this matter will continue to be a priority for States Parties.

34. In line with the decision of the Fourth Review Conference for States Parties affected by anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature to apply all provisions and obligations under the Convention to such contamination as they do for all other types of anti-personnel mines, including reporting in fulfilment of Article 7 obligations, the increased use of anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature has increased reporting obligations for several States Parties. Continued cooperation with these States Parties to support Convention reporting and implementation practices will be increasingly important going forward. Along with the Guide to Reporting, since the Fourth Review Conference, IMAS 05.10 on Information Management for Mine Action, published in March 2020, includes standardised guidance on the information to be collected including on anti-personnel mines of an improvised nature.

35. Since the Fourth Review Conference, several efforts have been put forward to improve reporting. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperative Compliance, together with the Chairs of the other Convention Committees as well as a representative of the Gender Focal Points have held an online Article 7 reporting workshops, inviting all States Parties and States not party to raise awareness of the importance of the information provided by States Parties on their implementation efforts to track implementation of the Convention and the OAP as well as for the fulfilment of the President and Committee's mandates. The workshops also provided an overview of the tools and support available to States Parties. These workshops took place early in the year and served as a reminder to States Parties to encourage submission of reports by the 30 April deadline. These Article 7 reporting workshops have been well received by the States Parties and will be an important feature going forward.

36. While reporting on progress in implementation is crucial, States Parties have recognised the importance of States Parties continuing to articulate the challenges they face in implementation, including during the formal and informal meetings of the Convention. Since the Fourth Review Conference, approximately 39 States Parties annually report on progress and challenges in implementation during Convention meetings including: Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Argentina, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, Cyprus, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ethiopia, Iraq, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Jordan, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Niger, Nigeria, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Uganda, United Kingdom, Ukraine, Yemen and Zimbabwe. The States Parties continue to view updates provided by States Parties during formal and informal meetings of the Convention as an important part of ensuring success in implementation of the Convention in the traditional spirit of transparency and cooperation of the Convention.

37. To support States Parties in reporting on progress and challenges in accordance with the Guide to Reporting, the States Parties have recognised the importance of mine affected States Parties having in place national information management systems containing accurate and up-to-date data at the national level on the status of implementation. Since the Fourth Review Conference, 28 States Parties (84%) implementing Article 5 have reported having a sustainable national information management system in place including Afghanistan, Angola, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Colombia, Croatia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Mauritania, Nigeria, Peru, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Thailand, Türkiye, Ukraine, United Kingdom, Yemen, and Zimbabwe. The States Parties recognised that high quality data is crucial to support States Parties in the effective and efficient implementation of the obligations under the Convention.

38. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the importance of taking into consideration matters related to the environment and climate change in the Convention's implementation became more prominent. In this regard, at the 21MSP, the President introduced a paper entitled "Green Implementation: integrating Environmental Consideration into the implementation of the Convention". The paper highlighted several key matters in this regard, including the following:

(a) Conflict-affected countries face heightened vulnerability to the adverse impacts of climate change. Of the 20 countries deemed most vulnerable to climate change, 11 have reported mined areas under their jurisdiction or control and several more are contaminated by other types of explosive ordnance¹⁹;

(b) In the coming decades, changes to the climate are predicted to increase in all regions of the world, bringing, for example, more intense rainfall and associated flooding, heatwaves, more intense droughts, and coastal flooding.²⁰ This will both increase the likelihood of climate-related hazards occurring in areas contaminated with anti-personnel mines and other explosive ordnance and may affect how States Parties prioritise and conduct implementation efforts;

(c) Anti-personnel mines and other explosive ordnance continue to pose a serious threat to the lives and livelihoods of people in many countries preventing communities from accessing and managing essential resources such as food and water and putting additional pressure on scarce natural resources. Furthermore, the degradation of explosive ordnance may also cause the release of hazardous chemicals into soil or groundwater, unplanned detonations may trigger forest fires, and the presence of explosive ordnance can hinder efforts to extinguish forest fires. In this regard, consideration and assessment of the environmental consequences throughout mine action operations can help mitigate negative impacts;

(d) While the text of the Convention contains only two references to the environment – one on the reporting on environmental standards to be observed during the destruction of anti-personnel mines in accordance with Articles 4 and 5; and the other on the

¹⁹ University of Notre Dame, ND-GAIN country index scores for 2021, at: <https://tinyurl.com/zea87zsf6>

²⁰ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPPC) press release, "Climate change widespread, rapid, and intensifying," 9 August 2021, at: <https://tinyurl.com/mr28d6b3>.

need for Article 5 extension requests to include environmental implications - understandings of environmental impact and climate change have advanced considerably since the adoption of the Convention in 1997, including the need for action to mitigate our greenhouse gas emissions and adapt to the changing global environment. In recent years, States and the humanitarian actors have increasingly considered how to better integrate environmental consideration into implementation efforts;

(e) Environmental and climate change considerations are relevant to everyone, including national authorities, clearance operators, and donors. In the same way as gender and diversity are, justly, being mainstreamed throughout the Convention's implementation, States Parties should consider how to best integrate and mainstream the environment and climate change.

39. During the 19-21 June 2023 Intersessional Meetings, the President organised a panel entitled "Cross-cutting priorities of the Presidency, Green Implementation: Integrating Environmental Considerations in the Convention's implementation". The Panel was moderated by Germany in their capacity of President of the Convention and included participation from Bosnia and Herzegovina Mine Action Centre and Mine Action Review on behalf of the Environmental Issues in Mine Action Working Group. The panel discussed the importance of integrating environmental considerations into implementation efforts with Bosnia and Herzegovina sharing some of their environmental consideration when it comes to the Convention's implementation. The panel discussion provided a timely opportunity for States Parties to consider the place of the environment in the next five-year Action Plan to be adopted at the Fifth Review Conference.

40. Since the Fourth Review Conference a range of initiatives and studies have been carried out to strengthen the link between mine action and the environment and to provide guidance to States Parties including the elaboration and updating of an International Mine Action Standard (IMAS) 07.13 on Environmental Management in Mine Action. Additionally, a Technical Note for Mine Action (TNMA) to support implementation is in the process of being developed which will provide additional practical guidance to States Parties on implementation of IMAS 07.13. Also, a few studies by the GICHD, particularly a "Guide to the Ageing of Explosive Ordnance in the Environment" and "Mine Action and the Resilience of Communities to Climate Change" underscore the enabling role of mine action in fostering communities resilience to climate change and emphasise the potential for further enhancement in integration of climate resilience considerations in the Convention's implementation. Furthermore, a wealth of best practices have been acquired by States Parties and organisations in this regard. Going forward, a greater understanding and awareness raising of the interaction between mine action and the environment could contribute to its further integration.

41. Article 11 of the Convention states that "the States Parties shall meet regularly in order to consider any matter with regard to the application or implementation of the Convention (...)" and that Meetings of the States Parties subsequent to the First Meeting of the States Parties will be convened annually until the First Review Conference. At the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties agreed to hold annual Meetings of the States Parties until the Fifth Review Conference.

42. The 18MSP was held in Geneva (virtual) on 16-20 November 2020 and presided over by His Excellency Osman Abufatima Adam Mohammed, Deputy Permanent Representative of Sudan to the United Nations at Geneva. The 19MSP was held in the Hague (virtual) on 15-19 November 2021 and presided over by His Excellency Robbert Jan Gabriëls, Permanent Representative of the Netherlands to the Conference on Disarmament and Disarmament Ambassador at-large. The 20MSP was held in Geneva on 21-25 November 2022 and presided over by Colombia. The President of 20MSP, Her Excellency Alicia Victoria Arango Olmos, Ambassador and Permanent Representative of Colombia to the United Nations at Geneva, due to unforeseen personal circumstances, resigned from her post on 31 May 2022. The States Parties subsequently accepted the nomination of His Excellency Alvaro Enrique Ayala Melendez, Ambassador and Chargé d'Affaires of the Permanent Mission of Colombia to the United Nations at Geneva to preside over the 20MSP. The 21MSP Parties was held in Geneva on 20-24 November 2023 and was presided over by His Excellency Thomas Göbel, Ambassador of the Republic of Germany to the Conference on Disarmament. The Fifth

Review Conference is planned to be held in Siem Reap, Cambodia, on 25-29 November 2024 and will be presided over by His Excellency Ly Thuch, Senior Minister of Cambodia and First Vice President of the Cambodian Mine Action and Victim Assistance Authority.

43. Since the Fourth Review Conference several States Parties have served on positions within the Convention's implementation machinery including the following:

(a) Committee on Article 5 Implementation: Austria, Belgium, Canada, Colombia, France, Iraq, Norway, Sri Lanka, Sweden, Thailand, United Kingdom, Zambia;

(b) Victim Assistance Committee: Algeria, Burkina Faso, Ecuador, Chile, Italy, the Netherlands, Slovenia, Sweden, Thailand, Uganda, Zambia;

(c) Committee on the Enhancement of Cooperation and Assistance: Algeria, Colombia, Denmark, Germany, Japan, The Netherlands, Sudan, Thailand, Türkiye, United Kingdom;

(d) Committee on Cooperative Compliance: Chile, Cambodia, Colombia, Germany, Iraq, Japan, the Netherlands, Norway, Panama, Peru, Poland, Spain, South Africa, Sudan, Switzerland, Thailand, Türkiye.

44. The establishment of a gender focal point within each Committee to mainstream matters related to gender and diversity into the work of the Committees continues to be viewed as a positive change. Since the Fourth Review Conference, every Committee has nominated a gender focal point, ensuring that matters regarding gender are highlighted in the documentation of the Committee, during bilateral meetings with mine affected States Parties as well as during informal and formal meetings of the Convention.

45. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the States Parties have continued to make use of the Meetings of the States Parties as mechanisms to advance implementation of the Convention. Each Meeting of the States Parties considered final conclusions on the implementation of the mandate of the President and the Convention's thematic Committees. These reports measured progress made by States parties in the pursuit of the Convention's core aims between Meetings of the States Parties, highlighting the status of implementation of relevant actions of the OAP and priority areas of work for the President and the Committees going forward. In addition, programmes for the Intersessional Meetings and Meetings of the States Parties provided an opportunity for States Parties implementing key provision of the Convention to provide updates in fulfilment of their obligations.

46. The States Parties have recognised the importance of ensuring that the machinery of the Convention continues to serve the implementation of the Convention. In this regard, the States Parties have recognised the importance of ensuring that assessed contributions in line with Article 14 of the Convention for United Nations support to the Meetings of the States Parties and Review Conferences and any arrears are settled as soon as possible. Since the Fourth Review Conference, an average of 71% of States have paid their contributions no later than three months before the formal meetings of the Convention. Unfortunately, in some cases, States Parties have not paid their assessed contribution or settled their arrears for several years.

47. The States Parties have also recognised the importance of States Parties providing voluntary contributions to the ISU of the Convention. Since the Fourth Review Conference an average of 28 States Parties have provided voluntary contributions to the ISU on an annual basis including: Algeria, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Costa Rica, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Mexico, Netherlands, Norway, Peru, Poland, Slovenia, Spain, Sudan, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, Türkiye and the United Kingdom. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the ISU has continued to report regularly and in accordance with the "Directive from the States Parties to the ISU" as well as with other decision of the States Parties. In accordance with the Decision of the Fourteenth Meeting of the States Parties, quarterly reports have been submitted by the ISU to the Coordinating Committee on the activities and finances of the ISU.

48. Since the Fourth Review Conference, through financial support provided by Switzerland, the ISU continues to be hosted by the GICHD, ensuring that there was no cost to the States Parties associated with the logistical and administrative support to the ISU. The

States Parties have annually recognised the important support function provided by the ISU to the President, the Committees, the Sponsorship Programme, the Universalization Coordination Group, and individual States Parties, and consistently called for States Parties to continue their support to the ISU.

49. Since the Fourth Review Conference, the Convention's informal Sponsorship Programme, coordinated by Australia, continues to be a critical component of the Intersessional Meetings and Meetings of the States Parties and Review Conferences, ensuring that representatives of States Parties that would otherwise not be able to participate in the work of the Convention are able to participate in the Convention's deliberations. The States Parties have recognised the importance of States Parties continuing to consider voluntary contributions for the Sponsorship Programme to ensure its continued success. Since the Fourth Review Conference 11 States Parties have contributed to the Sponsorship Programme including: Australia, Austria, Canada, Croatia, France, Germany, the Netherlands, Norway, Slovenia, Switzerland and Türkiye.
